

Exercise 13

Invariants

December 20, 2013

Task 1

A technique to represent a complete binary tree T using an array A , is:

- store the root in $A[0]$
- for any node N stored in $A[i]$, store the children of N to $A[2i+1]$ and $A[2i+2]$.

The size of the array should be equal to $2^{h+1} - 1$, where h is the height of the tree.

Consider the following invariant on a complete binary tree of integers: *any non-leaf node stores the sum of the integers stored in its two children*. Let us call this invariant **U** (for “undented”; cf. “dented invariants” on Lecture 9, Slide 11).

The following class uses the above-mentioned representation.

```
final class CompleteBinaryTree
{
    private int[] theTree;

    public CompleteBinaryTree(int h)
    {
        theTree = new int[Math.pow(2,h+1)-1];
        for(int i=0; i<theTree.length; i++)
            theTree[i]=0;
    }

    /// requires 0 ≤ i < theTree.length
    public int getNode(int i) { return theTree[i]; }

    /// requires theTree.length/2 ≤ i < theTree.length
    // this means i must be a leaf
    public void addToLeaf(int i, int s)
    { addToNode (i, s); }

    private void addToNode(int i, int s)
    {
        theTree[i]+=s;
        if (i>0) addToNode((i-1)/2, s);
    }
}
```

- Write formally the invariant **U**.
- The method `addToNode` does not preserve **U**. Instead, its purpose is to fix **U**, when it is temporarily broken. Describe how this is done.
- Describe informally the precondition under which the method `addToNode` has to be called, such that **U** holds when the method terminates.

- (d) Dent **U** accordingly so that the precondition above is formally expressible. Hint: Denting usually uses a single boolean field (see Lecture 9, Slide 11). Here, you need more than one boolean field.
- (e) Add assignments to the new boolean fields in the bodies of all the methods and write specifications for all the methods. All methods must preserve the dented invariant.
- (f) Explain why the public interface of the class preserves **U**.

Solution

- (a) The invariant of the class, apart from **U**, should also contain the following conjuncts:

`theTree ≠ null` $\wedge \exists h:\text{int}. h \geq 0 \wedge \text{theTree.length} = 2^{h+1} - 1$

This part of the invariant is assumed throughout the solution, and we will not refer to it again.

The invariant **U** can be written as follows:

$\forall i. 0 \leq i < \text{theTree.length}/2 \Rightarrow$
`theTree[i] = theTree[2*i+1] + theTree[2*i+2]`

Note that the condition $0 \leq i < \text{theTree.length}/2$ says that node *i* is not a leaf. Note also that “height” means the maximum distance of the root to the leaves (so a single node is a 0-height tree)

- (b) When `addToLeaf` is called on a leaf, a sequence of recursive calls to `addToNode` begins. The first call adds a number *s* to the leaf, which temporarily breaks the invariant, because the parent of that leaf no longer holds the correct sum. Each subsequent call of `addToNode` corrects the sum of its current node, similarly making the sum of its parent (if there is one) outdated. The calls to `addToNode` happen recursively all the way up from the leaf to the root, at which point the invariant is fixed.
- (c) The precondition is as follows: either (i) the method `addToNode` is called on a leaf or (ii) the invariant must be broken exactly at the node on which we call `addToNode`. In the latter case, the sum of the children of that node must be exactly *s* less than what it is supposed to be.
- (d) We can dent the invariant in the following way: Introduce a boolean array *b*. For every non-leaf *i*, the flag *b[i]* is true if and only if the **U** has to hold at node *i*. More formally, the dented version of the invariant is:

$\forall i. 0 \leq i < \text{theTree.length}/2 \wedge b[i] \Rightarrow$
`theTree[i] = theTree[2*i+1] + theTree[2*i+2]`

where the field *b* is declared as `bool[] b;`

This denting allows us to break **U** at any node in the tree, which makes the precondition described in (c) easily expressible.

Remember that the invariant must also specify that *b* is not null, and that the size of *b* is equal to the number of non-leaf nodes in the tree.

- (e) Here is the code together with the new field:

```
final class CompleteBinaryTree
{
    bool[] b;
    private int[] theTree;

    /// invariant theTree ≠ null  $\wedge$  b ≠ null
}
```

```

    /// invariant  $\exists h:\text{int}. h \geq 0 \wedge \text{theTree.length} = 2^{h+1} - 1 \wedge \text{b.length} = 2^h - 1$ 
    /// invariant: as mentioned in (d)

    public CompleteBinaryTree(int h)
    /// ensures  $\forall i. \text{b}[i]$ 
    {
        theTree = new int[Math.pow(2,h+1)-1];
        for(int i=0; i<theTree.length; i++)
            theTree[i]=0;
        b = new bool[Math.pow(2,h)-1];
        for(int i=0; i<b.length; i++) b[i]=true;
    }

    public void addToLeaf(int i, int s)
    /// requires  $\text{theTree.length}/2 \leq i < \text{theTree.length}$ 
    /// requires  $\forall j. \text{b}[j]$ 
    /// ensures  $\text{theTree}[i] = \text{old}(\text{theTree}[i+1]) + s$ 
    /// ensures  $\forall j. \text{b}[j]$ 
    { addToNode (i, s); }

    private void addToNode(int i, int s)
    /// requires  $0 \leq i < \text{theTree.length}$ 
    /// requires  $i < \text{theTree.length}/2 \Rightarrow$ 
    ///  $\neg \text{b}[i] \wedge \text{theTree}[i] = \text{theTree}[2*i+1] + \text{theTree}[2*i+2] - s$ 
    /// requires  $\forall j. i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{b}[j]$ 
    /// ensures  $\text{theTree}[i] = \text{old}(\text{theTree}[i+1]) + s$ 
    /// ensures  $\forall j. \text{b}[j]$ 
    {
        theTree[i] += s;
        if(i < b.length) b[i] = true;
        if (i > 0)
        {
            b[(i-1)/2] = false;
            addToNode((i-1)/2, s);
        }
    }
}

