Cleavage Politics in Old and New Democracies

Simon Bornschier

University of Zurich | Institute for Political Science | siborn@ipz.uzh.ch

First published: October 2009

Most recent version available at http://www.livingreviews.org/lrd-2009-6

Stein Rokkan's comparative historical account of party system formation in Western Europe has proved enormously influential due to the appeal of tying individual political behaviour to large-scale historical transformations. This article reviews the literature that has studied the genesis of cleavagebased party systems, as well as theoretical and empirical assessments of the degree to which they have remained stable or "frozen". If it is adapted to allow for a more dynamic perspective, the cleavage approach also helps us to make sense of recent transformations of Western European party systems by pointing to new "critical junctures" that are likely to have a lasting impact on party competition and on individual political behaviour. In the second part of this review, I discuss applications of the approach outside Western Europe, focusing above all on Latin America and Central and Eastern Europe. If it is modified according to the specific historical trajectories of these countries, the cleavage concept helps us understand both how party systems become institutionalized in new democracies, as well as the type of conflicts they are likely to reflect. Furthermore, criticisms of social structural determinism have resulted in a new generation of scholarship that insists on paying more attention to the interplay of structure and agency in forging long-term bonds between parties and voters.

Introduction

The "Rokkanian" concept of cleavage (Lipset and Rokkan 1967, Rokkan 1970, Rokkan 1999) has for a long time occupied a central role in the literature on the formation of European party systems and in studies of contemporary voting behaviour. More recently, it has also been used in accounts of party system formation in new democracies. The term "cleavage" denotes a specific type of conflict in democratic politics that is rooted in the social structural transformations that have been triggered by large-scale processes such as nation building, industrialization, and possibly also by the consequences of post-industrialization. One of the great appeals of the concept thus lies in its ability to link individual political behaviour to macro-historical processes, and to make sense of the way "critical junctures" may shape politics in path-dependent ways for decades. In a perspective of comparative methodology, the cleavage approach helps us to understand the origins of similarities and differences between party systems and countries.

In this review, I will be concerned primarily with the literature that seeks to explain the genesis and the subsequent evolution of party systems from a cleavage perspective. The recent themes addressed in this strand of research are the question to which degree historical conflicts are still of relevance for party politics today, and whether the new political conflicts that have emerged in advanced industrial countries since the 1960s can be expected to acquire the same stability as the traditional cleavages related to class and religion. I also discuss how the cleavage concept has been applied to the study of party politics in new democracies. In its original formulation, the concept is tightly interwoven with the Western European historical path to nation-state formation and industrialization, precluding its direct application to other contexts. However, since the central hunch of the

1 I would like to thank Peter Mair for his detailed comments on an earlier version of this article. Furthermore, I am grateful to the two anonymous reviewers and the editor of the journal, Hanspeter Kriesi, for their helpful suggestions.

cleavage approach may be that some links between parties and voters are firmer than others, the concept travels reasonably well. In fact, Tóka (1998: 596) states that the success of this "obscure concept" derives from the intuitive appeal of the idea that some motives of individual vote choice are more likely to "cement" party loyalties than others.

The article is structured as follows. First, I present Rokkan's model of party system formation in Western Europe, from which much of what may be considered "cleavage theory" is derived. I also address the controversies surrounding the question of what accounts for the subsequent stability of these party systems. In order to avoid the static bias inherent in the approach, the relationship between cleavage politics and the concepts of dealignment and realignment are then discussed. While dealignment denotes the weakening of the established structure of conflict, by realignments we mean the process of forging new links between parties and social groups. This provides the point of departure for discussing the possible waning of the historical cleavages and the advent of new divisions related to the expansion of education, the intensification of globalization, and identity politics, which may be related to critical junctures that have occurred after the original approach was developed. In all of these respects, the vast amount of research that has been pursued on Western Europe will allow me to be synthetic in my discussion.

The second part of this article is devoted to some recent uses of the cleavage concept in new democracies. First of all, making the approach travel requires identifying how critical junctures other than those relevant in Western Europe may have shaped party systems, or, what is in fact more common, have failed to do so. It is the absence of large-scale processes of political change and upheaval, coinciding with extensions of the democratic suffrage that help explain why clientelism has remained so pervasive in many contexts outside the Western world. Secondly, applications of the cleavage approach to more recent instances of party system formation have ascribed a more

prominent role to political actors and their ability to shape politics. While there are limits to the ability of elite actors to shape partisan ties from above, the experience of new democracies suggests that much of the empirical research in the cleavage tradition has suffered from an unwarranted degree of social determinism. One of the prime reasons for this has been the focus on the relatively homogeneous Western European party systems, where a class cleavage has emerged everywhere. Another reason is that in closely following ongoing processes of party system formation in the new democracies, current research is less synthetic – and also less comparative – than was the case in the old democracies, and consequently, more attention has been paid to how actors actively forge or downplay social divisions.

With the virtual explosion of formal democratic rule around the globe in the past three decades, the geographical focus of the second part of this essay is necessarily limited. Most of the work in the cleavage tradition has focused on Latin America and on Central and Eastern Europe, and I will concentrate on these two regions. In the final part of this essay, I will briefly touch upon between cleavages, the relationship party institutionalization, and democratic consolidation. I conclude by pointing to common challenges for the study of cleavages in old and new democracies.

Established Democracies and Their Cleavages, Old and New

The European Model

Across Europe, the twin processes of the national and the industrial revolutions have constituted "critical junctures" determining subsequent political development, and have led to long-term alignments between social groups and political parties.² A first sketch of this macro-historical framework was presented in Seymour Martin Lipset and Stein Rokkan's introductory chapter to their edited volume entitled Party Systems and Voter Alignments (1967). Rokkan's "Conceptual Map of Europe", was then more fully developed in a number of essays collected in Rokkan (1970). More recently, Peter Flora and his colleagues have edited an encompassing reconstruction of Rokkan's work on state and nation formation and mass politics in Europe (Rokkan 1999, 2000).³ In this account, the national and the industrial revolutions have each resulted in two cleavages. The national revolution refers to the process of nation-state formation. The antagonisms to emerge from this revolution have been territorial on the one hand and cultural on the other. The centre-periphery cleavage was triggered by "the conflict between the central nation-building culture and the increasing resistance of the ethnically, linguistically, or religiously distinct subject populations in the provinces and the peripheries", while the religious cleavage developed from "the conflict between the centralizing, standardizing, and mobilizing Nation-State and the historically established corporate privileges of the Church" (Lipset, Rokkan 1990 [1967]: 101, emphasis in As opposed to these cultural conflicts, functional oppositions have arisen only after a certain degree of internal consolidation of the national territory and a certain level of cultural standardization. The processes of state formation and external boundary building have been crucial preconditions for the internal political structuring of the polity along functional lines, as Caramani (2004) and Bartolini (2005a: Ch. 2) have recently argued. Going back to Rokkan (1999), cross-local oppositions first resulted from the industrial revolution, which in the 19th and early 20th centuries produced two cleavages: a sectoral cleavage between the first and the secondary sectors of the economy, opposing agricultural and industrial interests, and, as the historically youngest divide, the class cleavage. While this last cleavage has not necessarily been the strongest one, it has probably received most attention in comparative politics because it has come to structure politics in every European country.

The mobilization of the four historical cleavages identified in the classic approach have given birth to the modern party systems in Europe. Subsequently, as Lipset and Rokkan (1967) have famously noted, the full mobilization of European electorates led to a "freezing" of the major party alternatives. Building on a new set of data with district-level electoral results, Caramani (2004) has shown that the end of territorially fragmented politics was an early process that came about as a consequence of the national spread of the religiously based opposition between liberals and conservatives. The formation of national party systems, in other words, was completed before the First World War. The basic structure of European party systems has thus proved remarkably stable throughout much of the 20th century, as Bartolini and Mair (1990) have demonstrated in their seminal study that relies on aggregate measures of volatility between ideological party blocks. There is more disagreement on the stability of the divisions that underlie party systems and on the exact interpretation of the mystical "freezing" metaphor, however – two points I will return to later.

