WELCOME

Dear Attendees

A warm welcome to the “Hand, Brain and Technology: The Somatosensory System” Conference on Monte Verità, held within the Congressi Stefano Franscini (CSF) conference series! The tight functional coupling between hand and brain has greatly shaped the evolution of language, culture and technology. Any reduction or loss of hand function, whether of central or peripheral origin, has devastating effects on the independence and social integration of the affected person. And any treatment, be it through human or technological intervention, must account for this unique coupling. As a result, hand and brain have drawn strong interest from the social, medical and engineering sciences alike. In this second incarnation of the “Hand, Brain, and Technology” conference, we focus on the somatosensory aspect of hand function, its neural basis and its role in abstract cognition and emotion. We also feature efforts to restore somatosensation in various clinical contexts, including amputation, spinal cord injury, and stroke.

This is the fourth CSF conference on these topics, following two successful events organized by Mario Wiesendanger in 1994 (Sensorimotor Function of the Hand: Mechanics and Control) and 1998 in collaboration with Marie-Claude Hepp-Reymond (Neural Basis of Hand Dexterity), the former of which resulted in a book entitled “Hand and Brain – The Neurophysiology and Psychology of Hand Movements”, which has become classical reading in the field. In 2014, we complemented the previous two meetings with an engineering component, with the aim of promoting interaction and collaboration across disciplines. The present meeting builds directly on that of 2014, but with a focus on the importance of the somatosensory system.

Aligned with the philosophy of CSF conferences, there will be ample time for discussions, as well as for junior and advanced researchers to disseminate their work and interact extensively with senior researchers. We thank you all for coming, and for contributing to this unique event with your interest and presentations. We also thank our many sponsors, the CSF and our two partner clinics for making this event possible, and wish you an intellectually stimulating and inspiring week on the Monte Verità!

Sincerely,

The organizers

Prof. Roger Gassert
Rehabilitation engineering
Department of Health Sciences and Technology, ETH Zurich

Prof. Peter Brugger
Neuropsychology
Department of Neurology
University Hospital Zurich

Prof. Marie-Claude Hepp-Reymond
Neural control of grasping
Institute of Neuroinformatics
ETH Zurich and University of Zurich

Prof. Sliman Bensmaia
Somatosensory neuroscience and neuroprosthetics
University of Chicago

Dr. med. Fabio Mario Conti
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The CSF (Congressi Stefano Franscini) conference Hand, Brain and Technology 2018 is held on the Monte Verità in Ascona, Switzerland.
TRANSPORTATION

There will be a **FREE SHUTTLE SERVICE** (from Locarno train station to Monte Verità). We are offering a limited number of seats in a shuttle from the train station in Locarno to the conference venue on Monte Verità on the arrival and departure day. This shuttle is based on a first-come, first-served basis, with the following departure timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunday, August 26, 2018 (Departure from Locarno)</th>
<th>Friday, August 31, 2018 (Departure from Monte Verità)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:20</td>
<td>13:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>14:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:40</td>
<td>14:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:20</td>
<td>15:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>16:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:40</td>
<td>16:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


WIRELESS LAN

You will find access information for the Wi-Fi in the conference bag. Additional to the wireless LAN, a computer room at the conference venue is available for the participants.

PUBLIC EVENT

**More Than Skin Deep:**
What haptic illusions can teach about the brain

Thursday, 30th August 2018
Auditorium, Monte Verità, Ascona
8:30 - 9:30 pm – Mix of show and presentation
9:30 - 10:00 pm – Questions from the public

Free entrance, reservation appreciated: info@csf.ethz.ch, tel. 091 785 40 54/56

Prof. Dr. Peter Brugger¹, Prof. Dr. Vincent Hayward²

¹ University Hospital Zurich, Zurich
² Sorbonne Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris

We all know how easily our eyes can trick us into believing that a straight line is curved, a white surface is coloured, or that a friendly face is distorted. But we are much less aware of the fact that our sense of touch is as much subject to illusions as is the visual sense. This interactive demonstration will introduce you to the world of haptic illusions, and explore what they might tell us about the brain. You will experience flat surfaces that are felt to be curved, objects that are heavier than they should be, equally sized sticks that feel different, or tubes that become longer like Pinocchio’s nose. Illusions on your own body will also be shown. You will feel sensations on a plastic hand as if it was your own, trick your brain in rejecting sensations on your own skin, or experience “phantom touch”. While these demonstrations should convince you that illusions are at your fingertips, you will find the explanations suggested by the two experts as informative about your own self as they are entertaining.
EXCURSION & CONFERENCE DINNER

As one of the highlights of the "Hand, Brain and Technology" conference, we invite you to join us for a scenic excursion to discover the beautiful countryside of our host region Ticino on the afternoon of Wednesday, August 29th. The program includes a stroll through beautiful Locarno followed by a tour and show at the Falconeria Locarno and a lakeside dinner in the BLU Restaurant & Lounge, with a stunning view on the Lago Maggiore. Sponsored by the Clinica Hildebrand - Centro di Riabilitazione Brissago, this excursion promises to be a wonderful opportunity to engage with your fellow attendees in the unforgettable surroundings of the southern side of the Swiss Alps.

Program:
15:00: Bus from Monte Verità to Casinò Locarno
   Optional: 15min walk from Monte Verità to the pier of Ascona and a 30min boat ride to the pier of Locarno (Departures from Ascona at 13:55 and 15.25, 8.50 CHF fee)

15.15: Arrival at Casinò Locarno for an on-your-own-sightseeing tour through the picturesque village
   Recommendation: Cable-car ride up to the stunning Madonna del Sasso (7.20 CHF fee) and/or a walk across the Piazza Grande.

16:45 Bus from the Casinò Locarno to the Falconeria Locarno, for an exciting experience in the world of birds of prey and their astonishing grasping abilities (tour and show)

18.30: Transfer to the BLU Restaurant & Lounge, in Locarno, with a hosted apéro on the lakeside terrace and a four-course dinner.

23.30: Bus transfer back to Monte Verità

For more information follow the links below or see https://www.ticino.ch/

Falconeria Locarno
Via delle Scuole 12, 6600 Locarno
http://falconeria.ch/?lang=en

BLU Restaurant & Lounge
Via Gioacchino Respini 9, 6600 Locarno
www.blu-locarno.ch/en

Madonna del Sasso
Via Santuario 2, 6644 Orselina

Piazza Grande
Piazza Grande 14, 6600 Locarno

Organized with the kind support of:

CLINICA HILDEBRAND
Centro di riabilitazione Brissago
Evolution of the forelimb and cortical areas associated with limb use in mammals.

L. Krubitzer, PhD

University of California, Davis, US

Abstract
Forelimb morphology and use in mammals is extraordinarily diverse. Evolution has produced wings, flippers, hooves, paws and hands which are specialized for a variety of behaviors such as flight, swimming and grasping. While there is a wealth of data in human and non-human primates on the role of motor cortex and posterior parietal cortical areas in reaching and grasping with the hand, these cortical networks did not arise de novo in primates but likely arose from simpler networks. Yet, we know relatively little about how frontoparietal networks that control the forelimb have evolved. Previously our laboratory has examined the organization of somatosensory cortical areas in a variety of mammals and found that both morphology of the limb and how the limb is used are reflected in the organization of cortical fields. In the current study we examine the organization of movement maps using intracortical microstimulation techniques in a range of mammals to determine the extent of cortex from which movements can be evoked, and how behavioral specializations of the limb are represented in movement maps in the cortex. While some features of organization are similar across species, such as gross topography, most of the details of map organization are species specific and are more variable across species than are somatosensory maps. Further, movement representations are variable across individuals within a species suggesting that they are, in large part, a product of experience. This supposition is supported by studies in which we examined movement maps in rats reared in semi natural conditions in which the outdoor enclosure was 3000 times larger than standard laboratory cages. We found that motor maps contained representations of complex movements involving the forelimbs, hindlimbs and tail compared to laboratory reared animals indicating that early movement experience impacts motor map organization.

References


Short Biography
Leah Krubitzer is currently a professor in the Department of Psychology and Center for Neuroscience at the University of California, Davis. She received a BS at Penn State University and a PhD in Psychology at Vanderbilt University, Nashville Tennessee. Her graduate work, under the mentorship of Dr. Jon Kaas focused on the evolution of visual cortex in primates. Her work on the evolution of the neocortex was extended in her postdoctoral work at the University of Queensland, Australia to include a variety of mammals such as monotremes and marsupials. While in Australia she performed comparative analysis of the neocortex in a variety of different species and to date has worked on the brains of over 45 different mammals. Her current research focuses on the impact of early experience on the cortical phenotype, and she specifically examines the effects of the sensory environment on the development of connections, functional organization and behavior and seeks to understand how culture impacts brain development. She also examines the evolution of sensory motor networks involved in manual dexterity, reaching and grasping in mammals. She received a MacArthur award for her work on evolution.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Monday: Neurophysiology</th>
<th>Tuesday: Cognitive Neurosciences</th>
<th>Wednesday: Haptics and Neurorehab</th>
<th>Thursday: Neuroprosthetics/Modelling</th>
<th>Friday: Sensorimotor Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09h00–09h20</td>
<td>Welcome address</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hubert Dinse</td>
<td>Silvestro Micera</td>
<td>Stephen H. Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09h20–09h40</td>
<td>Silman Bensmaia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Benjamin Tee</td>
<td>Mike D. Rinderknecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09h40–10h00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giacomo Valle</td>
<td>Andreea Sburlea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h00–10h20</td>
<td>Ingvars Birznieks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h20–10h50</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h50–11h10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h10–11h30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h30–11h50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h50–12h10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12h10–12h30</td>
<td>Esther Kuehn</td>
<td>Xaver Fuchs</td>
<td>Felix Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12h40–14h30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Yon Visell</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14h30–14h50</td>
<td>Poster FF</td>
<td>Tamar Makin</td>
<td>13h: Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lee Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14h50–15h10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dustin Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15h10–15h30</td>
<td>Richard A. Andersen</td>
<td>Fabrice R. Sarlegna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15h30–15h50</td>
<td>Amanda Kaas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gregory A. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15h50–16h20</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yufei Wu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16h20–16h40</td>
<td>Poster FF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jeffrey Yau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16h40–17h00</td>
<td>Mackenzie Mathis</td>
<td>Frédérique de Vignemont</td>
<td>15h: Excursion &amp; Conference dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Laura Edmondson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17h00–17h20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ella Striem-Amit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17h20–17h40</td>
<td>Daniel Huber</td>
<td>Daan Wesselink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17h40–18h00</td>
<td>Aldo Faisal</td>
<td>Gianluca Saetta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18h00–18h20</td>
<td>Benoit Delhaye</td>
<td>General discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18h30–20h30</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20h30–21h30</td>
<td>Poster session</td>
<td>Leah Krubitzer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Notes:**
- **13h: Lunch**
- **15h: Excursion & Conference dinner**
- **Departure**
# TALKS:
## DAILY SCHEDULES AND ABSTRACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEUROPHYSIOLOGY (A)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE (B)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAPTICS AND NEUROREHAB (C)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEUROPROSTHETICS AND MODELLING (D)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSORIMOTOR CONTROL (E)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NEUROPHYSIOLOGY

**Monday, August 27, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09h00 – 09h20</td>
<td>Welcome address</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 09h20 – 10h00 | **Sliman Bensmaia** (U Chicago)  
*The neural basis of somatosensation in primate cortex* |
| 10h00 – 10h20 | **Ingvars Birznieks** (U New South Wales)  
*Talking to the brain in its own language* |
| 10h20 – 10h50 | Coffee                                                                |
| 10h50 – 11h30 | **Roland Johansson** (Umea U)  
*Fast and accurate macrogeometric tactile processing during object manipulation* |
| 11h30 – 12h10 | **Hans Scherberger** (German Primate Center, U Göttingen)  
*Cortical sensory processing for grasping in primates* |
| 12h10 – 12h30 | **Esther Kuehn** (DZNE Magdeburg, Max Planck Institute)  
*Cortical microstructure of the human hand area* |
| 12h40 – 14h30 | Lunch                                                                 |
| 14h30 – 14h50 | Poster fast-forward                                                   |
| 14h50 – 15h30 | **Richard A. Andersen** (Caltech)  
*Advancing Neuroprosthetics with High-Dimensional Control and Stimulation Induced Somatosensory Feedback* |
| 15h30 – 15h50 | **Amanda Kaas** (Maastricht U)  
*Selective responses to vibrotactile frequencies in primary somatosensory cortex* |
| 16h20 – 16h40 | Poster fast-forward                                                   |
| 16h40 – 17h20 | **Mackenzie Mathis** (Harvard U)  
*The role of somatosensory cortex during motor adaptation in mice* |
| 17h20 – 17h40 | **Daniel Huber** (U Geneva)  
*Frequency selective encoding of substrate vibrations in the somatosensory cortex* |
| 17h40 – 18h00 | **Aldo Faisal** (Imperial College London)  
*Towards understanding the dynamical representations for hand control* |
| 18h00 – 18h20 | **Benoit Delhaye** (U Chicago)  
*Rapid geometric feature signaling in the spiking activity of populations of tactile nerve fibers* |
| 18h30 – 20h30 | Dinner                                                                |
| 20h30 –       | Poster session (Sala Balint)                                          |
Toward the neural basis of stereognosis: Neural coding of hand proprioception and touch

Bensmaia, Sliman J. 1*

1 Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, US.

Abstract
The hand, our primary means of interaction with objects, comprises a deformable sensory sheet: The relative position of receptors in the skin depends on the conformation of the hand. When we grasp an object, we can sense its three-dimensional structure from somatosensory signals from the hand without the contribution of vision. This ability – stereognosis – requires the integration of tactile and proprioceptive signals from the hand. While proprioception provides information about the global shape of an object, touch provides information about the local contours of the object at the points of contact with the hand. How the nervous system goes about integrating these two streams of information is largely unknown.

Part of the problem is that little is known about how the conformation of the hand is represented in the brain. To fill this gap, we investigated how hand movements and postures are represented in somatosensory cortex. To this end, we had animals perform a grasping task while we tracked its hand movements and recorded from somatosensory neurons. We then characterized the response properties of these neurons using the Generalized Linear Model. We found that individual neurons respond to multiple joints distributed over the hand. Furthermore, we find that they are more sensitive to the posture of the hand than its movements, in contrast to neurons that encode the proximal limb. Interestingly, the multi-joint response fields of individual neurons were unrelated to the correlations of hand joints. That is, the set of joints encoded by a given neuron were not necessarily highly correlated with one another during grasp. This, in turn, raises the question of what principles guide representations of hand posture in cortex.

As mentioned above, proprioception only provides information about the global shape of an object. To study how local contours of an object are encoded, we continue to investigate the neural basis of touch. Most recently, we have characterized the representation of natural textures in somatosensory cortex. Texture perception operates over six orders of magnitude – from hundreds of nanometers to tens of millimeters – so affords us an opportunity to investigate the principles of tactile processing over the range of tangible spatial scales. We find that somatosensory cortex carries a high-dimensional representation of texture. The principal axis of this representation is highly predictive of perceived roughness. Another axis encodes spatial scale, with one extremum encoding coarsely textured surfaces and the other finely textured ones. The two extrema seem to receive their strongest input from different populations of nerve fibers.

Finally, the lab is embarking on the challenging journey of probing proprioceptive and tactile representations in a touch, inching ever closer to the holy grail of stereognosis.

Short Biography
Bio: Dr. Sliman Bensmaia received a B.A. in Cognitive Science from the University of Virginia in 1995, and a PhD in Cognitive Psychology from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, in 2003, under the tutelage of Dr. Mark Hollins. He then joined the laboratory of Dr. Kenneth Johnson, at the Johns Hopkins University Krieger Mind/Brain Institute, as a postdoctoral fellow. In 2009, Dr. Bensmaia joined the faculty in the Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy at the University of Chicago, where he is also a member of the Committees on Neurobiology and on Computational Neuroscience. Bensmaia is a leading expert on the neural basis of somatosensation in human and non-human primates, which his laboratory investigates by combining psychophysics, neurophysiology, and computational modeling. Bensmaia also seeks to apply insights from basic science to develop approaches to convey sensory feedback in upper-limb neuroprostheses.
**Abstract**

To address the challenge of understanding how tactile information is encoded by tactile afferents and then interpreted by the brain, we developed stimulation methods that enabled us to create neural firing patterns in peripheral afferents with a precisely controlled temporal structure. As all information from tactile receptors is communicated to the brain via spike train patterns, and we can design and create virtually any arbitrary spike train pattern using non-invasive pulsatile mechanical stimuli, we now have the opportunity to correlate controlled changes in the firing pattern and timing of individual spikes with changes in perceptual qualities evoked by these stimuli. Our experiments clearly demonstrate that features of stimuli reflected only in the temporal pattern of spike trains do determine perception and thus must be utilized at some levels of neural processing. We have found that vibrotactile frequency perception depends on the duration of silent gaps between spike bursts, regardless of the spike count within the burst. We also have evidence that low spiking rates in FAII afferents are consciously perceived and the frequency easily discriminated, indicating that FAII afferents are suitable for detecting fast discrete mechanical transients with low repetition rate as might arise during object manipulation or exploration of surfaces with sparsely distributed sharp asperities or ridges. These findings contribute to the understanding of the fundamental principles of receptor and neural specificity and how the nervous system combines such inputs to ensure stimulus perception constancy.

**References**


**Short Biography**

Dr Ingvars Birznieks is a sensory neurophysiologist interested in tactile sensory information encoding mechanisms. He received his PhD training at Umeå University in Sweden focusing on tactile sensory control of dexterous manipulation in humans. During his postdoctoral period he moved to Australia, first to the University of Melbourne and then to Neuroscience Research Australia in Sydney. After his postdoctoral studies he has fulfilled his long-standing ambition to establish his own research laboratory and create an inter-disciplinary research network linking neuroscience, biomedical engineering and clinical neurology.

Dr Ingvars Birznieks is a senior research fellow at Neuroscience Research Australia and senior lecturer at UNSW Sydney.
Fast and accurate macrogeometric tactile processing during object manipulation

Roland S Johansson

Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

Abstract
The populations of first order tactile afferent neurons that innervate the inside of the hand signal soft tissue transformations that occur when the hand interacts with objects, thus providing moment-to-moment information about the contact state between the object and the hand. A critical aspect of dexterous object manipulation is that the relevant spatiotemporal tactile information is sufficiently accurate and that it can be used quickly and efficiently by the brain. My talk addresses encoding and use of tactile afferent information in the control of manual dexterity. In particular, I discuss how the peripheral organization of first order tactile neurons in humans might promote rapid and automatic processing of macrogeometric tactile information required to control fingertips in fine manipulation tasks. The emphasis is on population coding and representation of object location and orientation within fingertips based on objects’ edge-based features.