```

- (f) The claim is as follows: all methods preserve the dented invariant, and the public methods preserve the condition $\forall j. \text{b}[j]$, which guarantees the undented invariant **U**.

Task 2

Consider the following example

```

class Redundant {
    int a, b;
    Logger l;

    /// invariant a == b

    public setLogger(Logger l) { this.l = l; }

    public void set( int v ) {
        a = v;
        l.log( "Inside set" );
        b = v;
    }

    public int div( int v ) {
        return v / ( a - b + 1 );
    }
}

```

```

}

class Logger {
    private Redundant r;

    public Logger(Redundant r) { this.r = r; }
    public void log( String m ) {
        System.out.println( m + r.div( 5 ) );
    }
}

```

- Write client code that causes `div` to throw an exception
- Suppose that we change the implementation of `set` as follows:

```

    public void set( int v ) {
        a = v;
        b = v;
    }

```

Can we still cause `div` to throw an exception by writing code outside the two classes?

Solution

- Here is an example (note that Java initializes integers to 0):

```

Redundant r = new Redundant();
Logger l = new Logger(r);
r.setLogger(l);
r.set(-1);

```

- We can break the code again. First we override `set` to re-introduce the unsafe callback in the subclass:

```

class ReallyRedundant extends Redundant
{
    public void set( int v ) {
        a = v;
        l.log( "Inside set" );
        b = v;
    }
}

```

And then we use the same trick again:

```

Redundant r = new ReallyRedundant();
Logger l = new Logger(r);
r.setLogger(l);
r.set(-1);

```

Task 3

Consider the following Java classes:

```

class Vector {
    public int x, y;
    Vector(int x, int y) {
        this.x=x;
        this.y=y;
    }
}

class SumVectors {
    public Vector[] a=new Vector[0];
}

```

```

public void insert(Vector vct) {
    Vector[] o=a;
    a=new Vector[a.length+1];
    for(int i=0; i<o.length; i++) a[i]=o[i];
    a[a.length-1]=vct;
}

public Vector sum() {
    int x=0, y=0;
    for(Vector v : a) {
        x+=v.x;
        y+=v.y;
    }
    return new Vector(x, y);
}
}

```

- Annotate the classes with specifications that ensure that there is no null-pointer dereferencing, that method insert inserts a new Vector object in the end of the array a, and that method sum computes the sum of all vectors in the array a.
- Annotate the following class with invariants, such that it is a behavioural subtype of SumVectors:

```

class FastSumVectors extends SumVectors
{
    int sx=0, sy=0;

    public void insert(Vector vct) {
        super.insert(vct);
        sx+=vct.x; sy+=vct.y;
    }

    public Vector sum() {
        return new Vector(sx, sy);
    }
}

```

Solution

- We need the following specifications:

```

class SumVectors
{
    public Vector[] a=new Vector[0];

    /// invariant  $a \neq \text{null} \wedge \forall v:a. v \neq \text{null}$ 

    public void insert(Vector vct)
    /// requires  $vct \neq \text{null}$ 
    /// ensures  $a.\text{length} = \text{old}(a).\text{length} + 1$ 
    /// ensures  $\forall i:\text{int}. 0 \leq i < \text{old}(a).\text{length} \Rightarrow$ 
    ///  $a[i] = \text{old}(a)[i]$ 
    /// ensures  $a[\text{old}(a).\text{length}] = vct$ 
    { ... }

    public Vector sum()
    /// ensures  $\text{result}.x = \sum_{i=0}^{a.\text{length}-1} a[i].x$ 
    /// ensures  $\text{result}.y = \sum_{i=0}^{a.\text{length}-1} a[i].y$ 
    { ... }
}

```

Note that there are some additional annotations that the questions doesn't ask for, which could nevertheless be useful:

- We might want to ensure that the element added to the array by `insert()` is indeed identical to the argument that was passed in (i.e. `vct` does not change).
- We could annotate `sum()` to indicate that it is pure.
- We only need one invariant:

$$sx = \sum_{i=0}^{a.length-1} a[i].x \wedge \sum_{i=0}^{a.length-1} a[i].y$$