The Concept of Cleavage: A Definition

The intuitive meaning of the term "cleavage" is a deep and lasting division between groups based on some kind of conflict. Although the meaning of the concept may thus appear straightforward, definitional disputes have plagued the field. While the term has been and continues to be compounded with various adjectives, such as "social", "attitudinal" or "political", something of a consensus among those true to the Rokkanian legacy has emerged around a definition of a cleavage put forward by Bartolini and Mair (1990: 213-220), and recently justified in more detail by Bartolini (2005b). According to this conceptualisation, a political division must comprise three elements to constitute a cleavage: (1) A social-structural element, such as class, religious denomination, status, or education, (2) an element of collective identity of this social group, and (3) an organizational manifestation in the form of collective action or a durable organization of the social groups concerned. A cleavage is thus necessarily a "compounded divide", according to Bartolini (2005b) and Deegan-Krause (2006, 2007), encompassing interests, normative or attitudinal outlooks, and a strong organizational base. Both of the above authors develop typologies of divisions that exhibit some, but not all, of the elements characterizing a full cleavage. Presumably, what accounts for the durability of cleavages as a basis for the political structuring of a political system is that they feature all elements. Going beyond the three constituting

² For a theoretical discussion of the concept of path dependency and of the mechanisms sustaining it, see Pierson (2000).

³ Rokkan's most relevant writings on the subject are found in the article "Nation-Building, Cleavage Formation and the Structuring of Mass Politics" in Rokkan (1970), as well as in section IV, "Cleavage Structures and Party Systems" in Rokkan (1999/2000). An abbreviated version of the original Lipset-Rokkan article can be found in Lipset and Rokkan (1990).

elements of a cleavage, then, the term cleavage is usually reserved for durable patterns of political behaviour linking social groups and political organizations.

Neither the basic macro-historical model nor the definition presented above has provoked explicit resistance, even if some authors continue to use the term "cleavage" interchangeably with "division" or "conflict" (e.g., Moreno 1999). More disagreement is evident when it comes to the sources of stability of the party systems formed by the historical cleavages, as well as in empirical applications of the concept. One of the most central problems is how cleavages are to be analyzed in a dynamic perspective. A related question is the somewhat unclear status of new political divisions within the concept. Allardt (1968) has noted early on that the concept has a static bias. There is also a tendency to assume an extraordinary character of the original four divisions identified by Lipset and Rokkan. It is these points that I now address.

Differing Interpretations of the "Freezing-Hypothesis": **Cleavages and Party Systems**

Contrary to the explanation of the genesis of European party systems, the mechanisms accounting for their remarkable longterm stability have not been analyzed in detail in the original Lipset-Rokkan article, and not in Rokkan's later work (Rokkan 1999). For the authors, as Mair (2001) points out, the so-called "freezing-hypothesis" was not so much a hypothesis as an empirical observation. Lipset and Rokkan (1990: 134) had stated that "[...] the party systems of the 1960s reflect, with few but significant exceptions, the cleavage structures of the 1920s" (emphasis mine). Empirical tests of the continuing validity of what is often presumed to be a hypothesis have proceeded along two main lines, as Mair (2001: 28-33) points out in an article devoted to the differing interpretation of this part of Lipset and Rokkan's argument. These approaches are based on differing interpretations of what exactly "froze" into place in the 1920s:

- 1. The first possibility is that the relationship between specific segments of society, such as classes on the one hand and parties representing their interests on the other hand, was established in the early process of party system formation and remained largely unchanged thereafter. The stability of party systems thus derives from the social groups divided by a cleavage supporting the same parties generation after generation. Scholars adhering to this view typically track the social structural determinants of voting behaviour in terms of class, religion, and urban-rural residence over time, allowing them to assess to which degree the original relationship between social groups and parties has remained intact. To explain the relative stability of party systems in this way is rather unrealistic in view of the important changes in employment structures, religious beliefs, and geographical mobility. As Mair (2001: 30) points out, this understanding of "freezing" could only be correct if society itself were "frozen". Empirical examples of this line of inquiry will be reviewed in more detail
- The second strategy is to focus on the stability of party systems formed by the historical cleavages. Most of the work focusing on aggregate levels of electoral volatility if not explicitly, then at least implicitly, falls

into this category. This also applies to Bartolini and Mair's (1990) study. This perspective seeks to explain the persistence of party divisions even beyond the conflicts that originally brought them into being, and follows more closely from the macro-historical accounts of the cleavage concept. In this reading, cleavages entail collective political identities and organizational loyalties that determine individual political behaviour, and which are not easily broken down or diluted by new political movements. The relationship between the last of the four historical cleavages and the three historically older ones illustrates this nicely. The impact of the class divide, despite representing the main commonality of European party systems, has been far from uniform in the different countries. On the one hand, this is due to the country-specific opportunities for alliances with other political movements, a point that is central in Rokkan's framework. Even more relevant, however, is that the class cleavage's strength as well as the makeup of its social basis have been heavily determined by the older cleavages and the loyalties and identities that they entailed (Rokkan 1999; 2000: 277-412, Bartolini 2000: Ch. 8). Working-class parties thus found their mobilization space constrained by prior mobilization efforts of the religious, nationalist, and agrarian political movements. As a consequence, the share of the working-class vote for left parties varies heavily across countries, and so does the social structural homogeneity of the electorate mobilized by the left, as Bartolini's (2000: 497) mapping of these two dimensions across Western Europe impressively shows.

In most cases, the class cleavage was the last of the four original divides to become mobilized, and subsequently the "support market" for new parties has been "narrowed" to such a degree as a result of the formation of mass parties that a "freezing of the major party alternatives" was observable, according to Lipset and Rokkan (1990: 134). A frozen party system is thus equivalent to a structurally consolidated or institutionalized party system, in Sartori's (1976) terms. The stronger a party system structures the expectations of actors over time - at the elite as well as at the mass level - the more it contributes to channelling old and new conflicts into established structures of competition. As Mair (2001: 38) suggests, "Predictability then becomes a surrogate of structuration: the more predictable a party system is, the more it is a system as such, and hence the more institutionalized it has become. This is also what freezing is about". It is in fact the link between social divisions and party system institutionalization that makes the cleavage concept fruitful for the study of democratic consolidation, as I will argue later on

This view also implies, however, that changing links between social groups and parties are at least implicitly taken as a given. If party systems retain their basic shape in the midst of an evolving society, then this can only be accounted for by the forming of new links between social groups and parties. This reveals that Bartolini and Mair's (1990) highly influential definition of a cleavage is in fact somewhat problematic, since it can only be read and has in fact been interpreted as putting great emphasis on the social structural homogeneity of parties' electorates. Even the authors themselves, in other instances, adhere to a far less strict understanding of cleavages. Both Mair (1997) and Bartolini (2000) accept a long-term decline in the social structural homogeneity of a party's electorate as quite natural. Hence, the authors' definition, emphasizing the social structure-collective identity-organization linkage, seems adequate primarily to analyze the initial mobilization of cleavages, since it corresponds to a mobilization sequence put in evidence by social movement research (e.g., Tarrow 1992, Klandermans 1997): common interests fail to result in political mobilization by themselves. In the absence of a collective identity, individuals will not overcome the free-rider problem, and thus not act together politically. Perhaps the long-term impact of cleavages is also better explained by the persistence of the collective identities they entail than by the immutability of their social structural basis. I will return to this point in the last section of this article when I discuss the role of agency in cleavage formation.

Party System Change: Linking Cleavage and Realignment Theory

At least since the early 1980s, when new value conflicts made their way onto the political agenda in advanced industrial democracies, the continuing validity of the freezing hypothesis has been questioned (e.g., Dalton, Flanagan, Beck 1984). Before turning to the content of the new conflicts that many authors claim are disrupting traditional cleavage politics, I will discuss how the cleavage account can be accommodated with party system change. If the approach is to carry any weight today, such an understanding is essential.