Key Words: Human, Hand, Touch, Tactile system, Neurons/physiology, Fine motor skills, Object manipulation

References

Short Biography
Johansson received his MD/PhD 1978 at Umeå University, and in 1988 he became a full professor in physiology at the same university. His research primarily addresses the organization and function of the neural mechanisms that give our hands their extraordinary sensorimotor skills, with focus on the hands’ tactile sensory innervation and on multimodal sensorimotor control mechanisms crucial to dexterity. In addition, he has contributed with pioneering research in human orofacial neurophysiology and muscle physiology. During his career, Johansson has received several academic honors and awards and since 2004 he is a member of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences.
Cortical sensory processing for grasping in primates

Hansjörg Scherberger1,2*

1 Neurobiology Laboratory, German Primate Center (DPZ), Göttingen, Germany
2 Faculty of Biology and Psychology, University of Göttingen, Germany

Abstract
Hand function plays an important role in all primate species, and its loss is associated with severe disability. Grasping movements are complex motor acts for which the brain needs to integrate sensory and cognitive signals to generate behaviourally meaningful actions. To achieve this computation, specialized brain areas in the primate parietal (anterior intraparietal area, AIP), premotor (area F5), and primary motor cortex (M1 hand area) are functionally connected.
This presentation highlights recent experimental results in non-human primates to characterize how these cortical areas generate grasping movements on the basis of sensory signals. Tactile and visual sensory information is represented in these grasp-related areas, but only when they are behaviorally relevant for the preparation of grasping actions. Furthermore, the processing of visual and tactile information for preparing the same grasping action seems to be distinct in these areas, indicating different sensori-motor processes for preparing visually-based and tactiley-based grasping actions, even at the level of premotor cortex. Sensorimotor transformation from different sensory sources might therefore take quite distinct pathways for the same motor act.

Short Biography
Hans Scherberger received his Master in Mathematics (1993) and his Medical Doctor (1996) from Freiburg University, Germany. He currently heads the Neurobiology Lab at the German Primate Center and is Professor for Primate Neurobiology at Göttingen University (since 2008). He was trained in systems electrophysiology at the University of Zurich (1995-1998) and the California Institute of Technology (1998-2003) before leading a research group at the Institute of Neuroinformatics at Zurich University and ETH (2004-2009). His research focuses on neural coding and decoding of hand movements and their interactions with sensory systems.
Cortical microstructure of the human hand area

Esther Kuehn†, Nikolaus Weiskopf, Martin Sereno, Thomas Wolbers

1 DZNE Magdeburg, Germany
2 Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences Magdeburg, Germany
3 Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences Leipzig, Germany

Abstract
The microstructure of the cortex gives unique and unprecedented insights into the organizational principles of human brain function. In particular, cortical myeloarchitecture determines the speed and precision of neuronal input-output signal flows, and changes in myeloarchitectonic patterns differentiate adjacent cortical fields. Here, we used a novel and recently validated technology offered by ultra-high field imaging at 7 Tesla to describe for the first time the in-vivo layer-dependent cortical myeloarchitecture of the human hand area in primary somatosensory cortex. Individual changes in cortical myelination in different cortical layers were related to basic hand functions such as tactile perception and tactile discrimination, as well as to grip strength and motor control. Myelination in middle layers of primary somatosensory cortex was investigated in particular detail to determine whether myelin-poor borders could be identified within the somatosensory representation of the hand (using similar methodology as in Kuehn et al. 2017 and Kuehn et al. 2018). In contrast to current textbook knowledge, my data show that cortical myeloarchitecture in the human hand area is not homogenous, but presents with area-specific as well as layer-specific profiles that can be related to individual hand function. Individual as well as group-coherent differences in cortical myeloarchitecture reveal organizational principles that may be used to explain short-term as well as long-term plasticity-related changes in human hand function.

References

Short Biography
In the course of my PhD (2009-2013) that I conducted at the Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, I used 7 Tesla fMRI to show that fine-grained finger maps in human area 3b can be activated by pure vision of touch. During my postdoc at UCL, I focused on structure-function mapping, and showed that myelin-poor septa separate the representations of the hand and the face in human S1. Since 2015, I work as postdoctoral fellow at the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases and focus on microstructural imaging of the sensorimotor system and aging.
Advancing neuroprosthetics with high-dimensional control and stimulation induced somatosensory feedback

Richard Andersen*†

*† California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

Abstract

To explore how high-level intentions may be used for neural prosthetic applications, we have implanted microelectrode arrays in the presumed human analog of the anterior intraparietal cortex (AIP) in tetraplegic participants. In non-human primates AIP neurons have been found to encode hand shapes for grasping behaviors. We find that individual neurons in human AIP are tuned to particular imagined hand shapes and these hand shapes can be decoded from the population activity.

Surprisingly, we also find that AIP is very high dimensional coding a variety of variables including movements of both hands and both shoulders, observed actions, and memory based decisions. We find that the variables are mixed on individual neurons, but the mixing is not random and has structure. Strategy (attempted or imagined movements) and body side (left or right) are more overlapping in the population and effector (shoulder or hand) is more separated. We refer to this organization as partially mixed selectivity. Computations that are similar may be more overlapping whereas those that may interfere with each other may be more separated. This high dimensional coding is an advantage for neural prosthetics as a great deal of information can be read out from a single array in AIP.

Bidirectional brain machine interfaces (BMIs) would not only read out intended movements, but also would close the loop by providing somatosensory feedback to improve object manipulation. We implanted two microelectrode arrays for intracortical microstimulation in primary somatosensory cortex of a tetraplegic participant. The participant reported cutaneous and proprioceptive sensations in the contralateral arm with microstimulation. For microelectrodes in which more than one sensation could be elicited, we found that proprioceptive sensations were associated with higher amplitudes of stimulation. The natural quality of the percepts, the stimulation of both cutaneous and proprioceptive sensations, and the variation of percept modality with stimulus amplitude are promising results for advancing the somatosensory feedback component of a bi-directional interface.
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Selective responses to vibrotactile frequencies in primary somatosensory cortex

Amanda Kaas1,2*, Judith Eck1,2, Till Steinbach1, Valentin Kemper1,2, Rainer Goebel1,2,3

1 Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, UNS 40, 6229 ER Maastricht, The Netherlands
2 Maastricht Brain Imaging Center, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
3 The Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, an Institute of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract
According to standard neuroscience textbooks, primary somatosensory cortex contains columns that respond selectively to information from rapidly and slowly adapting afferents. This is supported by neurophysiological (Sur et al. 1981) and intrinsic optical imaging data (Friedman et al. 2004) from non-human primates. However, to our knowledge, such selectivity has never been shown in humans. The current study uses ultra-high field 7T functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate whether frequency information can be decoded from the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) finger region in healthy humans. To identify the region of interest (ROI) for slice positioning and subsequent analyses, we performed a finger mapping in which the fingertips of right hand D2, D3, and D4 were stimulated with 25Hz vibrotactile stimulation. In three subsequent runs, only the fingertip of right hand D3 received a pseudorandom sequence of 1Hz and 25Hz stimulation, in order to preferentially activate neurons responsive to information from the slowly adapting type 1 and rapidly adapting type 1 afferents. Stimulation was delivered at three different amplitudes to rule out potential confounding effects of differences in perceived intensity.

In preliminary data from three participants (1 male, 2 female), we found S1 voxels with a frequency preference, defined as a significant t-value that was higher for one or the other condition. Using a leave-one-run out support vector machine procedure on t-values extracted from three different anatomical S1 ROIs presumed to correspond to regions BA1, BA2 and BA3, we found above chance frequency classification in BA1, whereas amplitude could not be classified above-chance.

This study provides first evidence for frequency preference in human S1. Future research could investigate whether this is more pronounced at cortical depths corresponding to feedforward processing. These findings could be used to develop models on how tactile inputs are combined to form representations relevant to object manipulation.

References

Short Biography
Amanda Kaas obtained her PhD at Maastricht University in 2006 investigating the neural and behavioral basis of active touch and tactile working memory, She went on to do a post-doc at the MPI for Brain Research, Frankfurt a.M., Germany with Dr. Lars Muckli, using fMRI to study visual mental imagery. In 2008, she became a postdoc with Professor Rainer Goebel, investigating somatosensory strategies for fMRI Brain-Computer Interfacing. Currently, she is an assistant professor at the Cognitive Neuroscience Department, Maastricht University, the Netherlands. Her research focuses on somatosensory processing and (maladaptive) somatosensory plasticity after learning, in chronic pain and stroke.
Somatosensory Cortex Plays an Essential Role in Forelimb Motor Adaptation in Mice

Mackenzie Mathis

1 The Rowland Institute at Harvard, Harvard University. Cambridge, MA USA

Abstract
Our motor outputs are constantly re-calibrated to adapt to systematic perturbations. This motor adaptation is thought to depend on the ability to form a memory of a systematic perturbation, often called an internal model. However, the mechanisms underlying the formation, storage, and expression of such models remain unknown. Here, we developed a mouse model to study forelimb adaptation to force field perturbations. We found that temporally precise photoinhibition of somatosensory cortex (S1) applied concurrently with the force field abolished the ability to update subsequent motor commands needed to reduce motor errors. This S1 photoinhibition did not impair basic motor patterns, post-perturbation completion of the action, or their performance in a reward-based learning task. Moreover, S1 photoinhibition after partial adaptation blocked further adaptation, but did not affect the expression of already-adapted motor commands. Thus, S1 is critically involved in updating the memory about the perturbation that is essential for forelimb motor adaptation.
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Frequency selective encoding of substrate vibrations in the somatosensory cortex

Daniel Huber1*

1 Department of Basic Neurosciences, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract
Sensing vibrations that propagate through solid substrates conveys fundamental information about moving objects and other nearby dynamic events. Here we report that neurons responsive to substrate vibrations applied to the mouse forelimb reveal a new way of representing frequency information in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1). In contrast to vibrotactile stimulation of primate glabrous skin, which produces temporally entrained spiking and frequency independent firing rates, we found that mouse S1 neurons rely on a different coding scheme: their spike rates are conspicuously tuned to a preferred frequency of the stimulus. Histology, peripheral nerve block and optogenetic tagging experiments furthermore reveal that these responses are associated with the activation of mechanoreceptors located in deep subdermal tissue of the distal forelimb. We conclude that the encoding of frequency information of substrate-borne vibrations in the mouse S1 might be analogous to the representation of pitch of airborne sound in auditory cortex.
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Towards understanding the dynamical representations for hand control

Aldo Faisal$^{1,2,3}$

Brain & Behavior Lab: $^1$Dept. of Computing, $^2$Dept. of Bioengineering, $^3$Data Science Institute, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK,

Abstract
We can accurately determine the shape of objects from haptic feedback, even in the absence of vision and manipulate unseen objects with ease in our fingers. This is possible because our brains perform neural operations on haptic sensory information which require to date unclear encoding strategies and unknown computations. While much of the effort in understanding human haptic function has been based on perceptual mechanisms, we are currently exploring both sensorimotor and cognitive-level understanding of haptic function. We explore normative approaches and ask how should representations of hand and finger proprioceptive be encoded? We will present current work from our lab reflecting on efficient sensory coding of dynamical finger actions and computational models that can explain how in-hand object manipulations with our fingers allows us to simultaneously localise and map objects.
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Rapid geometric feature signaling in the spiking activity of populations of tactile nerve fibers

Benoit P Delhaye†*, Xinyue Xia†, Sliman J Bensmaia†

† Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

Abstract
The dexterous manipulation of objects requires the extraction of geometric features at each contact location. To button a shirt, for instance, requires precise information about orientation of the button with respect to the fingertips, and this information is typically not available visually. The longstanding theory is that local geometric features are extracted from the spatial layout of the response of populations of tactile nerve fibers (1, 2). However, recent evidence suggests that edge orientation can be extracted very quickly (<130ms)(3), casting doubt on the spatial layout hypothesis, which presumably requires that neuronal signals be integrated over time. An alternative hypothesis is that orientation is conveyed in precise temporal spiking patterns, which in principle can lead to quicker information transmission (4). Here, we simulate the responses of tactile afferent from the entire fingertip (~800 afferents) to edges indented into the skin, using a recently developed and validated model of the afferent responses (5). We then assess how quickly and accurately edge orientation can be extracted from the population response. First, we use a machine learning (ML) approach to gauge the limits of the orientation information carried in the population response. We find that population responses can lead to high angular accuracy (< 3°) within a very short period of time (<50ms), and this signal does not rely on precise spike timing. Second, we implement a biomimetic decoder of orientation, consisting of a bank of oriented Gabor filters, designed to mimic the responses of cortical neurons. We find that the biomimetic approach leads to orientation decoding performance that approaches the limit set by the ML and is more robust to changes in other stimulus features. Finally, we show that orientation signals in cortex follow a time course consistent with our biological decoding approach.
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Vicarious experience of painful, pleasant, and intimate touch through immersive virtual reality

Salvatore Maria Aglioti\textsuperscript{1,2,*}, Martina Fusaro\textsuperscript{2,3}, Matteo Lisi\textsuperscript{1,2}, Gaetano Tieri\textsuperscript{2,3}

\textsuperscript{1} Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy
\textsuperscript{2} Fondazione Santa Lucia, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
\textsuperscript{3} Unitelma Sapienza, Rome Italy

Abstract
Seeing other people being touched or painfully stimulated activates similar brain areas as when we experience touch or pain ourselves. Immersive Virtual Reality (IVR) is a new powerful tool that allows researchers to create extremely veridical vicarious experiences.

To explore the behavioural and bodily reactivity to observed pain and pleasant touch, we performed a series of studies based on a novel paradigm in which healthy participants immersed in a virtual reality scenario observed a virtual needle penetrating (pain) or a virtual hand caressing (pleasure) the hand of an avatar seen from a first (1PP)- or a third (3PP)-person perspective. Explicit (i.e. VAS ratings of the experience associated to observation of each stimulus and referred to the self- or to others) and implicit measurements (skin conductance reactivity, SCR) were collected. Expanding our previous findings (Fusaro et al., 2016) we show that participants felt high illusory feeling of ownership over the virtual hand only when they observed it in 1PP and received painful or pleasant stimuli. Skin conductance responses showed higher reactivity to painful stimuli regardless the physical perspective (1PP vs 3PP), particularly for the self-related condition.

In a second series of studies, healthy heterosexual, male and female participants embodied an avatar and then underwent touches in different parts (including breast and genitalia) of the virtual body (that was felt as own). In addition to the strong feeling of being touched, participants reported about the different aspects of the experience (social acceptability, sexual arousal, pleasantness) while undergoing SCR recording. Preliminary results indicate that the vicarious experience in virtual reality allows to address more directly delicate issues that can otherwise be explored only through imagination (Suvilehto et al, 2015). Thus, IVR may offer unprecedented opportunities to explore the effect of somatosensory stimuli (like pain and touch) even when they are not actually delivered.
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Numbers are handy concepts

Martin H. Fischer1*

1 Cognitive Sciences, University of Potsdam, Germany

Abstract
The concept of number has traditionally been considered as a prototypical instance of abstract(ed) knowledge. It denotes the size of any arbitrary set of objects, thus seemingly preventing systematic correlations with sensory or motor features. Yet, numerosity does co-vary with physical parameters in manual perception and action. In this presentation, I describe how number processing obligatorily activates sensory and motor features of our hands and vice versa. These bi-directional links suffice to identify numbers as embodied concepts. Implications for research and theorizing will be discussed.
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Grasping and Sensing the World through Tools

Alessandro Farnè¹*, Luke E. Miller², Vincent Hayward³

¹ Impact Lab, Lyon Neuroscience Research Centre
² INSERM U1028 ImpAct Team, France
³ Sorbonne Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris

Abstract
Along evolution, humans have reached a high level of sophistication in the way they control their environment. One important step in this process has been the development of tools, enabling humans to go beyond the boundaries of their physical possibilities to both sense and grasp objects. The ability to use tools is one of the most remarkable skills of the human species and, although not a unique feature of the human kind, humans’ mastering of tools has reached an exquisite level of complexity. Quite paradoxically, however, we know relatively little of what makes humans such good masters of tools. Grasping through tools is a major challenge for the motor system, in that the control of the hand, otherwise typical final effector, needs to be transferred to the prehensile part of the tool. In the first part of my talk I will present findings suggesting that motor control is not merely distalised from the fingers to a grabber prongs: rather, when we use tools to grasp objects, the body of the tool is incorporated into our arm representation, as shown by both motor and tactile tasks (Cardinali et al, 2009; Martel et al, 2016). Sensing through tools challenges the somatosensory system heavily and allows for extracting a wealth of information from the sensed objects and the sensing tool itself. I will present recent findings showing that distalisation does not apply to tool sensing either. We can localize impacts on the entire surface of a hand-held rod with great accuracy, a phenomenon we termed tool-extended sensing. We also find evidence suggesting that impact-location is encoded by the rod’s vibratory response and then decoded by spiking patterns of Pacinian mechanoreceptors in the hand. Together, these findings indicate that rather than mere distal links between the hand and environment, tools are treated by the nervous system as sensorimotor extensions of the body.
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Individual differences in tactile tests of finger gnosis correlate specifically with symbolic number skills (but not with other Gerstmann’s functions) in primary school children

Delia Guagnano¹, Marianna Riello¹, Andreas Kleinschmidt², Elena Rusconi³*
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Abstract
A combination of difficulties in finger gnosis, writing, calculating, and left-right orientation in children has been referred to as developmental Gerstmann syndrome, alluding to Gerstmann’s description of this tetrad in adult patients with acquired brain lesions in the dominant posterior parietal lobe (Rusconi, 2018). A debate revolves around the enigmatic, if at all real, functional relation between these symptoms and whether deficits in finger gnosis may play a pivotal role (Miller & Hynd, 2004). In two cross-sectional studies with primary school children (Grades 2-5; no learning disabilities) we probed the cohesiveness of the functions that fail in this tetrad of symptoms. In Study 1, we screened 92 children with a battery of tests that can capture facets of the functions failing in Gerstmann syndrome including tactile tests of finger gnosis and tests of calculation. As a control for functional specificity, we also tested constructional skills. In Study 2, we screened 156 children with representative tests from Study 1 and with additional tests of number processing (parity and magnitude judgments) and visuo-spatial intelligence. In Study 1, we found positive correlations between finger gnosis and constructional skills, constructional skills and left-right orientation, finger gnosis and number skills. Only the latter remained significant after controlling for Grade. Bayesian statistics showed substantial evidence for the lack of correlation in the Gerstmann cluster of functions. In Study 2, we found decisive evidence for a positive correlation between finger gnosis and number skills, independent of handwriting, left-right orientation, constructional skills, visuo-spatial intelligence and Grade. In conclusion, functions failing in Gerstmann syndrome do not stand out as a distinct and cohesive functional cluster in developmental age. However, our data are consistent with either a functional cross-talk or a common maturational factor specific to finger gnosis (as measured via tactile tests) and symbolic number skills.
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RSS-induced tactile improvement transfers from one hand to the other one

Silvia Macchione1,2,3*, Dolly-Anne Muret1,2, Eric Koun1,2,3, Hubert R. Dinse4, Karen T. Reilly1,2, Alessandro Farnè1,2,3
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Abstract
Repetitive somatosensory stimulation (RSS) consists of a few hours of passive stimulation which improves the tactile acuity of a stimulated fingertip without involving any effort or attention by the subject. This experimentally-induced perceptual improvement has long been thought to be highly local and specific to the stimulated region as, when applied to the right-D2, RSS boosts the spatial tactile perception of this finger but not of the homologous left-D2, neither of the adjacent right-D3, nor of the far right-D5. Contrary to the large evidence for its local nature, recent work from our group challenged this view since we found that RSS on the right-D2 improves tactile acuity not only at this finger, but also at the level of the face. The finding revealed that RSS can actually induce also remote behavioral effects, and this calls the question about how it is possible that improvement goes to the face but does not transfer a little nearby, to other fingers. In three behavioral psychophysics experiments (including a double-blind control experiment), we mapped out the tactile improvement induced remotely by RSS over the face and hands, namely the index, thumb and middle fingers of both hands. Besides replicating the hand to face remote improvement, we found that both fingers adjacent to the stimulated one in the opposite hand (left-D1 and left-D3) were also improved, whereas no change was observed for their homologues within the RSS stimulated hand (right-D1 and right-D3). There results demonstrate that RSS-induced tactile improvement is not only local in hands, but it spreads from one hand to the other one.
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Active tactile search improves localization of touch

Xaver Fuchs1*, Dirk U. Wulff2,3, Tobias Heed1
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Abstract

Visual localization often uses a two-step strategy: Gaze is first directed from far away to near the target; a second saccade then uses online visual information to correct the remaining error. This two-step strategy is very efficient in terms of reducing memory capacities because information about the environment does not need to be stored in detail, but can be accessed online when it is needed. In touch localization, error can arise from inaccuracy of tactile, proprioceptive and motor systems. For a movement towards a touch, the 2D skin target location must be converted into a 3D target location for the finger. We tested whether two-step search strategies using online sensory feedback exist in the tactile system and compensate initial errors in localization of touch on the skin.

Participants received brief touches on their forearm with their eyes closed. During localization, they first touched their arm with their opposite index finger and were allowed to then correct the indicated location by moving the finger on the arm.

We observed improvements in localization in most (~70%) trials. Larger improvements were evident especially when initial errors were large. Improvements in localization mainly occurred in the first half of on-skin trajectories, whereas later adjustments usually did not reduce error.

We conclude that inaccuracy in tactile localization can be compensated by tactile search strategies using online sensory information especially when the discrepancies between the target and initial localizations are large. Accordingly, most tactile localization experiments have potentially overestimated localization error, because online tactile feedback and adjustment are usually prevented. These improvements may imply a similar two-step strategy as in vision. Nevertheless, localization improvement in the vicinity of the target seems limited. Loss of efficiency in the course of search trajectories suggests that, at least on the arm, remaining errors cannot be fully compensated.
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Hand plasticity: Use-dependent S1 reorganisation in amputees

Tamar R. Makin*1

1 Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, UK

Abstract
I will present recent results and ideas about how the brain adapts to extreme changes in resources, due to hand loss and the need to pick up alternative behavioural strategies. I will explore the neural correlates of a range of "alternative hands", including extraordinarily dexterous toepfoot usage and artificial limbs. Based on these findings I will challenge some of the basic textbook assumptions about the triggers and barriers of brain plasticity, and suggest an alternative framework for the process of brain reorganisation in the sensorimotor hand area.
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Loss of Proprioception Impacts Perception, Action and Cognition: Evidence from Deafferented Patients

**Fabrice Sarlegna**

1 Institute of Movement Sciences, CNRS & Aix-Marseille University, France

**Abstract**

Loss of proprioception is known to be debilitating for the everyday life of numerous individuals such as stroke patients or Parkinson Disease patients. To determine the specific contribution(s) of proprioceptive signals to motor control and learning, we have studied rare individuals who, after a massive Sensory Neuropathy, suffered a massive loss of the large-diameter sensory afferents. This has resulted in a severe impairment in the perception of position and movement sense about the body’s segments. Despite motor pathways and motor learning seem unaffected, movement control is severely impaired. This is evidenced by the immense difficulties in walking, which typically result in the use of a wheelchair, abnormal limb drift without visual feedback, and large motor variability without and also with visual feedback. The somatosensory deficit likely resulted in the development of compensatory cognitive strategies. Overall, loss of proprioception largely impacts the everyday life of such deafferented patients and likely explains some of the deficits observed in other neurological patients. These findings, combined with those of fundamental research on healthy individuals, highlight the role of proprioception for perception and action control. Visual feedback mechanisms can only partially compensate for a massive proprioceptive loss, indicating that developments in sensory substitution and rehabilitation are necessary to optimize functional recovery.
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KEYNOTE

Stretching the body: Can one tickle a tool?

Frederique de Vignemont

Institut Jean Nicod, ENS, Paris, France

Abstract
We extend our body a hundred times a day by using tools, which enable us to do more and farther away, and possibly even to feel farther away. More and more findings can be taken as evidence for the embodiment of tools. However, I shall consider three possible limits to tool embodiment. First, one may ask whether one feels sensations in tools in the same way as one feels sensations in one’s body. Second, one may ask whether one feels the same types of sensations in tools, and in particular pain, itch and tickle. Third, one may ask whether one can feel a sense of ownership for tools. I shall conclude that it is beneficial for tools NOT to be fully embodied.
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Visual, somatosensory and motor neural action systems in people born without hands

Ella Striem-Amit1*, Gilles Vannuscorps1,2,3, Moritz Wurm1,2, Alfonso Caramazza1,2

1 Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA
2 Center for Mind/Brain Sciences, Università degli Studi di Trento, Mattarello, 38122, Italy
3 Faculty of Psychology and Educational ciences and Psychological Sciences Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1348, Belgium

Abstract
What determines the role of brain regions, and their plasticity when typical inputs or experience is not provided? To what extent can extreme compensatory use affect brain organization?
I present studies conducted on people born without hands (upper-limb dysplasics) showing that manual sensory-motor experience is not required for the development of several hand-selective association brain systems. These include an intact hand-tool conjoint selectivity in the ventral visual cortex despite the life-long use of feet to manipulate tools, and intact action observation decoding in the ventral and dorsal streams. Movement of the foot, used as an effector by the dysplasics, selectivity engages an anterior intraparietal sulcus hand-selective region, suggesting potential retention of this region’s role in effector-tool interaction. In contrast to the retained function in the visual association cortices, the primary somatosensory and motor cortices of the dysplasics show a reorganization based on neuroanatomical constraints such as topographic proximity and connectivity, and not on functional effector-use. These are selectively activated by the close-by shoulder, and not for the compensatorily-used foot, as is found in late-onset amputation or deafferentation.
These findings suggest that the visual and visuomotor association action system, in contrast to the primary sensorimotor cortex, does not rely on sensorimotor experience or simulation. Instead, the data suggest that these regions pertain to computations which are abstracted from motor low-level components, similarly to the visual-independence of the same networks in people born blind. The organization of these cortical regions is therefore highly dependent on innate (prenatal) constraints reflecting computational preferences. These findings also open new questions of the ability to utilize the effector-independent organization in the action system for motor rehabilitation.
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Obtaining and maintaining cortical hand representation: Evidence from acquired and congenital handlessness

Daan B Wesselink1,2*, Fiona M.Z. van den Heiligenberg2, Naveed Ejaz3,4, Harriet Dempsey-Jones1,2, Lucilla Cardinali3,5, Aurelie Tarall-Jozwiak6, Jörn Diedrichsen3,4, Tamar R Makin1,2
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6 Queen Mary’s Hospital, London, UK

Abstract
Hand representation in the primary somatosensory cortex (SI) is thought to be shaped and maintained by experience. However, although the fine characteristics of digit topography could be fine-tuned by altered behaviour, overall hand structure is highly stable across people and time [1]. Recently, we even showed that three amputees experiencing highly vivid phantom sensations maintain cortical representation of their missing hand [2]. Still, it is unclear whether this persistence of hand representation depends on experiencing vivid phantom sensation, or whether it is immune to the long-term withdrawal of sensory feedback.

In this study, we scanned 18 unilateral amputees (17±10 years since amputation) with varying degrees of phantom sensations, as well as individuals with congenital unilateral limb absence (without phantom sensations) and two-handed controls. Inside a 3T MRI scanner, all participants performed individual digit movements with either hands (missing/non-dominant and intact/dominant hand), as well as a more demanding motor synergy task with their intact hand. Using representational similarity analysis, we interrogated the inter-digit (activity) pattern structure within the SI hand area.

The deprived cortex, contralateral to amputees’ missing hand, was activated by movement of both phantom digits and the (ipsilateral) intact hand. In both cases, the representational structure of the hand was stable, as we found no differences between the pattern structure of amputees and that of controls. The deprived cortex was stable in all amputees; this did not depend on perceived phantom sensations (assessed using questionnaires). In contrast, the representation of congenital one-handers’ missing hand was severely diminished. Finally, activation of ipsilateral resources (e.g. amputees’ deprived cortex) during high motor demands alluded to a functional role in (intact) hand motor control.

Together, our findings suggest that once cortical organisation is formed, it is remarkably persistent, despite drastic loss ofafferent input and lack of sensory experience.

References

Short Biography
Daan Wesselink is a PhD candidate at University of Oxford and works both at the Welcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging (Oxford) and the Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience (University College London). He uses MRI to study somatosensory representation in the human cortex. More specifically, his work examines the boundaries of sensorimotor plasticity following changes in input.
Apparent motion perception in upper limb amputees with phantom sensations: “obstacle shunning” and “obstacle tolerance”
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Abstract
Phantom limbs (PLs) are thought to either hinder or facilitate the successful embodiment of a prosthesis depending on how they interact with physical objects. PLs with “Obstacle Shunning” (OS) tend to fade off from bodily awareness when their phenomenal space overlaps that of a physical object. Alternatively, PLs can also be experienced to pass through solid objects (“Obstacle Tolerance” - OT). Here we tested how these characteristics of the phantom influence apparent motion perception of human limbs involving either solidity or biomechanical constraints. Depending on stimulus onset asynchrony (SOA), alternation between two static pictures generates the illusory perception that the limb passes through the object (short SOA) or rotates around it along a biologically feasible way (long SOA). Combining multiple behavioural and gaze indices we tested 9 upper limb amputees (4: OS, 5: OT) and 8 able-bodied controls. Upper limb stimuli could be observed either from a first (1pp) or from a third (3pp) person perspective. The former is thought to trigger a motor simulation of the illusory movements while the latter is arguably mere based on visual processing. Multilevel logistic regressions showed that illusory percepts of amputees compared to controls were less modulated by the factor perspective, suggesting that the mapping of an observed movement onto a PL relies more on a visual rather than motor strategy. Furthermore, OS participants tended to perceive a hand to go through a solid object while in the OT group, PLs pose the same constraints as for an intact hand. These findings, together with findings in lower limb amputees [1], suggest an interaction between the everyday characteristics of the PL and apparent motion perception. This might open new tools for diagnostics and rehabilitation, considering that currently assessments of altered body representation are mainly based on self-report and explicit measures.
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Brains at your fingertips - Driving human plasticity and learning through sensory stimulation

Hubert R. Dinse

1 Neural Plasticity Lab, Institute for Neuroinformatics, Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany
2 Department of Neurology, BG Hospital Bergmannsheil, Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany

Abstract
Achieving high-level perceptual or behavioral performance is generally considered to require intense training. While it is well-accepted that training and practicing drives neuronal plasticity processes, the underlying synaptic plasticity mechanisms are far from understood. Recent work, however, suggests that training may not be necessary for enhancing performance. Instead, perception and behavior can be effectively modulated by a complementary approach in which plasticity and learning occur in response to mere exposure to repetitive sensory stimulation (Beste & Dinse 2013). The rationale for this training-independent learning is to translate protocols that induce plasticity at a cellular level into sensory stimulation protocols. We have suggested that the surprising effectiveness of this approach applied in different sensory domains stems from the fact that the sensory stimulation protocols used are optimized to alter synaptic transmission and efficacy. Training-independent learning offers the unique opportunity to directly link synaptic plasticity research to human behaviour and learning. This presentation summarizes recent findings showing that this approach induces lasting changes in perception in humans without any explicit task training. Training-independent learning has been used to evaluate the functional relevance of timing-specific synaptic plasticity protocols for improving human behaviour, and to explore novel timing conditions in terms of their ability to drive human learning, which have not been studied at the cellular level so far. Finally, training-independent learning has been successfully applied as therapeutic intervention in patients suffering from brain damage. The potential perspectives of training-independent learning to augment cognition and behaviour and its use in rehabilitation will be discussed.
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Neurological disorders of the hand: The neglected role of somatosensory inputs

Alain Kaelin-Lang1*

1 Neurocenter of Southern Switzerland and University of Southern Switzerland, Lugano, Switzerland

Abstract
In clinical neurology, sensory and motor systems disorders are classically considered as separate entities. Accordingly, motor disorders of the hand such as paralysis after stroke, writer’s cramp, or slowness of movement due to Parkinson’s disease, are considered as motor diseases. However, in all these disorders, the somatosensory systems plays an important yet neglected role. Not only the immediate somatosensory feedback is relevant but also the modulation of motor system plasticity by the sensory afferences. This is particularly important in the field of neurorehabilitation. Several strategies include manipulation of the somatosensory system, e.g. in the form of training by passive movement. Peripheral electrical nerve stimulation has also been proposed as a simple, painless method of enhancing rehabilitation of motor deficits. Several physiological studies both in animals and in humans indicate that a prolonged period of patterned peripheral electrical stimulation induces short-term plasticity at multiple levels of the motor system. Small-scale studies in humans indicate that these plastic changes are linked with improvement in motor function, particularly in patients with chronic motor deficits after stroke. Somatosensory-mediated disinhibition of motor pathways is a possible underlying mechanism. We will review recent evidences about the somatosensory-motor system interaction in human motor disorders and its role in neurorehabilitation.
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Tactile Reality for Tool-Mediated Interactions

Katherine J. Kuchenbecker

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Stuttgart, Germany

Abstract
Touching an object causes rich haptic cues that enable you to understand the object's physical properties and adeptly control the interaction. Although human experience centers on physical contact with tangible items, few computer systems provide the user with high-fidelity touch feedback, limiting their intuitiveness. This talk will demonstrate via two recent examples that well-designed tactile feedback can greatly increase the realism of virtual worlds touched through a tool-based haptic interface. First, we created a simple visuo-audio-tactile simulator to help dental students learn to find cavities in teeth. The user watches a video of a real dental tool interacting with a tooth while simultaneously feeling an authentic rendering of the associated contact vibrations. Second, we created highly realistic haptic virtual surfaces by recording and modeling what a user feels when touching 100 real objects with an instrumented stylus. The perceptual effects of displaying the resulting data-driven friction forces, tapping transients, and texture vibrations were quantified by having users compare the original surfaces to their virtual versions. While much work remains to be done, we are starting to see the tantalizing potential of systems that leverage tactile cues to allow a user to interact with virtual environments as though they were real.
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Tactile Mechanics

Vincent Hayward\textsuperscript{1,2,3*}

\textsuperscript{1} Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7222, ISIR, 75005 Paris, France
\textsuperscript{2} School of Advanced Study, University of London, London, UK
\textsuperscript{3} Actronika SAS, Paris, France

Abstract
The astonishing variety of phenomena resulting from the contact between fingers and objects may be regarded as a formidable trove of information that can be extracted by organisms to learn about the nature and the properties of objects. This richness, which is completely different from that available to the other senses, is likely to have fashioned our somatosensory system at all levels of its organisation, from early mechanics to cognition. The talk will illustrate this idea through examples and show how the physics of mechanical interactions shape the messages that are sent to the brain; and how the early stages of the somatosensory system \textit{en route} to the primary areas are organised to process these messages.
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Importance of somatosensory input during cooperative hand movements for stroke rehabilitation

Felix Alexander Thomas1,2*, Miriam Schrafl-Altermatt1,2

1 Spinal Cord Injury Center, University Hospital Balgrist, 8008 Zürich, Switzerland
2 Neural Control of Movement Lab, ETH Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract
The highest impact on quality of live and independence after a stroke has the recovery of arm and hand function which most often remains incomplete and is therefore a main focus in rehabilitation programs. It has been shown that cooperative hand movements underlie a task specific neural coupling mechanism which emphasizes a stronger engagement of both hemispheres for the control of both hands. These movements are defined as bimanual, object-oriented, counteractive movements where a kinematic chain between the arms is closed over an object that is manipulated. Here, the role of somatosensory input seems to play a major role. Enhanced ipsilateral somatosensory evoked potentials were first observed in healthy participants. In stroke patients, ipsilateral somatosensory input was even greater from the paretic arm to the unaffected hemisphere compared to the contralateral input. This suggests that during cooperative hand movements, the influence of the unaffected hemisphere on the paretic arm and hand is enhanced (Schrafl-Altermatt and Dietz, 2016). This sophisticated distribution of somatosensory input to unaffected brain areas might have an impact in stroke rehabilitation. It is suggested that training with cooperative hand movements might strengthen the inclusion of the unaffected sensorimotor areas for a more optimized recovery of the paretic limb. However, there is currently no training approach focusing on these movements nor is there a device available which enables such cooperative hand movement training. Currently, the development and application of a novel training strategy and a training device specifically targeting cooperative hand movements to utilize their task-specific somatosensory behavior could provide a novel approach in stroke rehabilitation to enhance functional recovery in stroke patients beyond the current standard.
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Touch contact elicits mechanical waves that efficiently encode information in manual interactions

Yon Visell†*, Yitian Shao†

† Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara

Abstract
The sense of touch facilitates a large variety of everyday tasks and interactions, but the nature of tactile information that is collected by the human hand is not fully understood. Recent research, including work in our lab, has demonstrated that at tactile frequencies, mechanical signals readily propagate throughout the hand as elastic waves, exciting numerous widespread mechanoreceptive afferents. During common manual interactions, touch elicited waves contain perceptual information that is sufficient to accurately infer the gestures that produced them, the parts of the hand that are in contact with touched objects, and attributes of those objects, such as their surface texture. Here, using a database of natural tactile signals – recordings of distributed skin motion during 4600 manual interactions with ordinary objects – we show that an optimal representation of whole hand tactile signals automatically yields cohesive spatiotemporal patterns that reflect important anatomical and functional specializations in the hand. We apply efficient encoding criteria to infer a lexicon of spatiotemporal tactile primitives that are concentrated at the distal ends of individual phalanges, and flow distally to proximally toward the body. These low dimensional representations preserve as much as 97% of the information that tactile signals carry about the interactions that produced them. The results demonstrate how insight into the structure of natural perceptual scenes can be gained from efficient encoding considerations, may suggest new approaches to understanding early somatosensory processing, and may revise our understanding of the mechanical information in natural tactile signals.
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The quest for a bionic hand: Recent achievements and future perspectives

Silvestro Micera\textsuperscript{1,2}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{1} Bertatelli Foundation Chair in Translational NeuroEngineering, Institute of Bioengineering & Center for Neuroprosthetics, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

\textsuperscript{2} Translational Neural Engineering Area, The BioRobotics Institute, Scuola Superiore Sant’Anna, Pisa, Italy

Abstract
Replacing a missing upper limb with a functional one is an ancient need and desire. Historically, humans have replaced a missing limb with a prosthesis for many reasons, be it cosmetic, vocational, or for personal autonomy. The hand is a powerful tool and its loss causes severe physical and often mental debilitation. The need for a versatile prosthetic limb with intuitive motor control and realistic sensory feedback is huge and its development is absolutely necessary for the near future.