In order for voters to become available to the mobilization efforts of new actors, the links between social groups and parties on which the established structure of conflict is based must have weakened. If this leads social groups to actually abandon the party they have supported, we speak of dealignment, which can either be structural or behavioural (Martin 2000, Lachat 2007). In the case of structural dealignment, modernization leads to a change in the strength of those social groups in which the old structure of conflict is anchored. Here, the long-term evolution of social structure weakens the grip of the established cleavages. For example, the advent of a post-industrial economy has resulted in a shrinking of the traditional working class, while secularization has led to a decline in the share of regular churchgoers in Western European countries. A party system reflecting primarily these conflicts will therefore be less rooted in social structure than a few decades ago, opening a window of opportunity for the mobilization of new conflicts.

Processes of behavioural dealignment, on the other hand, are not necessarily connected to a gradual shift in the strength of social groups. Here, links between social groups and ideological party blocks formed by cleavages undergo change either because the old conflicts are pacified, or because new divisions are strong enough to disrupt the prevalent patterns of conflict. To the degree that new issues divide the same social groups as the conflicts that have been prevailing so far, they are easily reconcilable with the predominating antagonisms, and will simply be taken up by parties. As a result, the meaning or political content of the dominant lines of conflict within a party system are to some degree altered. If, on the other hand, parties' established electorates are divided concerning an issue that is new or was of minor salience hitherto, parties will try to avoid positioning themselves regarding this question. When a new issue is highly salient, however, and cannot be integrated into the existing structure of conflict, then it is likely that one of the parties within the system - or a new party - takes them up. Consequently, the other parties will have to take sides as well, and the linkages between social groups and political parties may be reconfigured, and cleavages altered. While old connections are weakened in a process of dealignment, new and salient issues may lead to the formation of new linkages. The latter processes are at the heart of the theory of political realignments (for overviews of the concept, see Dalton, Flanagan, Beck 1984, Martin 2000, Mayhew 2000).

Small realignments may occur continuously, according to Martin (2000), but when party systems adapt to new structures of conflict, this is usually a rather eruptive process, and can be traced to a number of "critical elections", characterized by higher levels of volatility accompanying the modification in party constituencies. This eruptiveness is due to the inherent inertia of party systems as a consequence of their freezing along historical antagonisms, and to their lack of responsiveness in times of "normal politics". The latter in the theory of realignment denotes phases where the system is stable and where the prevailing alignments are not altered because, in Schattschneider's (1975) famous words, the established cleavage structure tends to "organize" issues cutting across established lines of division "out of politics".

Martin (2000: 84-86, 422-427) has developed an elegant depiction of how the cleavage concept relates to such extraordinary phases of party system change. It is useful to distinguish three levels of analysis or of abstraction in studying politics, two of which are related to cleavage theory and the realignment approach respectively:

- 1. The most fundamental forces of politics lie in the long-term evolution of social structure, the primary focus of cleavage-theory. Here, the focus is on critical junctures such as the national and industrial revolutions, which create structural potentials that political actors can mobilize. When cleavages are mobilized by parties and institutionalized in a party system, they will reproduce political alignments in a path-dependent manner until transformations in the social structure create new potentials that are capable of disrupting the older structure of alignments. Following Allardt (1968) and Kriesi (1999), it can be argued that the educational revolution of the 1960s and 1970s has constituted such a new critical juncture. It has also been claimed that the processes of globalization and Europeanization, which have intensified since the 1980s and 1990s, create winners and losers that political parties have tried to mobilize (Kriesi et al. 2006, 2008). A dissenting voice comes from Bartolini (2005a): in emphasizing the process of nation-state formation or boundary building as a precondition for the formation of the historical cleavages, he argues that the lowering of national boundaries in the process of European integration does not lead to a new line of opposition, but to a de-structuring of the functional cleavages that were prevalent at the national level.
- Political potentials resulting from a changing social structure do not translate directly into new antagonisms within the party system due to the force of existing alignments and the freezing of

party systems along historical divides. The established parties will seek to avert the entry of new parties by responding to new potentials within the electorate, within the limits set by their historical position. The adaptation of the existing structure of conflicts to new potentials is the central focus of the theory of political realignments, which is situated at an intermediate level of analysis. The weakening of prevailing alignments and the emergence of conflicts cutting across a prevailing cleavage makes electoral coalitions, united by virtue of a cleavage, break apart and opens the way for the establishment of new links between social groups and political parties.4

The least abstract level of analysis focuses on everyday politics. Here, cyclical issues of minor importance, corruption scandals and the popularity or unpopularity of politicians and governments affect the results of elections. Even if such issues dominate everyday politics, these events rarely affect the two higher levels of political development.

In a restructuring of oppositions in a party system, levels one and two interact and therefore have to be analyzed jointly. As outlined earlier on, to what degree the old conflicts are capable of organizing new ones out of politics depends not only on the intensity of the new conflicts, but also on the grip of the established cleavage structure. Kriesi and Duyvendak (1995) have postulated a zero-sum relationship between old and new conflicts, and much therefore depends on whether the established cleavages have been pacified and on the degree of social closure characterizing the social groups that are divided by them. Together, these elements condition the stability of political alignments.

In my view, this implies that attention must be paid to the issues that political conflict is actually about, and how these issues relate to traditional conflicts. For one thing, this allows us to assess to which degree political conflicts prevalent in the party system are in line with, and therefore serve to reinforce the established cleavages. For another, behavioural dealignment can be a consequence of a change in parties' programmatic offer. If parties converge along a cleavage, the established links between parties and voters become fragile. Inversely, the same presumably happens if parties continue to represent a conflict that no longer matters to voters. For all these reasons, it is useful to analyze to which degree parties stick to their established ideologies or whether they adopt new positions. Taking this reasoning further, it is possible to distinguish various types of divide that have varying consequences for the manifestation of new conflicts (see Bornschier, Forthcoming: Ch. 3). The degree of polarization, the stability of alignments between parties and voters, as well as the congruence between the programmatic positions of parties and their electorates are all likely to impinge on the durability of cleavages. Cleavages can be characterized by segmentation, meaning that parties have strongly diverging positions and parties, and voters are durably aligned along a conflict. Alternatively, they can live on primarily in terms of ideology and feelings of belonging, without being reinforced by contrasting voter orientations and party positions. Finally, parties may form "cartels" (Katz and Mair 1995), reflecting

cleavages that no longer matter to voters. Hence, different types of cleavage vary in their ability to preclude new conflicts to manifest themselves politically.

Empirical Assessments of the Persistence of the Traditional Cleavages and the Emergence of New Divisions

The question to which degree historical cleavages still determine political behaviour today has been approached from two perspectives. One approach centres on the social structural basis of cleavages, while the other focuses on the way new political issues have transformed the meaning of the historical antagonisms. In the following, I first review the society-centred approach and then turn to the question of how it has been combined with a focus on elite political actors.

"Bottom-up" approaches: The social structural basis of party choice. The literature on the persistence or change of the social structural basis of party preferences has mainly focused on the class cleavage and has produced contradictory results.5 In an important comparative over-time study of the class cleavage in a wide array of advanced democracies, Franklin et al. (1992) state that the explanatory power of the classical socio-demographic variables has declined in most countries examined. In the two European countries where this is not the case – Italy and the Netherlands – cohort differences lead them to assume that they will follow suit.