Among the possible solutions to achieve this goal, interfaces with the peripheral nervous system, and in particular intraneural electrodes, are a very promising choice. In this presentation, the results achieved so far by using thin-film transversal intraneural electrodes (TIMEs) for sensory feedback are summarized.

First, we are going to describe the results achieved during experiments with trans-radial amputees who received TIME implants to restore sensory feedback. In particular, we are going to show how tactile and proprioceptive information can be restored providing also embodiment and pain reduction. The possibility of obtaining more natural and effective sensory feedback using biomimetic encoding algorithms will be also shown. Finally, the next steps to achieve a fully implantable device will be briefly summarized.

Our findings demonstrate that these interfaces are a valuable solution for delivering sensory feedback to subjects with transradial amputation. Further experiments are necessary to better understand the potentials of this approach during chronic experiments.
An Ultra-fast and Large Area Scalable Tactile Electronic Skins

Benjamin C.K. Tee\textsuperscript{1,2}\textsuperscript{*}, Wangwei Lee, Yu Jun Tan\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1} Materials Science & Engineering & Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore
\textsuperscript{2} Biomedical Institute for Global Health Research and Technology, National University of Singapore

Abstract
The human somatosensory system relies on an extensive network of mechanoreceptors distributed across the skin to pre-process, digitize and transmit the tactile stimulus [1]. Inspired by such key findings in neuroscience, we developed new strategies to enhance the current state-of-the-art in tactile sensing by a multi-disciplinary approach combining materials development and electronic designs to investigate strategies for scaling electronic versions of skin (e-skins) [2]. Our proposed electronic skin has high dynamic range, and able to resolve sub-millisecond tactile stimulus at sensor densities reaching 100 cm\textsuperscript{-2}. By engineering the strain response of micro-structured materials, we were able to demonstrate that we can extend the range of force sensitivities and manufacture a stretchable electronic skin (>20% strain) on various substrates, such as textiles. It is envisioned that such types of electronic skins can be useful in future soft, wearable rehabilitation suits, surgical tools, and humanoid robots capable of greater dexterous manipulations in unstructured environments with or without visual inputs.

References

Short Biography
Dr. Benjamin C.K. Tee is the President’s Assistant Professor in Materials Science and Engineering Department and Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at the National University of Singapore. He obtained his PhD at Stanford University and was selected as a Singapore-Stanford Biodesign Global Innovation Fellow 2014. He is named one of the prestigious MIT TR35 Innovator (Global) in 2015. He currently leads a multi-disciplinary team to develop new materials and sensor devices technology. His current research interests are in the intersection of materials science, mechanics, electronics and biology, with a focus on high performance and sensitive electronic skins for robotics and healthcare applications.
Comparison of linear frequency and amplitude modulation strategies for intraneural sensory feedback in bidirectional hand prostheses
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Abstract
In the last years several research groups have demonstrated that nerve stimulation by implantable peripheral nerve interfaces can be reliably used to restore sensory feedback to upper limb amputees\textsuperscript{1}. It has been shown that sensory neural stimulation improves the performance of hand prosthesis users. Different motor tasks and strategies to transduce the readout of sensors in the hand prostheses have been tested\textsuperscript{2}. Recently, it has been shown that charge and frequency of neural stimulation have a linear relationship with the intensity of the elicited sensation. However, the identification of the stimulations paradigms more suited to encode tactile feedback is still an open question. Here, we developed a bidirectional hand prosthesis, in which the readout of force sensors in the hand linearly drives the amplitude or the frequency of intraneural stimulation, in order to verify whether one approach resulted better than the other in improving motor control performance in two trans-radial amputees. Our findings support the conclusion that intraneural stimulation represents a valuable solution to provide useful sensory information to trans-radial amputees and that the linear amplitude modulation strategy is more reliable than linear frequency modulation approach to exploit in bidirectional prostheses developments.

References


Short Biography
Giacomo Valle received the B.S. degree in Biomedical Engineering, in 2014, and the M.S. degree in Bioengineering curriculum Neuroengineering & Bio-ICT, in 2016, DIBRIS in University of Genoa, Italy. He worked as Research Assistant at École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne in the Translational Neural Engineering Lab, Lausanne, Switzerland in 2017. He is currently PhD student at the Institute of BioRobotics, Scuola Superiore Sant’Anna, Pisa, Italy. His research interests are in neuroengineering and computational models: neuroprostheses in animal and human models for peripheral stimulation of the nervous system to understand the neural control of movement, prostheses control and the sensory feedback.
Microstimulation of the human cortex to restore somatosensation
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Abstract
Prosthetic limbs controlled by neural interfaces with the cortex have been able to achieve simultaneous control of up to ten degrees of freedom, enabling fast and functional reach and grasp tasks. However, creating a cortical interface that can also restore the sensory capabilities of a healthy limb has been the topic of significantly less research. In 2015 we implanted microelectrode arrays into the primary motor and somatosensory cortices of a person with a cervical spinal cord injury. Recording from populations of single neurons in motor cortex enable him to grasp, transport and manipulate objects using a robotic prosthesis. The electrode arrays implanted in the hand region of area 1 of somatosensory cortex contain 64 individual electrodes split across two microelectrode arrays. Microstimulation through these electrodes evokes different tactile sensations that the participant reports as originating from regions of his own paralyzed hand, even 10 years after spinal cord injury. These percepts have a range of qualities from pressure and touch, to tingling and buzzing. We have found that increasing the stimulus amplitude on a single electrode increases the perceived intensity of the percept, but that changing the stimulus frequency changes the quality of the percept itself. Finally, we have demonstrated that a bidirectional brain interface that includes somatosensory feedback driven by prosthesis sensors enables our study participant to complete object grasp and transport tasks faster and more reliably than he can without sensation. These findings offer proof-of-concept that sophisticated, bidirectional prosthesis control can be achieved through a direct brain interface in people with long-term spinal cord injury.
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Reverse engineering somatosensation: Development of a biomimetic neuroprosthesis to restore proprioception
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Abstract
Relative to the sense of touch, proprioception, the sense of body position and movement, remains quite poorly understood. Furthermore, efforts to replace the sense of proprioception via peripheral or central stimulation lag well behind that of touch. Our conscious sense of proprioception is mostly focused on where are hands are with respect to our body. This is also the basis of the classic model of how neurons in proprioceptive areas of cortex represent reaching movements. We used this model to design experiments to test the effectiveness of ICMS as a means to convey a sense of limb movement in monkeys. While the experiment worked well in one monkey, we were unable to replicate it in subsequent monkeys.

While realistic tactile stimuli can be effectively modeled by discrete, punctate skin indentations, nearly any reaching movement includes length changes in dozens of muscles and stretched skin around the joints that also carries position-related information. It would be unlikely if this information were not represented at some level within S1. We have investigated this question within cortical area 2, a multi-modal region of S1. Because simple reaching movements are highly stereotyped, hand movement is highly correlated with movement of the rest of the arm, so it is difficult to determine the importance to these neurons, of the additional degrees of freedom. To decorrelate the arm kinematics from the movement of the hand, we had the monkey make reaching movements in two separate workspaces. Models based on kinematic information from the full arm performed significantly better than the hand-only model.

We are using this and other information about how area 2 neurons combine multi-modal inputs from the arm in an effort to develop more complex, multi-electrode ICMS (mICMS) paradigms that replicate activity recorded across the array during natural limb movements. We predict that these biomimetic patterns will be more readily learnable than random, mICMS patterns.
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The Human-Technology Interface in the Periphery: Decoding, Encoding, and the Psychosocial Aspects of Long-Term Integration with the Sensorimotor System

Dustin J. Tyler

1 Dept of Biomedical Engineering, Case Western Reserve Univ., Cleveland, OH

Abstract

Somatosensation is a person's most significant physical and emotional connection to the world. Following limb loss, loss of somatosensation may be more detrimental than the loss of function. To be fully successful as a limb replacement, a prosthetic needs to be incorporated into one’s body image and this requires multi-modal sensory congruency. To restore sensation, we have reliably connected the human to the machine through direct peripheral nerve interfaces for providing sensation. Experience in 4 subjects with continual implants for more than 6 years has shown peripheral nerve interfaces to be reliable and functional in connecting individuals with limb loss to their upper limb prostheses (Tan, et. al., 2014). Our work fundamentally addresses two questions. First, is artificially generated activity on sensory peripheral nerves processed and perceived equivalently to natural sensory activity for both perception and modulation of sensorimotor activity? Our chronically implanted interfaces, provide a unique tool that enables us to psychometrically address questions that are not possible with other clinical methods or animal models. Second, does restoration of sensory information lead to incorporation of the artificial limb into the sense of self, improve functional outcomes, and improve psychosocial well-being of individuals with prostheses? The subject feels sensation directly in “their hand.” We have furthered understanding of the relationship between neural code and intensity perception (Graczyk, et. al., 2016); shown that sensory adaptation is not solely a consequence of the physics of the sensory organ; are exploring stimulation patterns as related to sensory quality; and studying where artificial sensation is incorporated in the sensorimotor neural system. Trials of use of the connected device outside the lab show that a direct connection to the human improves the psychosocial impact of the prosthesis and improves the subject’s quality of life, thereby leading to longer wear time.

References


Short Biography

Dustin J. Tyler, Ph.D. is the Kent H. Smith Professor of Biomedical Engineering at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, OH. He has a secondary appointment as a principal investigator at the Louis-Stokes Cleveland Department of Veterans’ Affairs Medical Center (LSCDVAMC) with a prestigious Research Career Scientist award from the Veterans Affairs Rehabilitation Research and Development service. He is the Associate Director of the Advanced Platform for Technology Center, a Department of Veteran’s Affairs Rehabilitation Research & Development National Center. Dr. Tyler has over 25 years of experience advancing neuromodulation technology through Class III feasibility clinical research trials.
Restoring High-resolution, Biofidelic Somatosensory Feedback in Humans After Hand Amputation

Gregory A. Clark

Abstract

The long-term goal of these studies is to provide rich, biofidelic tactile and proprioceptive feedback from a dexterous, sensorized prosthetic hand after prior amputation in humans. Seven human subjects (S1-S7) received one to three 100-electrode Utah Slanted Electrode Arrays (USEAs; Blackrock Microsystems) implanted chronically (1-14 months) in residual median or ulnar nerves 0 to 25 years after transradial amputations. Sensory percepts were evoked by passing current through individual or multiple USEA electrodes (typically biphasic, 100-320 µs, 1-100 µA pulses, at 5-200 Hz). Motor control was provided by decoding neural signals recorded by USEAs and, for S5-S7, by electromyography (EMG) electrodes (Ripple, LLC) implanted in residual extrinsic hand muscles. Subjects reported up to 131 different USEA-evoked cutaneous (e.g., pressure, vibration) or proprioceptive percepts (e.g., joint movement, muscle force), and discriminated among evoked percepts having different phantom spatial locations, intensities, or qualities (p's < 0.01). S6 also discriminated between “soft” and “hard” blocks using a motorized, sensorized DEKA “LUKE” arm having 19 tactile or joint-position sensors that activated USEA stimulation. Biomimetic modes of stimulation incorporating the rate of change as well as absolute stimulus intensity improved compliance discrimination (p's < 0.05). Sensory feedback improved S6’s closed-loop motor performance on a fragile “mechanical egg” transfer task (p's < 0.05) and on the Grasping Relative Index of Performance (GRIP) task, which examines speed-precision relationships during grasping of various virtual objects. S6 also showed evidence of embodiment of a prosthetic hand (p < 0.05) and a 23% reduction in reported phantom pain (p < 0.001). S7, who received electrode implants during an elective transradial amputation after long-term complex regional pain syndrome, could experience a functional sense of touch via USEA stimulation without accompanying pain. These results document an unprecedented level of high-resolution tactile and proprioceptive percepts in humans after hand amputation.
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Modeling Use-dependent Proprioceptive Representations in S1 with Natural Hand Statistics
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Abstract

Upper limb movements are controlled by population activity in primary sensory-motor cortices. Recent work by Ejaz et al. showed that at a macroscopic level the relative arrangement of finger-specific activity patterns in sensorimotor cortex using fMRI matched the correlation structure of finger kinematics in daily life. At a cellular level, however, the organisational principles remain unclear. We thus apply computational methods to study cortical organisation in S1 using natural motor behaviour statistics, following Olshausen’s idea of inferring representations in visual cortex from natural image statistics. Natural statistics of body movements were recorded from of right-handed subjects (N=10) in unconstrained daily-life scenarios (4-6h) using an Animazoo IGS-180 motion capture suit. We consider two ways of representing somatosensory information in cortex. One is a topological neural map exploring how representations evolve spontaneously via Hebbian-like synaptic updating rules. By contrast, the other approach comes from a decoding perspective and adapts the neural representation to optimise decoding efficiency under specific constraints like neighbourhood influence, energy and connective efficiency. This is achieved using a new sparse variational autoencoder with Bernoulli sampling. Both models converge to a use-dependent sensory representation of hand motion after being trained with natural hand statistics (simulating somatosensory stimuli of hand motion) in an unsupervised manner. Our models also capture features which have been discovered in S1, including directional-turning responses of individual neurons, similarity in preferred direction in neighbouring neurons. To study our models’ capability of reorganisation, we further introduce a situation of amputation by zeroing movement data of a particular joint. Both models re-adapt to a new stable representation in which, we observe a taken-over phenomenon of missing joint neurons by remaining joints.
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Normalization models of cue combination in touch
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Abstract
Mundane tasks like fastening a button or tying shoelaces require an extraordinary coordination between the hands. Although there has been tremendous interest in bimanual motor control, much less is known regarding how bimanual sensations are integrated. Cue combination in touch represents a particularly interesting and unique challenge for the nervous system because the skin is deformable: The relative locations of touch receptors in 3D space change as the fingers and limbs are repositioned. Accordingly, bimanual touch likely requires combining what is felt on the hands with where the hands are located in space. Few studies have systematically investigated bimanual touch and the neural computations supporting tactile cue combination are unknown. We addressed these knowledge gaps and discovered that vibration signals are systematically combined over the hands, but idiosyncratically depending on whether attention is deployed toward the frequency or intensity of the tactile cues. Although we observed obligatory cue combination in both the frequency and intensity domains, hand position changes only modulated the former, with bimanual frequency interactions strengthening as the hands are brought closer together. Furthermore, interactions in the frequency domain are characterized by cue attraction while interactions in the intensity domain are only marked by attenuation. To infer the neural computations supporting these idiosyncratic patterns, we conducted rigorous model competitions and identified distinct models that robustly reproduced the bimanual interactions. Notably, cue combination in both domains required divisive normalization, a canonical computation. Our results provide clear demonstrations of tactile cue combination and how proprioception sometimes shapes cutaneous sensing. While the rules governing cue combination in touch appear to be feature-dependent, the critical involvement of normalization in both frequency and intensity interactions reinforces its ubiquity in neural processing.
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Towards a computational account of somatotopic organization in human somatosensory cortex
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Abstract

In primary somatosensory cortex (S1) the representation of the hand is organized somatotopically, with neighboring locations on the hand represented by adjacent cortical neurons. However, the size of cortical regions is not proportional to that of their physical counterparts, and specific regions, such as the fingertips, can be magnified beyond their physical size. What leads to this size variance in cortex? As previously proposed, the magnification of some regions may be due to the variance in receptor density across the hand, which increases from the palm towards the fingertip. However, electrophysiological recordings from monkeys and, more recently, advanced neuroimaging techniques in humans have demonstrated that the hand representation also changes depending on the nature of tactile input. Finally, although there are general similarities in mapping, exact representation varies across participants. This may reflect personal hand use, which alters the input received by cortex, but might also reflect random variation in the way the maps are initially established.

To investigate the contribution of these factors, we adapt a computational model that has been successful in simulating the development of visual retinotopic maps to the sense of touch. We employ a two-step process. First, we use a recently-developed large-scale simulation that reconstructs the responses of tactile afferent populations to create realistic peripheral response inputs. This model enables us to systematically vary the stimulus statistics and afferent density. Second, we model the resulting somatosensory representation using a self-organizing map algorithm. Our results demonstrate a complex relationship between receptor density, touch statistics and the predicted cortical mapping of digits. Furthermore, measures of the resulting cortical maps that are commonly used in fMRI experiments are differently affected by the input parameters and random noise; our results therefore pave the way for more robust and grounded analysis techniques.