Divergent findings are presented by authors employing more refined class schemata and statistical tools, such as the work assembled in the volume edited by Evans (1999a), a second large comparative research endeavour in the field. Some of the contributors to this book either fail to find such uniform trends across countries (Nieuwbeerta, de Graaf 1999, Weakliem, Heath 1999), while others can show that, at least in certain countries, socio-structural characteristics do not structure voting choice substantially less than thirty years ago (e.g., Müller 1999). While Evans (1999b) criticizes Franklin et al.'s (1992) work for employing an inadequate and out-dated conception of social class, the difference between the two approaches is in fact more conceptual than methodological. Relying on the classical bluecollar vs. white-collar distinction, Franklin et al. (1992) are in a position to address the question to which degree established bonds between social groups and political parties have either remained stable or have waned in force. On the other hand, Evans (1999b: 12) argues that only class schemata employing more fine-tuned class distinctions are apt to detect processes of realignment. Consequently, they have little to say concerning what the policy antagonisms are about that have resulted in the new alignments they discover. As Mair (1999) and Franklin (2002) have both pointed out, this tells us a lot about class voting, but less about class politics, contrary to what the book's title would suggest. More than offering a test of the strength of the historical cleavages, then, this is an analysis of the existence of structurally based conflicts as such.6

Many of the more recent studies on the evolution of cleavages over long spans of time have also focused on the religious cleavage as the second common structuring element of Western European party systems (e.g., Knutsen 2004, Oskarson 2005,

⁴ Enyedi (2008) provides an instructive overview of the debate concerning dealignment and realignment in Western Europe.

⁵ Most of the literature I review in this section comes from research on voting behaviour. See Brooks et al. (2003) for an overview of this field and the place of cleavage-based models within it.

⁶ For an argument in defence of the continued significance of class voting and a more complete review of the literature, see Evans (2000).

Brooks, Nieuwbeerta, Manza 2006, Lachat 2007, Elff 2007a).7 While the impact of religious denomination has often declined, religiosity, commonly measured in terms of the frequency of church attendance, continues to have an impact on voting choices. One should note, however, that this measurement moves the analysis away from religious groups as social structural categories with clear-cut and not easily to overcome boundaries to a more value-based conception of religion. It is then quite natural that the impact of religiosity on voting choices is stronger than that of religious denomination. Ultimately, whether or not we conceive politics as based on cleavages depends on how we understand and define social structure. If we conceive the social structural element in terms of the social groups that have brought the original cleavages into being, then politics has quite certainly lost its cleavage basis, even if the lasting impact of religiosity suggests that the corresponding conflict somehow lives on. On the other hand, we can understand cleavage-based political behaviour as rooted in the durable identification with social groups as opposed to freefloating or fully context-dependent political orientations. In this case, answering the question of whether or not cleavages still exist becomes a matter of defining the right categories and analyzing the uniformity of political behaviour its members exhibit.

While much work has been done on the link between the social structural and the organizational elements of the traditional or transformed cleavages in terms of voting choices, the inclusion of the collective identity element has faced obstacles that have been difficult to overcome. This is mainly for the reason that survey data rarely allows the measurement of group attachments as individual-level equivalents of collective identity. Weakliem's (1993) study on class consciousness in Britain shows, however, that only members of the working class that were class-aware voted for the Labour party rather than for the Conservatives in the 1960s. This underlines the crucial importance of the collective identity element in cleavage mobilization processes. Bartolini's (2005a, 2005b) recent work has focused on the question under which circumstances individuals identify with a social group and act collectively on its behalf. Drawing on Hirschman (1970) and Tajfel (1981), he argues that collective action is only likely in situations where social mobility is restrained and group boundaries difficult to transcend, thereby precluding individualistic strategies to attain (political) goals.

The only explicit attempt, to the best of my knowledge, to include all three elements constituting a cleavage in empirical analyses of political behaviour is the essay by Knutsen and Scarbrough (1995), and Tóka's (1998) application of their approach to Central and Eastern Europe. Here, the authors overcome the lack of data by using shared value preferences as an expression of collective identity. In their model, cleavage voting is analytically distinguished from social structural voting as well as from value-based voting in that it has to include both of the other elements. Cleavage voting then follows a causal chain where social structure influences values and values influence voting choice. Empirically, however, voting behaviour partly follows this chain, but not exclusively. Not quite surprisingly, values turn out to have an independent impact on party preference as well. More strikingly, another path leads from social structural characteristics directly to voting choice, without running through shared values. As a matter of fact,

Knutsen and Scarbrough (1995: 514) conclude that only the Netherlands turns out to conform to the "stereotypy" of cleavage-dominated politics. Hence, in their analysis, cleavages hardly have an impact, which is quite striking, to say the least. Rather than dismissing cleavage politics altogether, this may point to the difficulty of finding appropriate categories to measure social structure and collective identity.

"Top-down" and combined approaches: integrating the role of parties. A different body of work approaches the issue primarily from the perspective of the political parties or party systems to which the historical cleavages have given birth. In other words, these authors adhere more to the second interpretation of the freezing hypothesis outlined above. Because parties reflect the identities and interests of social groups, they reproduce or can even construct collective identities, as Sartori (1968) has claimed early on. Scholars within this tradition have probably paid most attention to parties' responses to new political potentials that have arisen from the transition to post-industrial society, as exemplified in the work of Mair (1997) and Kitschelt (1994, 1995). As Mair (1997) has insisted, the historical party organization's remarkable resilience over time is precisely due to its ability to adapt to structural and cultural changes.

Ideally, from a cleavage perspective, research should focus on the interaction of the structural basis of political alignments and parties' mobilizing strategies. For instance, class voting is presumably related to the degree to which party programs make reference to social class (Evans 2000: 413). Elff (2007b) pursues an analysis of this sort using data from the Comparative Manifesto Project (see Budge et al. 2001, Klingemann et al. 2006). His results shows that at the aggregate level, both class and religious voting are influenced by parties' programmatic offer in most countries.

This tells us something about the reasons for dealignment between parties and voters, but not much about the forces of change. An obvious starting point here are the conflicts the "New Politics" literature singles out as particularly important in post-industrial societies (Inglehart 1977, 1997). According to some authors, value-based divides are crosscutting the older distributional or class cleavage, which was closely tied to social structural characteristics (see Dalton, Flanagan, Beck 1984, and therein especially Inglehart 1984). Centring on questions of lifestyle and moral guidelines, this opposition is now commonly referred to as one between libertarian and authoritarian values (Kitschelt 1994, Flanagan, Lee 2003). Kitschelt (1994, 1995) has most explicitly and convincingly related this new value opposition to transformations in Western European party systems. His work has focused on the transformation of Social Democratic parties and the emergence or reinvigoration of parties of the Radical Right, relating these evolutions to the dimensions underlying the attitudinal space of European mass publics. Data constraints preclude a rigorous test of the hypotheses regarding the social structural foundation of these attitudes, however.

Until we have been able to show that these conflicts are anchored in social structure just like the older class and religious conflicts, the value divide cannot be called a cleavage. After all, the older divides had important cultural components as well (Knutsen and Scarbrough 1995, Bartolini 2000: 16). Furthermore, in propagating a new value cleavage, we risk jumping rather quickly from cleavages that resulted from large macro-historical processes to value differences which are still

⁷ For an analysis of the impact of these and other cleavages on voting behaviour in the US, see Manza and Brooks (1999).

rather young and of which we do not know if they will be characterized by the same persistence. What, then, could the social structural basis be that makes a long-term structuring of politics along these conflicts plausible?

One approach holds that class antagonisms continue to be the bread and butter of politics. Kriesi (1998), for example, starts off with concrete libertarian-authoritarian value conflicts and attempts to find their social structural base by differentiating within the "new" middle class. Both Kriesi (1993, 1998) and Müller (1999) have shown that significant divisions have arisen concomitantly to the expansion of the middle class. These are rooted in different work logics and the degree of hierarchy or autonomy they involve. As it turns out, however, class position does not entirely account for these value differences. This may either indicate that the value divide lacks a clear social structural foundation, or, what is just as plausible, we lack the appropriate categories and concepts to make sense of contemporary antagonisms and their roots in an evolving social structure. Ever more refined conceptions of class have responded to recent changes in employment structures. While retaining classical vertical class divisions, Oesch (2006) complements these with a horizontal differentiation based on work logic. This helps him to identify the social support base of new parties of the populist right (Oesch 2008).

A second hypothesis suggests that differences in education may be the driving forces of the new value conflicts. Allardt (1968) has suggested early on that new cleavages could emerge as a product of the "educational revolution" of the 1960s and 1970s, which has led to a significant expansion of higher education. In fact, it can be shown that while the advent of new value conflicts has blurred the social structural homogeneity of the classical left-right opposition in terms of social class, political preferences are now two-dimensional, one of them being a value dimension that is closely related to education (Kriesi et al. 2008). And education also has a strong influence on party choice (e.g., Knutsen 2004), in particular concerning the ecologists and the populist right, the two party families that resulted from the new cultural conflicts that have played an important role since the late 1960s (Bornschier, Forthcoming). Furthermore, in a pioneering study based on a specially administered survey conducted in Denmark, Stubager (2009) reveals that high and low educational groups do in fact exhibit some degree of collective identity and perceive an antagonism with the other educational group in terms of interests. These findings point to the emergence of a full-fledged cleavage encompassing social groups that exhibit a shared consciousness.

A final line of research interprets differences between educational groups as part of a more encompassing antagonism between the "winners" and "losers" of the economic, cultural, and political processes of globalization of the past decades (Kriesi et al. 2006, 2008). After the emergence of a libertarianauthoritarian divide in the 1970s, these processes have transformed cultural conflicts and party systems yet again. Their analysis shows that this is one of two dimensions structuring party positions and voter orientations in Western Europe, the other dimension being the state-market cleavage, which remains salient. Furthermore, conflicts over European integration, which have received considerable attention recently (e.g., Marks et al. 2006), have become "embedded" into this two-dimensional structure of conflict, according to Kriesi et al. By focusing on the location of social groups and party electorates in the political space created by these two dimensions, they show that each of them divides social groups defined in terms of class and education, while religion has lost much of its political impact. Including data on party positions also allows a focus on the way party political actors have mobilized new structural potentials, thus combining "bottom-up" and "top-down" approaches to cleavages.

The Formation of Cleavages in New Democracies

Making the cleavage concept travel

The application of the cleavage concept outside the founding democracies in the West has faced formidable obstacles. Either the national and industrial revolutions have not occurred in the same form as in Western Europe, or they have failed to produce a similarly lasting impact on party systems (see Randall 2001, Cammack 1994). As van Biezen and Caramani (2007: 7-8) note, "The concept of cleavage is the product of a very specific transformation that took place in Western Europe exclusively", and coincided with the expansion of democratic voting rights. In Latin America, for example, states consolidated their territory under colonial rule and most of them never saw anything comparable to the national revolution with the ensuing conflicts characteristic of Western Europe. Similarly, universal voting rights were often granted long before the formation of a significant working class, and due to the widespread practice of clientelism, the formation of class-based parties was retarded for decades. Mainwaring and Zoco (2007) have recently shown that there is nothing automatic in the stabilization of interparty competition over time. Although a lot has been said about rising levels of electoral instability in western democracies, the advanced industrial democracies remain distinctive for their low levels of volatility. These lasting differences are the result of specific historical sequences and subsequent path dependency, according to the authors. Beyond this somewhat schematic contrast, however, lies a great diversity in the degree to which party systems in new democracies are rooted in society.

In order to fruitfully apply the cleavage concept to new democracies, hence, a number of modifications of the original approach are in order. First, we must abstract from the European experience in looking for the critical junctures that have left a lasting stamp on party systems in some countries but not in others. In the next section, I will review some of the rare comparative work that has undertaken this step, focusing predominantly on Latin America. Secondly, a more adequate understanding of the role of agency in cleavage formation is necessary. Established elites may not only have an interest in, they may also be capable of shaping party systems and even of preventing social structure from manifesting itself in politics. Accounts of this kind are somewhat alien to the macro-historical literature on Western Europe, where the standardizing force of the national and industrial revolutions has allowed a social determinism to survive that does not fare well in new democracies. The starting point for a focus on political actors is the founding moment of a new democratic regime. However, we also need to understand how cleavages can emerge gradually over long periods of time and in the absence of large-scale processes that accelerate the institutionalization of party systems. Here, I will review some of the recent literature on political conflicts that may eventually result in the manifestation of cleavages in Central and Eastern Europe, Latin America, and elsewhere.

Critical Junctures: Adapting and Extending the Macro-**Historical Account**

Since many Latin American countries' initial experience with democracy dates back to the first half of the 20th century, the recent wave of democracy meant re-democratization on this continent. As a result, a number of Latin America's parties are much older than those in other third wave countries, and some of the rare work in the cleavage tradition outside Western Europe and the US has focused on this continent. The starting point, nonetheless, is usually the peculiar absence of the kind of cleavages that have manifested themselves in the developed world. To begin with, religious homogeneity and early state consolidation under colonial rule and the coincidence of industrial and landed interests do not lead us to expect strong religious or sectoral cleavages in Latin America (Dix 1989, Coppedge 1998). The near-absence of strong class-based parties in the midst of extraordinarily high levels of inequality and the predominance of catch-all parties even in the early stages of political development is more surprising, however. Dix explains this with the timing of the expansion of the suffrage, which occurred "(...) relatively early and 'from above', by elites seeking political allies or pursuing a strategy of cooptation, thus precluding a prolonged consciousness-raising struggle for political participation" (1989: 33).

What makes Latin America a particularly interesting region to apply cleavage theory is the amount of intra-regional variation both in party system institutionalization and in the degree that party systems reflect social structure. Path-breaking attempts at measuring party system institutionalization, assessing parties' roots in society and the regularities in the interactions among them, underscore the diversity of Latin American politics (Dix 1992, Mainwaring and Scully 1995). Only a few scholars have applied a historical cleavage framework to try to make sense of these differences (Dix 1989, Coppedge 1998), but their research testifies to the applicability of the concept outside Western Europe. Collier and Collier's (1991) study certainly represents the most ambitious and theoretically elaborate application of the concept of critical junctures, which they consider the essence of the cleavage approach, to regime dynamics to Latin America. I will not discuss their book in detail, however; not only because of its monumental scope, but primarily because their analysis does not explain the genesis of the party system as such, but focuses on the later stage of the incorporation of the labour movement and its long-term impact on party competition and the viability of democracy.

While some party systems like the Brazilian one seem to have emerged more or less from scratch after every disruption of democratic rule, the party systems in Colombia, Uruguay, and Chile have not changed very much since the first decades of the 20th century. Strong linkages between parties and voters seem to have "frozen" these party systems into place much like their European counterparts. This has not resulted in the same conflicts being represented, however. Coppedge's (1998) paper is the creative attempt to apply not the Rokkanian variables but his logic of thinking to explain the differences in social entrenchment of the party system in eleven Latin American countries. In the aftermath of independence and prior to the extension of the franchise, a common antagonism between liberals and conservatives similar to the one in Western Europe was predominant throughout the region (Coppedge 1998, Dix 1989). In Uruguay and Colombia, where a protracted civil war between these two groups divided the citizenry into two halves,

this division is still reflected in the party system. Similar to the pluralist parties of North America (see Epstein 1980), the two traditional parties in Uruguay are catch-all parties that do not have clear-cut links to social structure. Thus, while the division between them falls short of being a full cleavage according to the definition outlined earlier on, the early extension of the franchise to the entire adult population has frozen the party system into place along this line of division. Even if new parties emerged later on, this was a slow process characteristic of strongly institutionalized party systems.

The gradual expansion of suffrage in Chile has produced an institutionalized system of parties with a more clear-cut social basis. In fact, the party system preceding Pinochet's military coup reflected a Catholic-secular and a class cleavage much like in Europe. It is only in the process of re-democratization, and as a consequence of the deliberate strategies of the parties supporting democracy, that these conflicts have lost in importance (Torcal and Mainwaring 2003), a point I will return to. In Brazil, on the other hand, universal suffrage came in 1945, long before a substantial working class existed, had effectively organized, and called for the vote. Together with the establishment of a state-sponsored workers' party, this precluded a clear class cleavage from materializing to this day despite high levels of socio-economic inequality (for recent evidence, see Samuels 2006). From a cleavage perspective, the absence of a class-based party in Brazil can be explained by the skilful obviation of the process of collective identity formation of the lower classes by the ruling elites, reinforced by the crafting of clientelistic bonds between citizens and elected representatives (Bornschier 2008). Until recently, this allowed political elites to control politics and to contain the growth of party mobilization from below (Hagopian 1996).