References


Short Biography

Laura Edmondson is a PhD student based in the Active Touch Lab at The University of Sheffield, under the supervision of Hannes Saal. She obtained a BSc in Psychology from the University of Manchester, followed by an MSc in Cognitive and Computational Neuroscience from the University of Sheffield. Her current work is using computational modelling to investigate the somatosensory representation of the hand.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09h00 – 09h40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stephen H. Scott (Queens U)</td>
<td>Rapid sensory processing for voluntary motor control</td>
<td>KEYNOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09h40 – 10h00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mike D. Rinderknecht (ETH Zürich)</td>
<td>Rapid Assessment and Adaptive Therapy of Proprioceptive Hand Function After Stroke</td>
<td>KEYNOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h00 – 10h20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andrea Sburlea (Graz U of Technology)</td>
<td>Exploring the representation of human grasps in EEG, EMG and kinematic signals</td>
<td>E01T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h20 – 10h50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10h50 – 11h20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Derek Kamper (North Carolina State U, U North Carolina)</td>
<td>Sensory representation of hand kinematics and kinetics in motor cortex</td>
<td>KEYNOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h20 – 11h40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yuta Furukawa (Sophia U, SONY CSL)</td>
<td>Proprioceptive-auditory-motor integration in musicians and non-musicians</td>
<td>E02T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h40 – 12h00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chris Versteeg (Northwestern U)</td>
<td>Divergent encoding of arm kinematics in the cuneate nucleus and primary somatosensory cortex</td>
<td>E03T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12h00 – 12h20</td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF Junior Award ceremony &amp; closing words</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12h30 – 14h30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TALKS – SENSORIMOTOR CONTROL (E)**
Use of robots to study brain function and dysfunction

Stephen H. Scott¹*

¹ Centre for Neuroscience Studies, Dept. of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen’s University, Kingston, Canada

Abstract
There are several technologies that can be used to measure body movement, from accelerometers to video-based motor capture. However, robotic technologies, either grasped by a subject or directly attached to the limb, have an additional advantage in that they can apply loads to disturb body motion allowing us to probe properties of the motor system by observing how it responds to these unexpected disturbances. In the first half of my talk, I will highlight how we use robotic technology (guided by advanced control theory) to quantify how humans can generate complex goal-directed motor corrections in as little as 60ms, as well as complementary studies in non-human primates that demonstrate how frontoparietal circuits support this fast feedback process. In the second half of my talk, I will describe our clinical studies that use this same robotic platform to quantify sensory, motor and cognitive impairments associated with a variety of neurological injuries and disease. Integral to our approach is a suite of standardized behavioural tasks to quantify sensory, motor and cognitive impairments including automated scoring systems to compare subject performance to healthy controls considering factors such as age, sex and handedness. These robotic platforms provide an objective approach to develop novel pharmaceutical or therapeutic interventions, or to identify patterns or endotypes associated with a given disease.
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Rapid Assessment and Adaptive Therapy of Proprioceptive Hand Function After Stroke
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Abstract
Somatosensory hand function is essential for dexterous interaction with the environment and can be severely affected after neurological injuries such as stroke. In contrast to motor impairment and recovery, somatosensory function has received little attention, and clinical assessments still suffer from many shortcomings: non-standardized manual stimulus application resulting in poor inter- and intra-rater reliability, potential confounding factors such as motor and cognitive deficits, and ordinal scales leading to low sensitivity as well as floor and ceiling effects. There exist some robotic approaches addressing these limitations, however, many fail being integrated into clinical routine due to lengthy protocols, complex and expensive devices, or poor evaluation of psychometric properties.
Our aim is to develop, optimize, and clinically evaluate quantitative robotic assessments for somatosensation, which are sensitive, reliable, rapid to administer, and could be used in clinical routine. Here, we present the development of rapid proprioceptive assessments and discuss the evaluation of their reliability, validity, as well as clinical usability and utility. Furthermore, we present a concept of how such robotic assessments can be transformed into adaptive therapies targeting somatosensory deficits. These novel methodologies promise insights into the somatosensory recovery process, as well as individualized somatosensory therapy for a faster, more complete recovery.
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Exploring the representation of human grasps in EEG, EMG and kinematic signals

Andreea I. Sburlea1*, Gernot R. Müller-Putz1
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Abstract
The neural mechanisms of grasping have been investigated invasively in primates over decades [1]. However, from a noninvasive perspective, it remains unclear, how these neural mechanisms are reflected in human electroencephalographic (EEG) signals. Moreover, the relation between EEG signals and grasping covariates (such as joint angles, electromyography (EMG), object's shape, size, etc.), is not yet fully understood. In this exploratory study we address three questions: (1) Can EEG signals capture the differences between multiple types of grasps; (2) Which grasping covariate best explains how grasps are represented at the EEG level; (3) To what extent do grasping representations based on movement covariates and on EEG patterns resemble each other. To address these questions we recorded simultaneously EEG, EMG and kinematic data while 31 subjects performed multiple repetitions of 33 distinct grasping movements. In addition, we categorized the grasps based on the shape and size of the object, thumb’s position relative to the palm, and type of grasp. We used representational similarity analysis [2] to explore the relation between grasping representations based on the patterns of the recording modalities and grasping categories, in three stages of the movement: hand pre-shaping, reach of final grasping position, and holding. Our findings show, at the group level, that grasping representations based on different movement covariates resemble the representation based on EEG patterns in different stages of the movement. During hand pre-shaping, the shape and size of the object is correlated with the EEG representation in the centro-parietal regions; while, during the finalization of the grasping movement and in the holding phase, the muscle representation shows moderate correlations with the EEG representation from the contralateral parietal regions. These findings contribute to the understanding of EEG correlates of grasping, and could inform the design of non-invasive neuroprosthetics and brain-computer interfaces with more natural control.
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Sensory feedback of movement/force characteristics in motor cortex during passive hand movement

Derek Kamper1*
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Abstract
Proper movement execution requires appropriate feedforward and feedback signals, both of which are supported by the rich sensory feedback provided by the body. Given the importance of this sensory information, it is perhaps not surprising that neurons in primary motor cortex (M1), respond to sensory stimuli. Passive movements in non-human primates have been shown to elicit activity in M11. Characterization of these relationships, however, have been limited by technical issues. For this study, an exoskeleton was developed to precisely control posture of the index finger and thumb in a rhesus macaque monkey in order to examine the response of neurons in M1 to sensory inputs2. The device was used to provide sinusoidal inputs to joint movements and fingertip force in a hand temporarily paralyzed through injection of botulinum toxin. Neural activity was recorded in M1 with an implanted electrode array during the imposed movement. The firing rate patterns were found to be strongly entrained to the sinusoidal stimulus. Additionally, more neurons were found to respond to joint movement than fingertip force and the phase-locking patterns to sinusoidal stimulus were much clearer and more stable for joint movement than for fingertip force. For the kinematic input, firing frequency increased with the displacement amplitude. These results suggest strong encoding of movement characteristics in M1 neurons without motor activity. This may prove important for brain machine interfaces.
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Proprioceptive-auditory-motor integration in musicians and non-musicians

Yuta Furukawa\textsuperscript{1,2,*}, Masato Hirano\textsuperscript{2}, Kazumasa Uehara\textsuperscript{3}, Yudai Kimoto\textsuperscript{1,2}, Takanori Oku\textsuperscript{2}, and Shinichi Furuya\textsuperscript{1,2}

\textsuperscript{1} Sophia University, Tokyo Japan
\textsuperscript{2} Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc. (SONY CSL), Tokyo Japan
\textsuperscript{3} RIKEN Center for Brain Science (CBS)-TOYOTA Collaboration Center, Saitama, Japan

Abstract

Sensory afferent information plays an important role in dexterous motor control. Plastic changes of the sensory, motor and sensory-motor integration functions occur through musical training.\textsuperscript{1} However, what remains unknown are impacts of long-term musical training on behavioral and neurophysiological mechanisms subserving these functions. Here, we conducted two studies to elucidate the proprioceptive-motor and auditory-motor integration mechanisms in musicians and non-musicians.

The study 1 consists of three distinct experiments. First, we recorded event-related potentials (ERP) using electroencephalogram (EEG) from the sensorimotor cortex induced by each of the digital nerve stimulation by electrical stimulation (ES) and the passive finger stimulation by a hand exo-skeleton robot (PS). Second, we assessed the effects of these sensory afferent inputs on the excitability of the primary motor cortex (M1) using the transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). Finally, we assessed a hand motor function by asking the participants to perform a fast tapping task. We found no significant differences in the amplitudes of ERP induced by the ES and the PS between the two groups. By contrast, we found that the short-afferent inhibition of the M1 excitability induced by the ES was reduced in the musicians compared with the non-musicians. The amount of the inhibition was significantly correlated with the finger tapping skill.

The study 2 consists of two experiments. First, we investigated how auditory information functionally modulates time-varying features of M1 in piano performance by using a closed-loop TMS system. Second, we assessed auditory-motor skills responsible for feedback control by asking participants to play the piano accurately based on auditory feedback produced by themselves. We found that listening to piano tones during piano playing specifically facilitated the M1 excitability in a time-locked manner in the musicians but not in the non-musicians. The amount of facilitation induced by auditory inputs was significantly correlated with the control of force production in musical performance.

These results indicate that the specialized sensory-motor integration in musicians subserves dexterous hand motor control.
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Divergent encoding of arm kinematics in the cuneate nucleus and primary somatosensory cortex
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Abstract
Single neurons in the cuneate nucleus (CN), the initial site of afferent somatosensory information convergence in the CNS, have never been recorded during active behavior. To understand how the motor system uses feedback to control arm movements, we must first understand how sensory information is transformed as it moves centrally. We present novel data which show that the encoding of arm kinematics in the cuneate nucleus is quite different from the representation in the cortex. Limb state is represented similarly in somatosensory cortex (S1), whether the result of voluntary reach movement or an unexpected perturbation applied to the hand. In contrast, kinematically-similar active and passive movements result in different responses in CN. The source of differences in CN, and subsequent processing that eliminates them, are unknown.

We implanted Utah multi-electrode arrays (MEAs) chronically in CN of two monkeys. One had an MEA in area 2 of S1. These animals were trained to control a cursor by making reaching movements while grasping a robotic manipulandum. We used the robot to apply forces to the hand that generated endpoint kinematics similar to those of reaching.

We recorded from single neurons in CN and area 2 and fit Generalized Linear Models to neural firing as a function of endpoint kinematics. There was a significant bias in the CN preferred directions (PDs) in both monkeys, but none in the area 2 neurons. To confirm these biases were not an artifact of the center-out task, we also fit GLMS to data from a random-target task. CN PDs computed under these conditions were also biased, showing the encoding of arm kinematics in CN is dependent on movement context, a dependence that is largely eliminated at the level of S1. This knowledge has important implications for understanding how spinal and transcortical loops are used to control movement.
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Sensory signal processing during a delayed-grasp task in the primate brain
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Abstract
An important task for animals is the correct interpretation of sensory information in order to interact with their surroundings. The identification of objects and physical properties using different senses is particularly important when an object needs to be grasped precisely. For many brain areas evidence exists about their role in object recognition, grasp preparation and execution. However, how these areas interact and whether activity changes when objects are perceived with different senses has not been extensively studied.

To this end, we trained rhesus macaques to perform two variants of a delayed-grasping task, in which they had to lift objects that were either only seen or only felt beforehand. We recorded spiking activity from four different brain areas in parallel that are known to be involved in the preparation and execution of grasping movements and visual and tactile perception (premotor cortex F5, primary motor cortex M1, anterior intraparietal area AIP, and somatosensory cortex S1).

Preliminary analysis revealed differences in spiking activity during grasp preparation between visually and tactually guided trials. For visual trials, neurons in the grasp-related areas showed, as expected, strong, prolonged, and object selective preparatory activity. Neural modulation during the delay period was much weaker for tactile trials and disappeared almost completely before grasp execution. In primary somatosensory cortex, we observed no memory effect during visual trials and only a weak memory effect during tactile trials, which also disappeared before grasp execution. The explanation that objects are simply not memorized properly was not supported by behavioral analysis revealing that grasps were still executed highly efficiently. This suggests that tactile object information, in contrast to visual one, is not memorized by increased firing rate during the delay period. Another explanation would be that this information is located elsewhere and arrives in the fronto-parietal grasp areas only shortly before movement execution.
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Comparison of surround inhibition on the fingertips of human and non-human primates
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Abstract
According to the idea of ‘surround inhibition’, sensory stimulation excites the corresponding cortical circuits while it inhibits the neighboring cortical circuits. This feature of cortical processing is very well addressed in the visual and auditory systems of humans and non-human primates, but it is not well explored in the somatosensory system. We have already helped fill this gap by showing signatures of surround inhibition for the hand when delivering simultaneous tactile stimulations on the thumb and index finger tips in humans [1]. Essentially, the amplitude of the neuronal responses is smaller for the simultaneous stimulation than the linear sum of the amplitudes obtained from the individual stimulations. Here we report a comparative analysis of surround inhibition in humans and non-human primates. We delivered identical sets of tactile stimulations on the thumb and index finger tips in anesthetized monkeys and resting humans, and used scalp electroencephalography (EEG) to measure the associated cortical responses to touch.

Firstly, we found that tactile stimulation of the thumb and index finger resulted in clear somatosensory evoked potentials in both the species, but as anticipated the signal latency measured in monkeys was shorter than in humans. Secondly, the signature of surround inhibition was observed in all monkeys and humans, with considerable inter-individual variability.

Finally, the measured inhibition was present through the primary and secondary stages of cortical sensory processes in both humans and monkeys. Our work provides a comprehensive empirical overview on how tactile inputs are processed in large neuronal populations, and elaborates on how a common computational principle is implemented across species to sense and control the hand.
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Abstract
Recent studies, [1, 2], suggest that the mechanics of fingertip-object interactions can provide insight into the functional link between the hand, the brain, and our haptic perception of the world. When two convex objects, a finger ‘f’ and a surface ‘s’, come into Hertzian contact, the respective elastic moduli $E_f$, and $E_s$, the radii of curvature, $R_f$ and $R_s$, the pressing force, $f$, the deflection, $d$, and the size of the contact area, $a$, of these bodies are interrelated. If we assume that the finger and the surface are incompressible, and that the finger is a homogeneous, isotropic sphere, (its behaviour does not deviate much from that theory [3]), then it can be shown that $E_s$ and $R_s$ play interchangeable roles in the relationship that link $a$ to $f$. If $a$ is an adequate representation of the mechanical state of the finger, then two behavioural hypotheses follow, namely:

There exists perceptual metamers of compliance, i.e, physically distinct stimuli give rise to the same perception because $R_s$ and $E_s$ are confounds.

An object’s surface curvature and compliance are increasingly confused with increased $E_s$.

Our findings suggest that, indeed, the interaction between a fingertip and a convex surface produces a perceptual outcome equivalent to decreasing $E_s$, while the interaction between the fingertip and a concave surface creates a perceptual estimate of surface compliance equivalent to an increase in $E_s$.

A low or high $E_s$ both yield a metameric response, however, as predicted, for low $E_s$, the effect diminishes significantly. These results, for the first time, provide evidence of a tactile perceptual metamer that can be predicted basic mechanics. The implications of this finding for perceiving and rendering object properties are discussed with respect to the functional link between the hand, brain and environment.
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Spiking pattern determines vibrotactile frequency perception independent of activated receptor type
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Abstract

Vibrotactile stimuli are generally considered to evoke two qualitatively distinctive sensations: flutter (frequencies $< 60$ Hz) and vibratory hum (frequencies $> 60$ Hz). For sinusoidal stimuli in the glabrous skin these frequency ranges are subserved by Meissner and Pacinian afferents respectively, which may engage distinct neural processing pathways or channels often referred to as Pacinian and non-Pacinian.

We used brief pulsatile mechanical stimuli, 3 µm in amplitude, that selectively activated only Pacinian afferents, to show that spike trains in Pacinian afferents can readily induce a vibratory percept with the same frequency attributes as sinusoidal stimuli of the flutter-frequency range. Furthermore, the ability of subjects ($n = 12$) to discriminate these flutter-range frequencies was equally good, regardless of whether they were signalled by predominantly Meissner afferents, or solely by Pacinian afferents. This indicates that spiking pattern rather than activated receptor type determines vibrotactile frequency perception.

This further suggests a common central processing mechanism for vibrotactile information, which may underlie recent reports of convergence in primary somatosensory cortex of signals originating from these afferent types (Carter et al., 2014; Saal et al., 2015), as well as explaining the constancy of vibrotactile frequency perception across skin regions innervated by different afferent types.
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Primary somatosensory cortex and Hebbian associative learning: a novel cross-modal Paired Associative Stimulation (PAS) protocol
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Abstract
An increasing number of evidence suggests the existence of a Tactile Mirror System in the human brain: the same cortical network implicated in tactile perception, which comprises the primary somatosensory cortex (S1), also responds to the mere observation of tactile events. It has been suggested that such cross-modal, mirror-like, responses of S1 may arise from Hebbian associative plasticity: the contingency of seeing a touch and the feeling of a tactile sensation on one’s own body may reinforce synapses between visual and somatosensory neurons.

We tested this hypothesis introducing a novel cross-modal Paired Associative Stimulation (PAS) protocol. In the cross-modal PAS, a visual stimulus depicting a hand being touched is repeatedly presented, paired with a Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) pulse over S1.

In experiment 1, we tested both the temporal and the cortical specificity of the plasticity effects induced by the protocol. Results showed that cross-modal PAS was effective in eliciting Hebbian associative plasticity, modulating subjects’ tactile acuity (measured with a 2-Point Discrimination Task) selectively when the Inter-Stimulus Interval (ISI) between the two paired stimulations was 20 ms and the TMS pulse is delivered over S1. In experiment 2, we looked for evidence for a selectivity of the visual responsiveness of S1 and the role of expectancy during the cross-modal PAS. We also aimed to uncover possible neurophysiological changes in S1 by pairing the measurement of subjects’ tactile acuity with the recording of Somatosensory-Evoked Potentials (SEPs).