The contrast between the Brazilian and the Chilenian case thus supports the hypothesis that the timing and the magnitude of suffrage extensions, by determining the homogeneity of the social groups that are enfranchised, impinges on the specificity of the interests that parties reflect.8 What Dix (1989) and Coppedge (1998) neglect, however, is that it cannot be taken for granted that parties represent the interests of social groups at all. As Kitschelt (2000) and Kitschelt and Wilkinson (2007) have lain out, we can distinguish between clientelistic, charismatic, and programmatic linkages between politicians and voters in democratic politics. Without strong mobilization from below, and when they have access to the resources that clientelism and patronage require, established parties will have little incentive to rely on programs. Only "externally mobilized parties", as Shefter (1977, 1993) has called them, which come from outside the ruling circles of power, push for programmatic competition – because programs are all they have to offer. Their task is not an easy one, however. Parties of this kind, which seek to mobilize functional oppositions based on class or other interests, must be capable of disrupting vertical links of authority and exchange that predominate in many less-developed countries, which Chalmers (1977) described in a seminal article trying to make sense of the missing societal roots of Latin American parties.

While Kitschelt and Wilkinson (2007) argue quite convincingly that clientelism simply becomes too expensive to be worthwhile for politicians at a certain point, the level of development would

⁸ See also Bartolini (2000: Ch. 5) who finds that differences in the timing and the tempo of suffrage expansion have had a lasting influence on the strength of the left and on the character of its support base across

have led us to expect programmatic parties long ago in many parts of Latin America, as Dix (1989) has stated. Thus, the process by which pervasive clientelism gives way to more programmatically based politics remains poorly understood to date. In their pioneering study of elite-mass congruence in policy preferences across Latin America, Luna and Zechmeister (2005) have shown that not only social and economic variables, such as the level of poverty, impinge on programmatic linkages between citizens and policymakers but also the degree of institutionalization of the party system and the strength of leftist parties. The institutionalization of political conflict characteristic of cleavage-based party systems, in other words, seems to promote accountability and crowd out clientelistic mobilization.

This process closely parallels what happened in Europe roughly a century earlier. As Sartori (1994: 95f.) has pointed out: "I cannot think of any party system that has evolved into a veritable 'system' made of strong, organization-based mass parties on the basis of internal parliamentary learning. The metamorphosis from an unstructured to a structured party system has always been triggered by exogenous assault and contagion. The earlier parties of notables or of opinion either perished or changed their ways in response to the challenge of externally created (and largely anti-system) mass parties characterized by strong ideological ties and fervor" (emphasis in original). This clearly points to the role of political actors in mobilizing cleavages, the last research topic I address in this essay.

Political Agency and the Politicization of Social Structure

If collective identities are conceived as being to some degree socially constructed, the collective identity element that cleavages encompass should quite naturally draw attention to the actors who help in creating such identifications. Yet, despite the fact that some time has passed since Sartori (1968) and Zuckerman (1975) urged for a focus on political elites in cleavage formation, the role of agency in cleavage formation has become a prominent topic in research only recently. As Enyedi (2005: 699) states, "(...) interpretative frameworks of the political elites decisively influence whether differences of interests are perceived as social conflicts. (...) In this approach, parties are perceived as political actors combining interests, values, cultural milieus and social networks". In a book on the divergent paths of the Czech Republic and Slovakia after their break-up, Deegan-Krause (2006: 207) notes: "Although leaders cannot necessarily control the relationship between attitudes and party choice, they can exert strong influences". Since historical cleavages are giving way to new conflicts, top-down approaches have become, as we have seen, more diffused in the old democracies as well. Even more, however, the focus on recent experiences of democratization has driven attention to the ways elite actors shape nascent party systems. Chhibber and Torcal (1997) suggest that agency plays a larger role in the new democracies because party elites have greater flexibility to make strategic choices in societies where secondary organizations are less developed.

Particularly in research on Central and Eastern Europe, scholars have pointed to the importance of the founding moments of the democratic regime, when new parties are established. The absence of strong cleavages that make party systems reflect social structure in Central and Eastern Europe can be explained by the failure of the critical junctures that have been decisive in Western Europe to leave their imprint, compounded by the period of socialist rule (Caramani 2003, van Biezen and Caramani 2007). Nonetheless, broad economic and cultural structures, differences in the marketization, in ethnic and religious diversity, and the like, are likely to affect the make-up of party systems and relevant issue dimensions in postcommunist countries (Evans and Whitefield 1993, 2000, Elster, Offe, Preuss 1998, Lawson et al. 1999, Kitschelt et al. 1999).9

As an example of such an approach, Kitschelt and his colleagues (1999) distinguish different types of communist rule that interact with elite strategies and the electoral rules and thereby derive predictions about the character of oppositions in the nascent party systems. Depending on the degree to which a formalrational state apparatus existed already under communist rule and on how the communist parties instilled compliance with their rule, political competition is either likely to evolve around clientelistic goods or around programmatic competition. Here, the analysis builds on Shefter's (1977, 1993) work, already referred to. The cases where competition is primarily programmatic, either economic, religious-cultural conflicts, or both types of conflict can be prevalent, as exemplified by the cases of the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland respectively. Elites thus face incentives based on historical and institutional factors and are constrained by the dimensionality underlying citizen orientations. Whether the dimensions of conflict mobilized in the 1990s will develop into cleavages by exhibiting clear-cut social bases and acquiring a high degree of stability remains to be seen. If cleavages are to emerge in new democracies at all, however, they are likely to look different than in Western Europe. An approach centred on specific countries' historical development is therefore promising in predicting how party systems may become rooted in social structure.

Building exclusively on demand-side data, Moreno (1999) identifies issue divides in Latin America, Eastern Europe, and Western Europe that sometimes, but not always have a structural basis. Discriminant analyses then reveal which of these issue divides underlie citizen-party alignments, and are thus reflected in the party system. Similar to the findings of Kitschelt and his colleagues, regime divides between supporters and opponents of accountability and democratic rule are evident in a number of new democracies, reflecting winners and losers of democratization. In other contexts, divergences over economic reform appear more salient. One of the focal points of political agency is situated here between the constraints provided by citizen orientations and the patterns of opposition ultimately represented in the party system: to a certain degree, elites have the ability to combine the various issue dimensions differently into over-arching divides or cleavages (Deegan-Krause 2006).

To the degree that divides have come to shape party systems, the question is how durable its impact is likely to be. Zielinski's (2002) game-theoretical model represents the extreme case of attributing central importance to the first divisions to emerge. Assuming the ability of political actors to make political competition diverge from the underlying social conflicts and an inherent tendency of party systems to freeze into place by discouraging the entry of new competitors, the first few elections become crucial, according to Zielinski (2002: 185): "Indeed, they are the founding moments when political actors determine which cleavages to depoliticize and which to establish

⁹ A more complete review of the literature on cleavages in postcommunist Europe is provided by Whitefield (2002) and Enyedi (2006).

as the permanent axes of political competition". There is clearly too much voluntarism involved here, since the party system is unlikely to become institutionalized if it fails to represent salient divisions in the electorate. At least the Latin American experience shows that some party systems do not institutionalize even over several election cycles. Consequently, as long as the electoral system does not impede them, new parties may enter the system relatively easily.

What is more, we should not confound the persistence of a set of parties that emerged at the outset of democratic party competition with that of the underlying cleavages that have brought the party system into being. Indeed, as discussed earlier on, parties in Western European have demonstrated a remarkable capacity to adapt to new conflicts. A number of authors therefore point to the continuing ability of elites to forge or dilute links between themselves and specific social groups. For example, Enyedi (2005) analyzes how the Hungarian Fidesz party has been able to profoundly modify its original ideological appeal as a left-libertarian party. In a process accompanied by a major realignment, the party merged various ideological dimensions and right-wing segments and consolidated a new divide by creating impermeable boundaries between the two sides. Two further studies show that parties can both establish and downplay cleavages. In Spain, the left originally adopted a catch-all strategy after the transition to democracy, and social class did not have an influence on the vote (Chhibber and Torcal 1997). The adoption of a more redistributive profile in economic policy-making and the capacity to actually enact a number of its propositions in government then resulted in an anchoring of the party in terms of social class. In Chile, the left took the opposite route. Because the regime question loomed large after the transition to democracy, the left de-emphasized redistributive issues in order to form a coalition with the Christian Democrats, the other pro-democratic party. As a consequence, according to Torcal and Mainwaring's (2003) analysis, the party system's roots in social class put in evidence before the coup vanished.

For an understanding of cleavages as long-term representations of social structural conflicts, the malleability of the social bases of party competition poses a problem. What is it, then, that anchors party systems durably in society? Tóka (1998) applies Knutsen and Scarbrough's (1995) distinction between pure social structural voting, value voting, and cleavage voting (the latter encompassing both social structural and value voting, as discussed earlier on) to explain individual-level volatility in voting choices, using panel data from Central and Eastern European countries. His analysis reveals that while social structural characteristics alone do not stabilize party choice in any way, value-based voting and organizational encapsulation have the strongest stabilizing effect. This finding is actually in line with the party-system-based interpretation of the "freezing hypothesis" presented earlier on in this review: it seems that it is not the social structural roots per se, but rather antagonistically related collective identities that stabilize party systems. The subcultures created by conflicts therefore constitute the durable basis of cleavages, and not their immutable social bases.

Conclusion

While there continues to be some disagreement over what may properly be termed a cleavage, its intuitive appeal, as noted by Tóka (1998), lies in the idea that some links between parties and voters are more stable than others. Especially with regard to

divisions in new democracies, but also due to the transformation of cleavages in their Western European heartland, scholars have begun to question whether the requirement of clear-cut roots in social structure may not be too demanding and unnecessarily narrows down the applicability of the concept (Enyedi 2005, 2008). One of the reasons why it is sometimes difficult to identify the social roots of political conflicts is methodological, or perhaps conceptual: our categories for describing social structure often lag behind our understanding of the conflicts themselves. One way out is to refine our terminology with respect to various types of divisions, which more often than not may fall short of constituting a cleavage, as Bartolini (2005b) and Deegan-Krause (2006, 2007) have suggested. The other possibility, and indeed the one suggested by this review, is to put primary emphasis on the enduring character of collective political identifications resulting from large-scale societal transformations as the defining element of cleavages.

Especially when stated in the second way, the cleavage concept is useful not only with respect to Western Europe, but also to characterize a type of political conflict that helps in institutionalizing party systems. Together with the ensuing reduction of volatility, this installs mechanisms of accountability (Mainwaring and Scully 1995, Mair 1997, Tóka 1998: 591-2). Cleavage-like conflicts are therefore good for democracy and democratic consolidation rather than a threat. In the old democracies, the decline of cleavage-based voting and the fact that voters "began to choose" (Rose and McAllister 1986) first seemed to be welcomed by many observers, while the spectre of populism then led to more gloomy assessments of the state of democracy in the 1990s. While their outgrowths are perhaps unpleasant, the prospect of new value conflicts consolidating into cleavages in Western Europe may therefore be good, rather than bad, news for democratic accountability.

In any event, political agency has received the place it deserves in the recent literature on cleavages, both in the old and new democracies alike. However, neither social determinism nor volontarist accounts of elite political behaviour help us to make sense of politics and its long-term evolution. Recent developments in the literature help us to bridge the "bottom-up" and "top-down" approaches, and thus have taken a promising direction. In Western Europe, the programmatic stances of parties mobilizing along the traditional conflicts impinge on the long-term endurance of these conflicts, and their reactions to a changing social structure determine if and how new conflicts manifest themselves politically. In more recently democraticized contexts, on the other hand, we witness the initial formation of party systems. Path dependency has a central place in the cleavage account, and depending on the divisions that are institutionalized in these countries, and the degree to which they can oust clientelism as the predominant mode of political mobilization, different prospects for democratic consolidation and for the representation of interests emerge.

References

Allardt, E. 1968. Past and Emerging Political Cleavages. In O. Stammer (Ed.), Party Systems, Party Organizations, and the Politics of New Masses, Beiträge zur 3. Internationalen Konferenz über Vergleichende Politische Soziologie, Berlin, 15.- 20. Januar 1968 (pp. 66-76). Berlin: Institut für politische Wissenschaft an der Freien Universität Berlin.

Bartolini, S. 2000. The Political Mobilization of the European Left, 1860-1980. The Class Cleavage. Cambridge: Cambridge University

- Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=d6mwwCmEvdoC
- Bartolini, S. 2005a. Restructuring Europe. Centre formation, system building and political structuring between the nation-state and the European Union. Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=VEzkSpct2vwC
- Bartolini, S. 2005b. La formation des clivages. Revue Internationale de Politique Comparée, 12(1), 9-34. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.cairn.info/load_pdf.php?ID_ARTICLE=RIPC_121_0009
- Bartolini, S., & Mair, P. 1990. Identity, competition, and electoral availability. The stabilization of European electorates 1885-1985. Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bornschier, S. 2008. Demokratie, Sozialstruktur und Parteiensysteme in Lateinamerika. Brasilien in vergleichender Perspektive. Saarbrücken:
- Bornschier, S. Forthcoming. Cleavage Politics and the Populist Right. The New Cultural Conflict in Western Europe. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Brooks, C., Manza, J., & Bolzendahl, C. 2003. Voting Behaviour and Political Sociology: Theories, Debates, and Future Directions. In B. A. Dobratz, L. K. Waldner & T. Buzzell (Eds.), Political Sociology for the 21st Century. Research in Political Sociology, Volume 12 (pp. 137-173). Amsterdam: JAI.
- Brooks, C., Nieuwbeerta, P., & Manza, J. 2006. Cleavage-based voting behaviour in cross-national perspective: Evidence from six postwar democracies. Social Science Research, 35, 88-128, doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2004.06.005
- Budge, I., Klingemann, H.-D., Volkens, A., Bara, J., & Tanenbaum, E. 2001. Mapping Policy Preferences. Estimates for Parties, Electors, and Governments 1945-1988. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cammack, P. 1994. Democratization and citizenship in Latin America. In G. Parry & M. Moran (Eds.), Democracy and Democratization (pp. 174-195). London and New York: Routledge. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=7X51MUT5FQYC
- Caramani, D. 2003. State Administration and Regional Construction in Central Europe: A Comparative-Historical Perspective. In M. Keating & J. Hughes (Eds.), The Regional Challenge in Central and Eastern Europe (pp. 21-50). Bruxelles: P.I.E.-Peter Lang.
- Caramani, D. 2004. The Nationalization of Politics. The Formation of National Electorates and Party Systems in Western Europe. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=ow95xksr_nMC
- Chalmers, D. A. 1977. Parties and Society in Latin America. In S. W. Schmidt, L. Guasti, C. H. Landé & J. C. Scott (Eds.), Friends, Followers, and Factions. A Reader in Political Clientelism (pp. 401-421). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Chhibber, P., & Torcal, M. 1997. Elite Strategy, Social Cleavages, and Party Systems in a New Democracy: Spain. Comparative Political Studies, 30(1), 27-54, doi:10.1177/0010414097030001002.
- Collier, R. B., & Collier, D. 1991. Shaping the Political Arena. Critical Junctures, the Labor Movement, and Regime Dynamics in Latin America. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Coppedge, M. 1998. The Evolution of Latin American Party Systems. In S. Mainwaring & A. Valenzuela (Eds.), Politics, Society, and Democracy: Latin America (pp. 171-206). Boulder: Westview Press.
- Dalton, R. J., Flanagan, S. C., & Beck, P. A. (Eds.). 1984. Electoral Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies. Realignment or Dealignment? Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Deegan-Krause, K. 2006. Elected Affinities. Democracy and Party Competition in Slovakia and the Czech Republic. Stanford: Stanford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=lAeteXoNx-QC
- Deegan-Krause, K. 2007. New Dimensions of Political Cleavage. In R. J. Dalton & H.-D. Klingemann (Eds.), Oxford Handbook of Political Behaviour (pp. Chapter 28). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=GBGicYt4eWcC