To sum up, our study provides novel insight of the visual activity of S1, showing that our cross-modal PAS can induce plastic changes in S1, in line with an Hebbian associative learning rule. This evidence also offers new insights on the neural substrates of the Tactile Mirror System and, in a broader perspective, of early visuo-tactile interactions in the primary (low-level) stages of sensory processing.
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Body image develops according to somatosensory-motor influences: a cross-sectional study with graph network analysis
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Abstract
This study aims to explore the influence of body schema and body structural description on the development of body image. To test this hypothesis, a sample of 204 typical developed children between 4-12 years old and 45 hemiplegic children between 7-15 years old were assessed on general intelligence and body-semantic knowledge (body image). For a better comprehension of the development of the sensory-motor processes on semantic-lexical knowledge of the body parts, typical developed children group were subdivided into three age groups: 4-6 years (n=69), 7-9 years (n=59), and 10-12 years (n=76). Graph network analysis and variance analysis were used to investigate the development of body semantic-knowledge. Comparisons among typical developed children age groups showed that: (1) the parts of the body that receive more sensory stimuli (such as mouth, eyes, nose and ears) and are used to explore the environment (such as arms, hands, legs and feet) were learned first and were present since 4-6 years old; (2) with the subsequent refinement of position matching ability and motor control by children (providing more tactile, kinesthetic, proprioceptive and vestibular experiences), the lexical-semantic learning of the joints is favored at 7-9 years old; and, (3) visuospatial information also influences the acquisition of semantic-lexical knowledge of the body, thus, learning the dorsal and internal organ structures occurs later during child development (after ten years old). However, in this sample, hemiplegic group presented differences from this pattern and did not learn the joints, dorsal structures and internal organs. Considering the disturbances of the sensation and perception present in cerebral palsy, our results seems to imply that development of body image is based on visual and proprioceptive feedback (i.e., relies on body schema and body structural description). This study supports the hypothesis that body schema and body structural description interactions influence development of body image.
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The distinct roles of sensorimotor rhythms in the perception and selection of tool use: An EEG and virtual reality study
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Abstract
How the brain stores and selects motor programs to execute tool utilization has been an important research topic during the last decade. Sensorimotor μ oscillations (8-13 Hz) appear to reflect objects’ affordances1, whereas β oscillations (14-30 Hz) have been associated with the retrieval of semantic objects’ knowledge2. The literature has provided detailed models of action selection, but how the resulting decision of cortico-subcortical operations might be represented in the motor system remains unclear. We have investigated the role of such EEG sensorimotor rhythms in the perception of learned object affordances and the selection of utilization of novel objects. Using a go/no-go paradigm, participants performed two possible tool utilizations (i.e. lighting up a candle and opening a box) with two different objects in virtual reality. Thirty-seven EEG recordings were analysed in the time-frequency domain using a cluster randomization process. In one hand, we found that perceiving an object associated with two utilizations (“dual tool”) reduced the desynchronization of sensorimotor neurons on the β frequency band, in comparison with an object associated with a unique use (“single tool”). We also found a reduced μ 8-10 Hz synchronization, a reduced μ 10-12 Hz desynchronization1 and a reduced left premotor N1 ERP component for the dual tool. These results demonstrate that learning how to manipulate a novel tool modulate the μ and β cortical oscillations during object perception, neural signatures of sensorimotor integration.

On the other hand, selecting an appropriate utilization with the dual tool reduced the δ 1-4 Hz synchronization and reduced the late sensorimotor ERPs, compared to selecting the same utilization with the single tool. Hence, the selection of learned tool utilization appears specifically encoded in fast δ oscillations. In contrast with the literature, we propose that the perception and selection of learned object affordances are based on distinct oscillatory mechanisms.
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Perceptual distortion of the own body size by changes of its cortical somatosensory map: An rTMS study
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Abstract
Tactile information affects the abstract representations of one’s own body, including the knowledge of its size [1]: perceptual body size distortions can be induced by altering incoming tactile inputs by means of peripheral nerve block or anaesthesia [2]. This evidence indicates that the perceived size of our own body involves plastic changes within the primary somatosensory cortex (S1). To verify this hypothesis, low-frequency (1 Hz) repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) was used to induce short-term plastic changes of S1 hand representation, assessing perceptual distortions of the own hand size with the Hand Size Task (HST). In the HST, pictures of the participants’ own left and right hands were scaled to be smaller/bigger than their real hand; participants had to judge whether the viewed hand matched or not the own hand size, which was out-of-view. The 2-Point Discrimination Task (2PDT) was used as control task. Both tasks were administered before and after rTMS over the right and left S1 (Experiments 1 & 2). Then, rTMS was the applied over the right and left inferior parietal lobule (IPL, Experiment 3), while in the last study (Experiment 4) the somatopic selectivity of bodily perceptual distortion by S1-rTMS was assessed by presenting pictures of either the hand and the foot during the HST. Results showed that rTMS targeting the hand representation S1 of both hemispheres lead to an overestimation of the perceived size of the own right and left hands, while the perceived size of the foot was unaltered. No changes of the perceived size of the own hand were induced by rTMS over IPL of both hemispheres.

This evidence highlights the perceptual consequences on the body metric representation induced by short-term plastic reorganization of the cortical somatosensory maps, which may give rise to a sort of macro-somatoagnosia.
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Abstract
In the recent years there has been increased interest in augmentative technologies that extend the physical and cognitive abilities of able-bodied individuals. Supernumerary robotic fingers are an example of such technology, designed to allow the user to single-handedly perform normally bimanual tasks. However, these new devices introduce various theoretical and practical challenges: (i) what resources could one’s brain employ to control a body part that has never been there before? (ii) what are the risks and benefits of modulating one’s body representation in order to support and enhance supernumerary robotic fingers usage? Here, using human somatosensory cortex as a model, we investigate neural correlates of hand augmentation. We train healthy able-bodied participants to use a supernumerary robotic finger over the course of one week. During the training participants have to complete a set of normally bimanual tasks from daily life using only the augmented hand. A separate group of controls wears the robotic finger for the same duration of time but without using it. We use pre- to post-comparison measures to assess the outcomes of the training and see whether hand augmentation affects the representation of body in the brain. We track neuronal changes in the somatotopic hand representation using 3T fMRI (focusing on representational similarity analysis and functional connectivity) and a set of well-defined behavioural tasks (e.g. force enslavement, motor confusion). By studying the neural correlates of hand augmentation, we hope to probe the boundaries of brain plasticity and provide the community with a brand-new model for examining the adult brain’s ability to dynamically update body representations based on new experiences.
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Downregulation of primary sensorimotor missing hand cortex activity is a correlate rather than a driver of phantom limb pain relief
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Abstract

After arm amputation individuals often experience painful sensations of their missing limb (phantom limb pain, PLP). PLP is difficult to treat, in part due to an incomplete understanding of its underlying mechanisms. We previously showed that chronic PLP positively associates with maintained activity in the primary sensorimotor (S1/M1) missing hand cortex. Here we made use of this preserved missing hand representation and designed a new PLP treatment.

To influence information processing of peripheral missing hand signals that were previously implicated with PLP, we instructed 15 upper-limb amputees suffering from chronic PLP to execute phantom hand movements during a single 20min session of non-invasive brain stimulation. We applied anodal (1mA) transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) over the missing hand S1/M1 cortex (hereafter intervention stimulation) in a within-participants, double-blind, counterbalanced, and sham-controlled design. We obtained PLP ratings and functional MRI scans prior to, during, and post brain stimulation.

While PLP was significantly increased in the sham condition immediately after brain stimulation, task-concurrent intervention stimulation averted this PLP increase. Importantly, we observed significant PLP relief 90min following task-concurrent intervention stimulation, with effects lasting at least one week. No lasting PLP changes were observed in the sham condition. PLP relief associated with reduced phantom hand movement activity in the S1/M1 missing hand cortex after stimulation. Importantly, reduced activity in the S1/M1 missing hand cortex was only observed after stimulation, suggesting it may be a correlate of PLP relief rather than its driver. Crucially, activity changes during intervention stimulation in several pain-related areas, e.g. the insula and secondary somatosensory cortex, predicted both the subsequent reduction in S1/M1 activity and PLP relief.

Together, our findings suggest that processing in S1/M1, an area often implicated in PLP and other chronic pain conditions, may be a correlate of the pain sensation rather than its cause.
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Are prosthetic limbs represented as hands or tools? An fMRI study
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Abstract
When using a prosthetic limb, the brain may utilize one of two existing frameworks to represent and control the novel object: the visuomotor body network or the tool-use network. Images of prosthetic arms have recently been shown to activate hand-selective areas in the occipitotemporal cortex (OTC) in prosthesis users. This area is also known to be activated by hand-held tools. Here, we wished to determine whether prosthesis representation resembles that of upper-limbs, in terms of pattern structure, or whether instead it resembles tool representation. Individuals with acquired or congenital upper limb loss (hereafter one-handers) were tested to investigate how limb loss and hand-substitution (wearing a prosthesis) shape categorical reorganisation in OTC. We used Representational Similarity Analysis of functional MRI data from 32 one-handers and 24 two-handed controls. Participants viewed images of upper-limbs (lateralised to match the missing side of one-handers), tools, others’ prostheses, and one-handers’ own prosthesis in an event-related design. A region of interest in OTC was independently localised by contrasting images of headless-bodies and objects. Experience-dependent reorganisation was found in one-handers’ prosthesis representation, though our analysis revealed a more complex reality than originally expected. For both congenital and acquired one-handers, those who use a prosthesis more in daily life, show greater representation of others’ prostheses as an independent category, distinct from hands and tools. However, when observing their own prosthesis, congenital and acquired one-handers prosthesis representation differed, with congenitals, but no acquired amputees, displaying a prosthesis representation more similar to upper limbs than tools. This is consistent with a recent behavioral study suggesting a less rigid categorisation of upper limbs in individuals who lost their hand earlier in life. Together, our results provide evidence of an adaptable use-dependent categorical visual representation, while challenging current views of prosthesis “embodiment”.
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Neural dynamics of tool-extended sensing
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Abstract
Natural selection shaped the human hand and its corresponding neural machinery to facilitate the use of tools to manipulate the environment. The majority of scientific research on tool use to date has focused almost exclusively on the motor side, even though tools convey sensory information whenever they contact a surface. We recently investigated whether tools can extend sensory processing beyond the body (Miller et al., under review), a phenomenon we termed tool-extended sensing. In a series of behavioural experiments, we demonstrated that users can localise impacts on the surface of a hand-held rod with remarkable accuracy. Further experiments suggested that impact-location is initially encoded by the rod’s vibratory response and then re-encoded by spiking patterns of Pacinian mechanoreceptors in the hand. The present study sought to investigate the cortical dynamics of this phenomenon. We used electroencephalography to measure neural oscillations while participants localised impacts on a hand-held rod. Participants performed a sensory working memory task, where they determined whether the two impacts (separated by 2000–2500 ms) occurred at the same or different location. We focused our initial analysis on the first impact and the information maintenance period. We observed an early sensory-driven response in the theta band (4–8 Hz; 50–300 ms post-contact), which was isolated to channels directly over contralateral somato-motor cortex. This was followed by a significant desynchronization in the alpha band (8–12 Hz; 400–700 ms) over contralateral posterior parietal cortex, which was likely related to the perceptual processing of impact location. Finally, we found that location information was maintained by a sustained synchronisation in the beta band (15–25 Hz; 800–1400 ms) over contralateral parietal channels. These results represent the initial steps towards understanding how tool-extended sensing emerges from the functional coupling between technological, biomechanical, and neural levels of information processing.
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Representations of manipulable objects inherited body model distortions
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Abstract

Previous evidence suggest that we are not accurate in estimating our body dimensions. The shape of the hand representation, in particular, is characterized by a higher ratio between its horizontal and vertical dimensions [1]. Here, we aimed at investigating whether this peculiar pattern of distortions is specific to the hand or is shared by physical entities which do not belong to the body. To achieve this goal, we studied the metric representations of seven different objects, together with that of the hand’s, in six experiments involving 157 healthy participants. We used a line length judgment task in which participants were asked to judge the dimensions of lines compared to those of a specific target (hand or previously observed objects). For each target, we computed the perceived and actual shape and size. We ran repeated-measures analyses to draw comparisons among the representations. We found that the peculiar pattern of distortions is not specific to the hand; instead, it is shared by some of the objects studied. However, some other objects did not exhibit such a distortion. In particular, the representations of the mug and of the computer mouse are similar to the hand representation. The mobile is represented as more distorted than the hand. Surprisingly, the fake hand representation resulted accurate in proportion, therefore strikingly different from the hand representation. Instead, the representations of a cactus and a repellent soap exhibited a pattern of distortions opposite to that of the hand. We discussed the possible effects of familiarity, possibility of interaction with the objects and ownership, in order to explain the results.

References


Short Biography

After having achieved a summa con laude Master Degree in Cognitive Psychology in 2015 at the University of Pavia (IT), Valeria Peviani joined the Cognitive Neuropsychology Center of Niguarda Hospital in Milan (IT), for her 12-months training in Clinical Neuropsychology. Later, she has been enrolled on the PhD program in “Psychology, Neuroscience and Data Science” at the University of Pavia under the supervision of Professor Gabriella Bottini.

Her research interests are in the field of body representations. Her purpose is to contribute to the understanding of the neuro-cognitive mechanisms underlying how the body is represented in the brain. In particular, her research is currently focusing on the metric body representations.

Since January 2018 she has started a 16-months visiting period at the Neuroscience Department of the Max-Planck Institute for Empirical Aesthetics, where she is working on body and space perception.
A wearable robotic hand to explore complex bodily illusions
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Abstract
The numbness illusion (Dieguez, Mercier, Newby, & Blanke, 2009) refers to the sensation of numbness when two people put their hands against each other and the participants slowly stroke both index fingers. Similar to the widely studied rubber hand illusion paradigm (Botvinick & Cohen, 1998), the numbness illusion is used to investigate the plasticity of the bodily self and the incorporation of foreign body parts into one own’s body schema. However, in contrast to the rubber hand approach, the numbness illusion depends on more complex actions and crucially requires tactile feedback (both from touching one’s own and the other person’s body part). We therefore developed a wearable robotic device, to account for this complexity. It uses servo and vibration motors to provide motor and tactile feedback and is directly attached to the participant’s forearm. This enables the participant to move the robotic arm freely. A preliminary study with a similar, but stationary robotic hand has shown, that the numbness illusion can be partially replicated using a robotic hand: the results show no significant differences in the numbness illusion between the real hand and the robotic hand and the results were comparable to the original numbness illusion (Dieguez et al., 2009). However, while the feeling of agency for the robotic hand was high, the feeling of ownership was rather low. We speculated that the movement restriction of the stationary robotic hand might be the cause for the low ownership results and expect thus the new wearable version of the robotic hand to yield higher results. These results would indicate the embodiment of the robotic hand and might have important implications for future prosthetic research.
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Bimanual sensorimotor system is more effective than a unimanual system
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Abstract
There’s a school of thought suggesting that our sensorimotor body experiences affect the way visual information is interpreted and even processed. However, recent evidence has challenged this idea by demonstrating that individuals born without hands perform similar to typically developed controls on tasks that assess hand perception, representation, and judgements of visual body information (Vannuscorps & Caramazza, 2016). While it has been suggested that the ability to represent and judge visual body information is innate and entirely decoupled from individual motor experience, it’s possible passive visual experience of hands could be a sufficient teacher of body perception, at least for basic behaviors and biomechanical constraints. Here, we asked if motor hand experience is recruited to optimise visual decision making of hand laterality. We studied three groups of individuals with similar passive visual hand experience, but different amounts of motor hand experience: congenital one-handers (individuals born without one hand), acquired one-handers (hereafter, amputees) and two-handed controls. We show that congenital one-handers make more judgements errors for hand images of their intact hand than their missing. Additional analysis revealed that congenital one-handers have a bias to judge images as their missing hand. Further, we show that congenital one-handers were slower to respond to hands than amputees and controls. While, amputees, who have persistent representation of their missing (phantom) hand (Makin et al. 2013), responded as fast as controls. Amputees’ response time to hands was correlated to their phantom hand’s motor control abilities, thus greater motor control of the phantom hand facilitates faster response time to hands. This result suggests that bimanual motor control optimises the cognitive processes involved in judging visual hand information. Finally, we propose a novel computational model that demonstrates that a bimanual sensorimotor system is more effective at solving a hand laterality judgement task than a unimanual system.
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Neural correlates of distorted body representations underlying tactile distance perception
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Abstract

The ability to localize touch on the body has been largely used as a tool to investigate how the somatosensory system contributes to body representations. Recent studies found that at perceptual level, tactile configurations at least in the case of the hand are highly distorted (Longo, 2017). Here, we aim to define the neural basis of this phenomenon. In a behavioral experiment, participants estimated the distance between touches delivered on the hand dorsum. Using multidimensional scaling we reconstructed a perceptual map of the skin space. Analysis of spatial distortion showed that the skin space was stretched in the mediolateral hand axis. To determine the neural correlates of these body distortions, we performed a functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) study in which we delivered nine tactile stimuli, organized as a 3 x 3 square grid, on the dorsum of the right hand. Within pre-defined regions of interests, we computed the pairwise Euclidean distances between the neural patterns associated with the tactile stimulations and we compared the neural dissimilarity matrices to the model obtained from the behavioral study. We were able to reconstruct the shape of the skin space in the contralateral primary somatosensory (SI) and motor cortices, with a distorted profile that emerged only in the SI. This suggests that these areas are critical to generate the tactile representations of the dorsum of the hand.
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Can humans perceive frictional differences just by touching surfaces?
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Abstract
To hold an object safely, humans adjust grip forces to frictional conditions without exploratory sliding movements; we simply grip and lift. Frictional information must be conveyed by tactile afferents to the motor control system, however, this is not necessarily consciously perceivable. We explored human perception of differences in static friction between surfaces during initial contact, with no sliding movement, both with and without a net tangential force. A two-alternative forced choice protocol was used with seventeen subjects (age 21.7±1.4 years mean ± SD; 7 female). A normal force stimulus was applied to the immobilized finger, and the subject indicated which of the paired stimuli was slipperier (perceptual correlate of friction). Three different friction levels were delivered using an ultrasonic friction modulation device [1]. The ratio of frictions between highest vs. medium, and medium vs. lowest friction levels, was 2.2±0.4 and 1.6±0.2 (mean ± SD), respectively. When no net tangential force was present, subject performance was at chance level (56%±16%, and 47%±13% (mean correct ± SD), with/without friction cues being available on stimulus retraction, respectively). When adding a net tangential force (approach angle 20° to the normal), subject performance remained at chance level (62%±15% and 50%±15% (mean correct ± SD), with/without friction cues being available on stimulus retraction, respectively). This indicates that humans cannot perceive frictional variations of smooth surfaces just by touching them when no exploratory sliding movement is allowed. Localized slips on a smooth surface without displacement of a textured pattern may not provide sufficient perceptual cues for judging frictional differences [2]. Also, subjects did not use cues from friction-dependent fingertip deformations [3] which elicit responses in tactile afferents. Frictional information on contact is available for motor control, but may not contribute to a perceptual counterpart.
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Light-touch based Virtual Cane for assistance during walking

Sindhu Reddy Alluri\textsuperscript{1*}, Devin Burns\textsuperscript{2}, Yun Seong Song\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1} Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology
\textsuperscript{2} Psychological Science, Missouri University of Science and Technology

Abstract

Can additional information about one’s body kinematics provided through hands improve human balance? Instead of using physical assistance, it was shown by Jeka [1] that Light-Touch (LT) through hands helps improve balance in a wide range of populations, both healthy and impaired. The force is too small to provide any meaningful mechanical assistance – rather, it is suggested that the additional sensory information through hands helps the body improve balance. Indeed, Shima [2] showed that the information about one’s hand location provided through vibrations could improve standing balance.

To investigate the potential for improving walking balance through biofeedback through hands, we developed a Virtual Cane (VC) for balance assistance during walking. The current VC prototype is in the form of an instrumented glove, including bend sensors, vibration actuators, and infra-red (IR) distance sensors. The VC mimics the physical cane’s function of providing information about one’s body in space. Currently, pilot experiments on 3~5 healthy young adults are planned in June-July 2018, where the evidence of improved walking balance with VC will be collected and analyzed in terms of medio-lateral acceleration of the trunk.

This work furthers the concept of biofeedback from using virtual devices for walking balance assistance - using virtual LT through hands. Specifically, this work investigates a novel case where information that otherwise cannot be provided by any of the sensory organs (i.e., accurate distance from one’s hand to an external object), improves human walking balance.
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Alpha neurofeedback training regulates interindividual variability in tactile learning
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Abstract
Oscillatory alpha power is believed to occupy an inhibitory function which gates neuronal resources. To obtain information about processes controlling learning variability, we have previously shown that baseline power of somatosensory alpha recorded before tactile learning predicted 36% of the learning variance (Freyer et al. 2013, J Neurosci). Here we took advantage of these findings by aiming at purposefully altering somatosensory alpha power to systematically manipulate subsequent learning success in human participants.

A total of 74 participants were randomly assigned to three groups. The first group (alpha up) trained to increase, while the second group (alpha down) trained to decrease somatosensory alpha power using a neurofeedback system. The control group performed no neurofeedback training. After neurofeedback training, we induced perceptual learning with high frequency LTP-like repetitive sensory stimulation (RSS) applied to the right fingertip, which has been shown to reliably induce tactile learning, correlating with plastic changes in the SI (Dinse et al., 2003 Science). Before and after RSS, we assessed the tactile spatial discrimination ability as marker of plastic changes.

The alpha up group showed significantly higher tactile learning gains than controls. In contrast, in the alpha down group tactile learning was suppressed. In both neurofeedback training groups, alpha power levels could explain up to 59% of the perceptual learning variability.

Our results suggest that an optimal state for perceptual learning can be facilitated with short-term neurofeedback training.
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Two Frequencies, One Pitch: Exploring Pitch Perception When Scanning Multi-frequency Textures
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Abstract
To what extent does our somatosensory system retain the frequency-rich content of vibrations produced during fingertip texture exploration? Tactile vibrations while scanning play an important role in our ability to discriminate the myriad textured objects we encounter every day. The way that we process these vibratory signals is not well understood and has been subject to much exploration over the past several decades. To explore how people might simplify their perception of rich frequency information, our recent work explores whether subjects can identify a single frequency, or pitch, when feeling textures composed of two spatial frequency components. We created textures with customized frequency content using a variable friction display, and probed pitch perception by having subjects adjust a single spatial frequency to perceptually match a texture with two frequency components. Subjects generally identified a pitch between the two frequencies of the given texture and weighted by the amplitude ratio of these two components. In contrast to our acoustic perception of pitch for two distinct tones, these results suggest that we can integrate two tactile vibrations into the perception of a single pitch.
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Beneficial effects of action video game playing on tactile learning
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Abstract
Playing an action video game (AVG) has positive influences on a wide range of cognitive functions and perceptual skills, suggesting generalized learning (Green and Bavelier, 2012, Curr Biol). Here we hypothesize, that players of AVGs show enhanced performance in a standard perceptual learning paradigm. To this aim, we implemented repetitive sensory stimulation (RSS) of the fingertip, which has been shown to reliably improve tactile acuity in parallel with plastic reorganizational changes in the somatosensory cortex (Dinse et al., 2003, Science). Participants were recruited as players (defined as 10-20 hours of playing AVGs at a PC per week) or non-players (non AVG-playing; max 5 hours of playing nonAVGs per week). During the 20 minutes of application of RSS, each subject participated in either a playing (Unreal Tournament – a classical AVG, Solitaire or Sims), or non-playing condition with reading or listening to music, serving as a control for the playing condition.

First, our data confirm the repeatedly shown improvement of tactile acuity after RSS. However, non-players revealed a distinct decline of the tactile performance in the AVG condition compared to controls. In contrast players maintained usual learning capabilities, but showed no higher perceptual learning capacities compared to non-players. This indicates beneficial effects for players to show normal learning even under conditions that impose a high load on mental resources related to attention, decision, and execution processes.
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Somatosensory and motor reorganization after stroke: Evidence from fMRI in humans
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Abstract
Cortical plasticity subsequent to brain damage has been studied extensively in non-human primates, providing evidence for cortical reorganization. However, relatively little research has been done in humans examining how somatosensory representations change after brain damage. We used fMRI and DTI to examine somatosensory and motor plasticity in a series of brain-damaged individuals. To assess basic performance, all participants received a battery of somatosensory (tactile detection and localization) and motor (grip strength, finger tapping) tests outside of the scanner. Next, participants were placed in the scanner and presented with tactile stimulation to each hand (either via manual brush stroke or piezoceramic tactile stimulators attached to the fingertips), followed by a separate motor task for each hand (timed opening and closing of the hand). We then used single-subject analyses to compare activation for touch/movement versus rest. One individual had a unique lesion with extensive damage to left primary somatosensory cortex (S1) and posterior parietal cortex, with left motor cortex (M1) and subcortical structures primarily intact. In behavioral testing, he was impaired at tactile localization and had diminished tactile detection; but could still detect moderate tactile stimuli – suggesting cortical reorganization. As expected, he demonstrated right S1 activation when stimulating his ipsilesional left hand. However, stimulation of his contralesional right hand resulted in activation in left M1, consistent with animal models that show reorganization into motor cortex after S1 damage (Harrison et al., 2013). During movement of the contralesional right hand, we found decreased activity in left M1, inactivation of right anterior cerebellum, and increased activation in bilateral putamen relative to controls. We discuss how this individual and other cases provide evidence for different patterns of sensorimotor reorganization after brain damage in humans.
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Tactile learning at the hand transfers to the face but not to the forearm: evidence for a special hand-face relationship
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Abstract

It is not well-understood how cortical plasticity translates into perceptual abilities and what are its limits. In the primary somatosensory cortex (SI), large-scale cortical and perceptual changes have been demonstrated following input deprivation. Recently, we found that the cortical and perceptual changes induced by repetitive somatosensory stimulation (RSS) at a finger (see Beste and Dinse, 2013 for a review) transfer both to the face (Muret et al., 2014, 2016). However, whether such cross-border changes are specific to the face remains elusive. In a first experiment, we investigated whether RSS-induced acuity changes at the finger can also transfer to the forearm, which is the body part represented on the other side of the hand representation. Our results confirmed the transfer of tactile learning from the stimulated finger to the lip, but no significant changes were observed at the forearm. A second experiment revealed that the same regions on the forearm exhibited improved tactile acuity when RSS was applied there, excluding the possibility of low plastic ability at the arm representation. This provides also the first evidence that RSS can provide perceptual benefits on body parts other than the hand. While a delayed effect on the forearm cannot be excluded, these results suggest that RSS-induced tactile learning transfers preferentially from the hand to the face rather than to the forearm. This preference could arise from a stronger functional connectivity between the cortical hand and face representations, reflecting a fundamental coupling between these body parts.
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Investigating abnormal tactile function in autism using psychophysics, edited MRS of GABA, and TMS
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Abstract
Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is characterized by abnormal communication and social function. However, sensory abnormalities, especially tactile, are common (95%). Given the importance of touch in early life, abnormal tactile processing may give rise to, or exacerbate, core symptoms of ASD. However, touch in ASD is predominantly assessed using subjective reporting (questionnaires) and the underlying neurophysiology is poorly understood. Several lines of evidence suggest that GABA, the main inhibitory neurotransmitter, is altered in ASD. GABA also plays an important role in encoding tactile information. We hypothesize that altered GABA is linked to abnormal touch in ASD. We developed a battery of psychophysical tasks to objectively measures tactile function in pediatric cohorts, linked to GABA1. We are able to measure GABA levels in vivo and non-invasively using edited Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS)2. Finally, GABA-receptor function can be assessed using paired-pulse Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS). In 35 children with ASD and 35 control children (TDC) between 8-12 years we measured tactile detection and amplitude discrimination using staircase tracking, with a CM4 vibrotactile stimulator (Cortical Metrics). GABA levels were measured at 3T in a voxel over the sensorimotor cortex (SM1; (3cm)3) and occipital cortex (OCC; (3cm)3), TE/TR 68/2000 ms, 320 averages, MEGA-PRESS). Results show that reduced SM1 GABA (but not OCC) in ASD is correlated with worse tactile performance. Pilot TMS data suggest that GABA_A, but not GABA_B, may be impaired in ASD. This multi-modal approach allows for an investigation of presynaptic (GABA levels) and postsynaptic (TMS) measures of inhibition that relate to behavioral and clinical outcomes (tactile thresholds) in ASD. I will also discuss data in ADHD and Tourette where GABA and touch are impaired. These findings have important implications for our understanding and potential GABA-directed treatment in disorders where GABA plays an important role (such as ASD, ADHD, Stroke).
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Towards reducing fall risk via haptic communication on a robotic walker
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Abstract
As the life expectancy increases, the population of older adults is projected to rapidly increase. However, this means that age-related health issues, such as injuries following a fall, are also expected to increase. Our long-term research goal is to increase the functionality of common walking aids, and reduce the risk of a fall through instrumentation, informative feedback, and physical action. Although walking aids do exist, the high number of falls that occur with these devices motivates the need for their improvement\textsuperscript{1}. Our immediate objective is to develop a system that uses low-cost sensors to assess the usage of the walker, and intuitive haptic, auditory, and visual feedback to provide positive feedback when the use is correct, and guidance when incorrect. Visual feedback is appropriate for some feedback modalities, but domain area experts believe that it will actually increase the risk of a fall by distracting the user. Auditory feedback may be intuitive and easily interpretable for many users, but it may also make the assistive technology more intrusive. Therefore, we choose to focus on ways that haptic feedback can increase correct usage of assistive devices. We intend to evaluate both the utility of haptic feedback from actuators embedded in the walker's handles and haptic feedback from wearable feedback sources. We note that the majority of haptic feedback devices are designed and validated by younger adults with normal sensory motor systems. Because it is known that tactile sensitivity decreases with age\textsuperscript{2}, this project will require us to re-evaluate several modalities of haptic feedback, such as vibrotactile, skin stretch, and contact with populations of older adults. Based on the findings of these validation, we will likely need to iterate on the design of many haptic devices described in the literature to better enable haptic communication with our target population.
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Use of imperceptible wrist vibration to modulate sensorimotor cortical activity
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Abstract
Background: Peripheral sensory stimulation has been used as a method to stimulate the motor cortex, with applications in neuro-rehabilitation. To improve delivery modality and usability, a new stimulation method has been developed in which imperceptible random-frequency vibration is applied to the wrist concurrently during hand activity.

Objective: The objective of this study was to investigate effects of this new sensory stimulation on the sensorimotor cortex.

Methods: Health adults were studied. In a transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) study, resting motor threshold, short-interval cortical inhibition, and cortical facilitation for a hand muscle were compared between vibration on vs. off while subjects were at rest. In an electroencephalogram (EEG) study, alpha and beta power during rest and hand grip were compared between vibration on vs. off.

Results: Vibration decreased EEG alpha and beta power during grip and rest and also decreased TMS short-interval cortical inhibition (i.e., disinhibition) compared with no vibration.

Conclusion: Subthreshold random-frequency wrist vibration affected cortical activity and release of cortical inhibition. Such effects may have implications in rehabilitation.
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Tactile Masking by Electrovibration
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Abstract
Future touch screen applications will include multiple tactile stimuli displayed simultaneously or consecutively to single finger or multiple fingers. These applications should be designed by considering human tactile masking mechanism since it is known that presenting one stimulus may interfere with the perception of the other. In this study, we investigate the effect of masking on the tactile perception of electrovibration displayed on touch screens. Through conducting psychophysical experiments with nine participants, we measured the masked thresholds of sinusoidal electrovibration bursts (125 Hz) under two masking conditions: simultaneous and pedestal. The masking stimuli were noise bursts, applied at five different sensation levels varying from 2 to 22 dB SL, also presented by electrovibration. For each participant, the detection thresholds were elevated as linear functions of masking levels for both masking types. We observed that the masking effectiveness was larger with pedestal masking than simultaneous masking. Moreover, in order to investigate the effect of tactile masking on our haptic perception of edge sharpness, we compared the perceived sharpness of edges separating two textured regions displayed with and without various masking stimuli. Our results suggest that sharpness perception depends on the local contrast between background and foreground stimuli, which varies as a function of masking amplitude and activation levels of frequency-dependent psychophysical channels.
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Do we explore with the optimal fingers? Spontaneous exploration and performance with different fingers in softness discrimination
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Abstract
In studies of haptic softness perception, typically only a limited range of different exploration behaviors is used. Most frequently, participants are instructed to explore soft objects by indenting them with their index finger. In contrast, performance with the other fingers or simultaneous indentation with more than one finger has rarely been investigated. Yet, Katz (1989/1925) described that in spontaneous exploration, people tend to use several fingers (often middle and index finger). We wondered if fingers differ in their ability to discriminate softness, and if so, which fingers are spontaneously used. First we studied exploration phases and fingers used in spontaneous exploration. Eighty-seven participants discriminated the softness of two similar objects while we filmed the finger movements. Results indicate that people perform multiple phases during an exploration, in which they successively use different fingers and finger combinations to explore. Participants most frequently used three different fingers during the exploration, preferring combinations of index, middle and ring finger, avoiding the use of the small finger. Second we compared discrimination thresholds between conditions, with participants using any of the four fingers of the dominant hand. Participants compared the softness of rubber stimuli in a 2AFC discrimination task, using constant forces and executing only one indentation per stimulus in each trial. Performance with the small finger was worse than performance with any of the other three fingers (index, middle, ring); whereas the other fingers did not differ in their ability to discriminate softness. We conclude that using only a single finger to explore the softness of objects does not represent natural behavior completely. Combinations of index, middle, and ring finger seem to be more natural for haptic exploration. This behavior seems to be well chosen, as indicated by improved performance with the spontaneously used fingers compared to the rarely used small finger.
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Intuitive Haptic Telemanipulator for Laser Osteotomy with a Robotic Endoscope
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Abstract
Performing minimally invasive robot-assisted laser osteotomy by teleoperation poses several challenges. Not only does the surgeon need to reach a precise position (3 degrees of freedom (DoF)) with the end-effector inside the patient’s body, the end-effector also needs to be oriented precisely for the laser beam to cut the bone at the desired angle (another 2 DoF). Additionally, the manipulability of the endoscope’s end-effector is constrained by the physical limitations of both the master and slave devices, the anatomy of the surgeon’s hand and wrist, and the anatomical environment at the surgical site [1].

In the herein proposed work, different prototypes of a master device handle are developed and evaluated in order to allow intuitive control of a robotic endoscope end-effector for laser osteotomy. Based on eight basic geometric forms and two fundamental grasping types (using the whole hand vs. using the fingertips only), a total of 43 different prototypes were developed. These prototypes were rated subjectively with respect to their grasping comfort, ergonomics, association with everyday objects, and their ease of handling while being mounted on a lambda.6 master device (Force Dimension, Nyon, Switzerland). According to this first evaluation, the number of pursued prototypes was reduced and their design refined. For the resulting 10 prototypes, we are currently evaluating the rotational workspace that can be reached by a human user without changing the grasp of the handle on the lambda.6 device. Additionally, the different handle prototypes are evaluated in a path-following and positioning task mimicking the approach of the endoscope end-effector to its cutting location in a virtual human knee.

We strongly believe that the data gained from these experiments will help us to understand better how a master device handle should be designed for a given task to allow the surgeon to intuitively manipulate a robotic endoscope.
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NEUROPROSTHETICS AND MODELLING
Deep Learning spatio-temporal representations of natural hand movements

Chaiyawan Auepanwiriyakul\(^1\) and Aldo Faisal\(^{1,2,3}\)

Brain & Behavior Lab: \(^1\) Dept. of Computing,
\(^2\) Dept. of Bioengineering,
\(^3\) Data Science Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK,

Abstract
Natural hand movements controlled by our brain are very variable and complex. Our aim is to identify in a data-driven manner an underlying simplicity that may reflect on the neural mechanisms. Deep Learning techniques have been shown to successfully model representations of auditory and visual cortical areas when presented with the same stimuli [1]. However purely perceptual representations may be significantly different from proprioceptive representations that are as closely linked to ongoing actions and tasks. We therefore developed a novel deep convolutional autoencoder architecture that we have termed the "Angel Encoder". An Angel Encoder is a specialised version of a Nonlinear AutoRegressive Convolutional Autoencoder with Exogenous Inputs that can capture locally hidden spatio-temporal correlated representations from natural hand movements conditioned on the ongoing task and activity. To this an we use intercalated convolutional architecture which operates across spatial and temporal domains simultaneously. We hypothesised that, with training learning on natural hand movements of 10 human subjects from daily life recordings, our Angel Encoder would be able to learn meaningful representations from this natural hand movement data that we collected using left and 22 Degrees of Freedom CyberGloves living in a studio flat environment. We train and evaluate our Angel Encoder on our in-house natural hand movement data recorded [2,3]. We then visualise, at the bottleneck of our neural network, the spatio-temporal neural representations of natural hand movements. Our result shows that the Angel Encoder is capable of uncovering meaningful internal representations of hand movements such as mixed hand activities (e.g. rhythmic tapping) as well as specific simple grasps (e.g. prismatic grips) as well as compound actions. These findings enable us to further our understanding of how the brain perceives hand movement by e.g. correlating these with neural activity [4] as much as suggesting novel ways for controlling robotic and prosthetic hands.
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Sensory and motor parameter estimation for elbow myoelectric control with vibrotactile feedback
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Abstract
Despite technological advances in motorization of upper limb prosthesis, myoelectric control requires a long learning process leading to a high dropout rate among amputees. The absence of sensory feedback is very likely to impinge the appropriation of the prosthesis. Here, we explored a sensory substitution alternative with various configurations and settings for vibrotactile feedback as a preliminary step toward their future integration.

Six vibrators were placed either on a line between the acromion and the lateral epicondyle or circumferentially around the arm. Space intervals between vibrators were either absolute (2cm) or proportional to the length or the circumference of the arm. Four dispositions were tested: longitudinal proportional (LP), longitudinal absolute (LA), circular proportional (CP) and circular absolute (CA). Combinations of stimulation used involved three durations (60; 100 140ms) and three intensities (62.5; 100; 167mA). Spatial discrimination test involved subjects to estimate location of the vibration, and perceived intensity was assessed by having subjects indicating the strength of the stimulation they experienced between 0 (no feeling) to 3 (strong).

The circular proportional (CP) disposition elicited better discrimination results than the 3 other dispositions (p<0.0001). Duration, intensity and disposition were all found to influence the success rate scores. Stimulations with small duration (60ms) were perceived as being produced with a lower level of intensity. Longer or stronger stimulations were perceived stronger.

Our results indicated that a circular proportional disposition of the vibrator around the arm is a well-suited configuration for sensory substitution. Moreover, they provided valuable information on stimulation parameters as time and intensity for vibrators. Our next step will be to combine sensory feedback given by vibrator around the arm (CP) to the myoelectric control of an avatar, on healthy subjects and amputees. This sensory substitution has great potential to improve prosthesis control, acceptance, and might also attenuate phantom limb pain.
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Statistical Modelling of Fingertip Deformations and Contact Forces during Tactile Interaction
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Abstract
Little is known about the shape and properties of the human finger during haptic interaction, even though these are essential parameters for controlling wearable finger devices and deliver realistic tactile feedback. This study explores a framework for four-dimensional scanning (3D over time) and modelling of finger-surface interactions, aiming to capture the motion and deformations of the entire finger with high resolution while simultaneously recording the interfacial forces at the contact. Preliminary results show that when the fingertip is actively pressing a rigid surface, it undergoes lateral expansion and proximal/distal bending, deformations that cannot be captured by imaging of the contact area alone. Therefore, we are currently capturing a dataset that will enable us to create a statistical model of the finger’s deformations and predict the contact forces induced by tactile interaction with objects. This technique could improve current methods for tactile rendering in wearable haptic devices, which rely on general physical modelling of the skin’s compliance [1], by developing an accurate model of the variations in finger properties across the human population. The availability of such a model will also enable a more realistic simulation of virtual finger behaviour in virtual reality (VR) environments, as well as the ability to accurately model a specific user’s finger from lower resolution data. It may also be relevant for inferring the physical properties of the underlying tissue from observing the surface mesh deformations, as previously shown for body tissues [2].
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Modeling uncertainty to understand biases in tactile localization after brain damage

Yuqi Liu*, Michael Grzenda, Jared Medina, PhD

1 Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Delaware

Abstract
Humans with S1 lesions demonstrate increased tactile detection thresholds and errors in tactile localization. However, the relationship between detection thresholds, tactile localization patterns and cortical damage has not been systematically examined. We tested over 50 brain-damaged individuals to investigate the relationship between tactile detection and tactile localization. Tactile detection was tested using a staircase procedure with Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments. Tactile localization was tested by stimulating various locations on the dorsal side of the hand with suprathreshold monofilaments, and having participants point to perceived stimulus locations (as in Rapp, Hendel & Medina, 2002). Using linear mixed models, we found that localization percepts on the contralesional, but not ipsilesional hand, significantly shifted towards the center of the hand. Importantly, this central bias increased as detection thresholds increased. Based on a model of spatial memory (e.g. Huttenlocher, Hedges & Duncan, 1991), we hypothesized that the brain utilizes multiple sources of information in tactile localization. With high certainty, we rely on a fine-grained representation of location based on somatosensory input. With high uncertainty, we minimize error by responding closer to the center of the hand (the prototypical representation). Specifically, these sources of information are weighted based on the noise in the sensory input. With increased uncertainty in somatosensation, more weight may be assigned to prototypical information, leading to more central localization percepts. To test this hypothesis, we fitted each individual’s data to a model where perceived tactile location is a weighted sum of the actual stimulus location (fine-grained representation) and the location of the hand center (prototypical representation). We found weighting of prototype information significantly correlated with detection thresholds, providing novel evidence for a perceptual mechanism in which cortical damage increases sensory uncertainty, which leads to increased weighting of prototypical versus fine-grained information and a central localization bias.
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Markerless tracking of user-defined features with deep learning
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Abstract
Quantifying behavior is crucial for many applications in neuroscience. Videography provides easy methods for the observation and recording of animal behavior in diverse settings, yet extracting particular aspects of a behavior for further analysis can be highly time consuming. In motor control studies, humans or other animals are often marked with reflective markers to assist with computer-based tracking, yet markers are intrusive (especially for smaller animals), and the number and location of the markers must be determined a priori. We present a highly efficient method for markerless tracking based on transfer learning with deep neural networks that achieves excellent results with minimal training data. We demonstrate the versatility of this framework by tracking various body parts in a broad collection of experimental settings: mice odor trail-tracking, egg-laying behavior in drosophila, and mouse hand articulation in a skilled forelimb task. For example, during the skilled reaching behavior, individual joints can be automatically tracked (and a confidence score is reported). Remarkably, even when a small number of frames are labeled, the algorithm achieves excellent tracking performance on test frames that is comparable to human accuracy.
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Towards brain-controlled neuromodulation of the cervical spinal cord for the restoration of arm and hand movement in tetraplegia
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Abstract
For people with high cervical spinal cord injury (SCI), restoring the ability to reach and grasp is the highest priority. However, even the most promising techniques to restore hand and arm function have so far recovered only crude movements. We are working to design and implement a novel neuroprosthetic system for the recovery of hand and arm function after cervical spinal cord injury. Recent advances in brain-controlled neuromodulation of the spinal cord enabled nonhuman primates to regain weight-bearing control of a paralyzed leg as early as 6 days after thoracic SCI [1]. We aim to apply this strategy to the cervical spinal cord to restore hand and arm functions lost after cervical SCI. We trained macaque monkeys to perform a reach, grasp, and pull task while interacting with a robotic arm. We then surgically implanted two Utah electrode arrays in the motor and premotor cortices of the contralateral hemisphere. We recorded neural activity during the unconstrained reaching behavior, along with the full limb kinematics and EMG signals from proximal and distal muscles of the arm. We developed neural decoders to predict motor events related to the reaching and grasping movements using the intracortical recordings. Ultimately, the neural decoders will be linked to spatially-selective cervical spinal stimulation protocols to restore dexterous reaching and grasping movements in nonhuman primates after unilateral cervical SCI.
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Wiretapping the brainstem for closing the neuroprosthetic loop: Decoding natural tactile and proprioceptive stimuli, and their peripheral locations, on the dorsal column nuclei surface
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Abstract

Touch and proprioception are two somatosensory modalities essential for motor control. A dorsal column nuclei (DCN) neuroprosthesis may offer practical solutions for restoring these senses following spinal cord injury.

We aimed to establish the DCN as a potential somatosensory neuroprosthetic target, by showing that responses to natural stimuli in the DCN satisfy key prerequisites. These include demonstration that: 1) DCN responses are predictable and reproducible, yet unique, to peripheral inputs; 2) DCN signals encode the location, as well as 3) the sensory modality and 4) the sensory quality (i.e. different perceptual experiences within a sensory modality) of somatosensory events arising from the periphery. Six 8-week-old male Wistar rats were Urethane anaesthetised. Neuronal activity from 7 platinum electrodes, placed on the DCN surface, was recorded during 2 tactile-dominated (20g brush|dowel pokes on the palmer surface of hind- and fore-paws) or 2 proprioceptive-dominated (flexion|extension of hind- and fore-limb joints) natural stimuli.

An artificial neural network (ANN) was trained and tested on 5 DCN signal features, to predict the stimulus location, sensory modality and quality of the 4 natural stimuli presented to the 4 limbs. Machine learnability was derived from the mean of 10 repeated learning-testing cycles. The trained ANN could correctly discriminate between proprioceptive vs. tactile stimuli with 98.9±0.2% accuracy (chance level (CL), 50%) and among limbs with 98.0±0.4% accuracy (CL, 25%). The mean accuracy for correctly predicting the combined natural stimulus and limb was 87.3±1.9% (CL, 6.5%); accuracy was greater when predicting proprioceptive stimuli (94.5±0.9%, CL 12.5%) compared to tactile (80.1±0.5%).

These findings demonstrate that DCN signals are rich in information that is unique for different tactile and proprioceptive events, and furthermore, their encoding is highly reproducible and predictable within the DCN. These findings support the idea of the DCN as a potential target worthy of further somatosensory neuroprosthetic research.
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Abstract
The central nervous system is able to adapt to a novel physical environment by means of two major control mechanisms. One mechanism is through learning an internal model that generates motor commands to create appropriate forces, and the other is impedance control that modulates the impedance of the limbs and joints by regulating muscle co-contraction. There are different sensorimotor networks involved in modulating each of these control strategies. It has been of great interest to study the neural processes underlying motor adaptation. Nevertheless, there is still substantial conjecture about how these processes are realized in the brain. We investigated this problem using a force field motor adaptation paradigm and resting-state fMRI approach. Participants interacted with a robotic interface which created novel dynamics. Kinematics and electromyography were recorded during four different stages of motor adaptation followed by a resting-state fMRI scan that monitored brain activity immediately after each stage was completed. A metric of muscle co-contraction was computed from the normalized electromyographic signals. Furthermore, motor learning was quantified based on the analysis of catch trials which were placed at the end of each learning phase. We analyzed the functional connectivity in resting-state networks and demonstrated that change in the strength of functional connectivity in certain brain networks including cerebellar, somatosensory, motor and parietal cortical networks was correlated with a metric of co-contraction, and a metric of motor learning. We aim to distinguish between regions of the brain involved in muscle co-contraction (impedance control) and learning to adapt to novel dynamics and propose a control scheme that explains and dissociates the neural correlates of those mechanism as separate control modules. The results of this study can provide new insight into the neural substrates of motor learning and also pave the road for more efficient sensorimotor rehabilitation techniques.
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Training to improve individuation of finger movements: a pilot study
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Abstract
When we try to move or produce force with a single finger, other fingers also move or produce force, a phenomenon known as enslaving (Häger-Ross & Schieber, 2000). Even experts at moving their fingers, such as professional pianists, still show enslaving. Although some enslaving is due to connective tissues between the fingers, it is largely due to neural limitations in individuated finger control. Individuated finger movements are required for many tasks in daily life, such as unbuttoning a shirt, using a smartphone, or unscrewing a lid. In contrast, there are other tasks we perform, such as grasping a cup with the fingertips of the hand, where we apply forces together with multiple fingers. In these cases, the tasks do not require individuated finger movements, but rather coordination of the multiple finger forces. In a pilot study, we trained subjects to improve the individuation of force production by the fingers in an isometric force task. The subjects were instructed to produce a force ramp with an instructed finger and provided with visual feedback, while the other fingers remained also on force sensors, using a well-tested setup (Shaklai, Mimouni-Bloch, Levin, & Friedman, 2017). Following each force ramp, feedback was provided on the activation of the non-instructed fingers, with the participants instructed to minimize force produced by the other fingers. The subjects performed 15 minutes of training on 10 different days. On the first and last days, performance was measured without feedback of the non-instructed fingers. We found that while subjects improved in performing the task (i.e. they were closer to the instructed force ramp with the instructed finger), rather than performing less enslaving after training, the participants produced more enslaving. We will discuss possible explanations for these counterintuitive findings in the context of the competing demands of finger individuation and finger coordination.
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Abstract
Our goal was to determine factors which characterize impaired hand function in stroke patients and to analyze the predictive power of the factors with regard to the Jebsen Taylor Hand Function Test (JTHFT) as a common clinical test of fine motor control.

To that aim a test battery was assembled. Beside the JTHFT, it contained the Nine-Hole Peg Test (9-HPT) and the 2-point discrimination test (2 PD) to obtain a measure of somatosensory loss. To analyze object manipulation skills, grip forces and temporal measures were examined during 1) lifting actions with variations of weight and surface 2) cyclic movements 3) catching tasks assessing predictive and reactive grip force control. Three other aspects of force control included 4) visuomotor tracking 5) fast force changes and 6) grip strength. Twenty-two people following stroke with fine motor deficits and twenty-two healthy controls were examined.

Nine parameters from six tasks were extracted to distinguish significantly fine motor perfor-mance of stroke patients from healthy controls. Using this parameters we identified 3 principal components (factors): 1) Grip force scaling, 2) motor coordination and 3) speed of movement. Interestingly, the two-point discrimination performance as a measure of sensory perception combined with the grip force peaks indicated force economy during object manipulation. Regression analysis found a strong predictability of the performance in the JTHFT based on the three factors (R²=.687, p<.001).

We revealed specific patterns expressed in three key characteristics of fine motor skills. Somatosensory deficits contribute to a factor expressing motor economy. The factors are able to explain JTHFT results. These findings can serve as a basis for improving diagnostics and enabling more targeted therapy. As shown in a further study, patients with task-related dystonia interestingly exhibit impairments in only one isolated factor (motor coordination).
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Neuromuscular control of dexterous finger movements in healthy pianists, pianists with focal dystonia, and non-musicians
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Abstract
Independent control of finger movements plays a key role in hand dexterity. To shed light onto its neuromuscular adaptation mechanisms through extensive training, two studies were performed. The first study compared effects of both neuromuscular control and mechanical coupling on the finger motor independence between healthy pianists and musically-untrained individuals (i.e. non-musicians). Subjects were asked to flex each of the four fingers either voluntarily or passively at three different tempi. We measured angles of the proximal interphalangeal (PIP) and metacarpo-phalangeal (MCP) joints. We then recorded the maximum force production, the maximum rate of tapping, and the abduction-adduction range of motion for each of the fingers. The movement independence of the index finger when moving at the fast tempo was higher in the pianists than the non-musicians. Neuromuscular constraint to the movement independence of the middle finger when moving at the medium tempo was lower in the pianists than the non-musicians. By contrast, there were no significant group differences in the amount of mechanical coupling between the fingers. With respect to the middle finger of the pianists, there was a negative correlation between the movement independence at the medium tempo and the maximum adduction force level. This observation suggests that extra muscular strength of the finger hinders the independent movement control. The second study investigated neuromuscular hand control of healthy non-musicians, skilled pianists, and pianists with focal hand dystonia (FHD) that involves loss of dexterity of one or more fingers, by recording the EMG of eight hand-intrinsic and extrinsic muscles during playing the piano. An optimization algorithm, an unsupervised clustering, and a regression analysis identified six patterns of the finger muscular coordination that distinctly represent each of FHD and musical expertise, some of which also encoded degradation of motor dexterity in association with FHD. In conclusion, these two studies suggest that reorganization of neuromuscular coordination underlies enhancement and deterioration of the independent movement control through extensive musical training.
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Manifolds of Natural Hand Representations

Charalambos Harris Konnaris1,2*, Aldo Faisal1,2,3
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Abstract
A central question in motor control is to understand how the brain controls and coordinates movement. Specifically in the case of the hand, it is still unclear how the CNS implements this to achieve the multitude of static and dynamic hand poses in every-day life when interacting with objects. It has been shown that hands can be efficiently represented and controlled by a lower dimensional manifold (Santello et al, 1998, Belic & Faisal, 2015). As a result, many bionic and BCI approaches simplify the high dimensional control problem of hand control utilising a lower dimensional manifold. We investigate this control representation by evaluating whether the method of dimensionality reduction (i.e. linear or non-linear) impacts the performance when tele-operating an artificial hand in VR, or whether task performance solely depends on the global reconstruction error of the algorithm and the degree of embedding. Interestingly, in the cases where different algorithms produce similar reconstruction errors, would we expect to see a major impact in human performance? We test our hypothesis using our closed-loop VR platform with the human in the loop. Our platform consists of the following real-time systems: optical motion tracking capturing arm movements, 22 DoF CyberGlove measuring the joint angles of the hand, Physics Engine (Mujoco) allowing real world dynamics and a VR system (HTC Vive) embodying the experience of tele-operating a virtual artificial hand. Using our set-up we can directly measure and compare the performance under various control algorithms for mapping subjects’ real hand movements to drive the artificial hand: 1) Direct Control, 2) PCA, 3) Isomap, 4) Sammon Mapping.
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Somatosensory Aspects of Robotic Training for Motor Learning and Neurorehabilitation
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Abstract

Robotic systems have rapidly grown in popularity in motor learning and neurorehabilitation fields. Robots have relevant advantages when compared to conventional therapy, such as high movement repetition, precise quantitative assessment and rich virtual visuo-haptic environment provision opportunities. Robotic training mainly targets regaining motor skills since robots have the prominent advantage of being able to support the human limbs by applying precise forces. However, another important aspect that has a crucial effect on improving motor skills remains lacking the attention it deserves: sensory training [1].

It cannot be ignored the fact that human motion control is a closed-loop control, i.e. the motor activations of the limbs are based on the somatosensory information they gather. We depend on the signals coming from our moving body parts to be able to navigate and interact within dynamic environments. A number of studies have associated sensory impairment at baseline with poorer motor recovery and function [2]. However, only few studies have examined the role of somatosensory information in robotic assisted neurorehabilitation following brain injuries [3].

Robots provide great opportunities for training sensorimotor skills. We can create virtual environments easily, supplied with intelligently designed visuo-haptic elements that trainees can sense and interact with. This is exactly what this project is about. Using robotic systems, we would like to design and regulate virtual mechanical training environments, which adjust their sensation elements online, according to specific somatosensory skills/deficits of the trainees. We aim to use virtual objects which have high/rich dynamics, surface properties that provide purposeful sensations, and modulate the oscillation dynamics of the environment to train the trainees’ sensory system while simultaneously training their motor system. We believe this research has a great potential to impact the field of robotic neurorehabilitation.
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Laterality Differences in Human Hand Kinematics (and Grasping-Related Dimensionality Effects)
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Abstract
Humans use their hands effortlessly for their daily tasks. The loss of an upper limb has a large impact on an individual’s life and current prostheses can restore only very basic functions. Thus, a better understanding of human hand kinematics would help to improve prostheses. Therefore, a human data glove was developed based on an previous glove system originally developed for primates, which uses only seven sensors (compared to 18-22 sensors in commercially available data gloves), is able to track 32 degrees of freedom in real-time, and can represent the movements in a 3-D model on a screen (Schaffelhofer & Scherberger 2012). The human data glove was developed with relatively normal haptics and no range restrictions to allow natural interactions and movements.

Humans mostly prefer one hand over the other, in particular for fine, dexterous movements. Here we investigate whether this preference has an effect on hand kinematics using a free natural hand movement task (e.g., gestures) in healthy, right handed subjects (N=30). Principal component analysis (PCA) is employed to characterize the most frequently used movement components in each subject to compare the features in the dominant and non-dominant hand. Furthermore, this analysis will also reveal specific couplings of joints kinematics, which will be tested for laterality differences.

In a second experiment, we want to add to the increasing interest in virtual reality (VR) that requires more possibilities to interact with objects in the VR. The question arises, how hand postures differ between real object and imagined object interactions. Therefore, a grasping task with objects of daily life (e.g. coffee mug) was designed with two conditions: "pantomime (VR) grasp” and “real object grasp". Data from N=30 healthy, right handed subjects are compared across these two conditions to investigate possible dimensionality effects during real vs. imagined object interactions.

NOTE: This study is an ongoing master thesis project with submission in August 2018.
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