- Dix, R. H. 1989. Cleavage Structures and Party Systems in Latin America. Comparative Politics, 22(1), 23-37. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/422320
- Dix, R. H. 1992. Democratization and the Institutionalization of Latin American Political Parties. Comparative Political Studies, 24(4), 488-511, doi:10.1177/0010414092024004004
- Elff, M. 2007. Social Structure and Electoral Behaviour in Comparative Perspective: The Decline of Social Cleavages in Western Europe Revisited. Perspectives on Politics, 5(2), 277-294, doi:10.1017/S1537592707070788
- Elff, M. 2007. Politische Ideologien, soziale Konflikte und Wahlverhalten. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Elster, J., Offe, C., & Preuß, U. K. 1998. Institutional Design in Post-Communist Societies. Rebuilding the Ship at Sea. Cambridge Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=QTxz7beGYGYC
- Enyedi, Z. 2005. The role of agency in cleavage formation. European Journal of Political Research, 44(5), 697-720. URL (cited on 30 September 2009):
- http://www.cla.wayne.edu/polisci/kdk/seminar/sources/enyedi.pdf
- Enyedi, Z. 2006. Party politics in post-communist transition. In R. S. Katz & W. Crotty (Eds.), Handbook of Party Politics (pp. 228-238). London: Sage. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): $\underline{http://books.google.com/books?id=wgAkmpfSwXkC}$
- Enyedi, Z. 2008. The Social and Attitudinal Basis of Political Parties: Cleavage Politics Revisited. European Review, 16(3), 287-304, doi:10.1017/S1062798708000264
- Epstein, L. 1980. Political Parties in Western Democracies. New Brunswick: Transaction Books. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=iIolxT5S3SMC
- Evans, G. (Ed.). 1999a. The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context. Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=AK6ju-DrEPcC
- Evans, G. 1999b. Class Voting: From Premature Obituary to Reasoned Appraisal. In G. Evans (Ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context (pp. 1-20). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=AK6ju-DrEPcC
- Evans, G. 2000. The Continued Significance of Class Voting. Annual Review of Political Science, 3, 401-417, doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.401
- Evans, G., & Whitefield, S. 1993. Identifying the Bases of Party Competition in Eastern Europe. British Journal of Political Science, 23, 521-548. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/194215
- Evans, G., & Whitefield, S. 2000. Explaining the Formation of Electoral Cleavages in Post-communist Democracies. In H.-D. Klingemann, E. Mochmann & K. Newton (Eds.), Elections in Central and Eastern Europe: The First Wave (pp. 36-70). Berlin: Sigma.
- Flanagan, S. C., & Lee, A.-R. 2003. The New Politics, Culture Wars, and the Authoritarian-Libertarian Value Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies. Comparative Political Studies, 36(3), 235-270. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): doi:10.1177/0010414002250664
- Franklin, M. N. 2002. Book Review of Geoffrey Evans (ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Party Politics, 8(5), 617-624, doi: 10.1177/1354068802008005007
- Franklin, M. N., Mackie, T., Valen, H., et al. (Eds.). 1992. Electoral change. Responses to evolving social und attitudinal structures in western countries. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hagopian, F. 1996. Traditional Politics and Regime Change in Brazil. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=5Krf4m-WYg0C
- Hirschman, A. O. 1970. Exit, Voice and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=vYO6sDvjvcgC

- Inglehart, R. 1977. The Silent Revolution. Changing Values and Political Styles Among Western Publics. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Inglehart, R. 1984. The Changing Structure of Political Cleavages in Western Society. In R. J. Dalton, S. C. Flanagan & P. A. Beck (Eds.), Electoral Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies. Realignment or Dealignment? (pp. 25-69. Princeton: Princeton University.
- Inglehart, R. 1997. Modernization and Postmodernization. Cultural, Economic, and Political Change in 43 Societies. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=uERHzCu6l9EC
- Katz, R. S., & Mair, P. 1995. Changing Models of Party Organization und Party Democracy. The Emergence of the Cartel Party. Party Politics, *I*(1), 5-28, doi:10.1177/1354068895001001001
- Kitschelt, H. 1994. The Transformation of European Social Democracy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kitschelt, H. 2000. Linkages Between Citizens and Politicians in Democratic Polities. Comparative Political Studies, 336/(7), 845-879. URL (cited on 30 September 2009):
- $\underline{http://chenry.webhost.utexas.edu/core/Course}$ %20Materials/Kitschelt/CFB47C5882ABD9F5108854435730C7EF396 26DE168.pdf
- Kitschelt, H., & McGann, A. J. 1995. The Radical Right in Western Europe. A Comparative Analysis. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=AZiD0rsmqO4C
- Kitschelt, H., Mansfeldova, Z., Markowski, R., & Tóka, G. 1999. Post-Communist Party Systems: Competition, Representation, and Inter-Party Cooperation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=7kk7q-BECeAC
- Kitschelt, H., & Wilkinson, S. I. 2007. Citizen-politician linkages: an introduction. In H. Kitschelt & S. I. Wilkinson (Eds.), Patrons, Clients and Policies. Patterns of Democratic Accountability and Political Competition (pp. 1-49. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=oKs6oahqfjoC
- Klandermans, B. 1997. The Social Psychology of Protest. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Klingemann, H.-D., Volkens, A., Bara, J., Budge, I., & McDonald, M. D. 2006. Mapping Policy Preferences II. Estimates for Parties, Electors, and Governments in Eastern Europe, European Union, and OECD 1990-2003. Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=BPZAkxxw4EEC
- Knutsen, O. 2004. Social Structure and Party Choice in Western Europe. A Comparative Longitudinal Study. Basingstoke: Palgrave-
- Knutsen, O., & Scarbrough, E. 1995. Cleavage Politics. In J. W. van Deth & E. Scarbrough (Eds.), The Impact of Values (pp. 492-523. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kriesi, H. 1993. Political Mobilization and Social Change. The Dutch Case in Comparative Perspective. Aldershot: Avebury.
- Kriesi, H. 1998. The transformation of cleavage politics. The 1997 Stein Rokkan lecture. European Journal of Political Research, 33, 165-185. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): doi:10.1111/1475-6765.00379
- Kriesi, H. 1999. Movements of the Left, Movements of the Right: Putting the Mobilization of Two New Types of Social Movement into Political Context. In H. Kitschelt, P. Lange, G. Marks & J. D. Stephens (Eds.), Continuity and Change in Contemporary Capitalism (pp. 398-423. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=p-dxteI78XMC
- Kriesi, H., & Duyvendak, J. W. 1995. National Cleavage Structures. In H. Kriesi, R. Koopmans, J. W. Duyvendak & M. G. Giugni (Eds.), New Social Movements in Western Europe. A Comparative Analysis (pp. 3-25. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=twmz0GqmrZYC
- Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., & Frey, T. 2006. Globalization and the transformation of the national political space: six European countries compared. European Journal of Political Research, 45(6), 921-956, doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00644.x

- Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., & Frey, T. 2008. Western European Politics in the Age of Globalization. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lachat, R. 2007. A Heterogeneous Electorate: Political Sophistication, Predispositional Strength, and the Voting Decision Process. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Lawson, K., Römmele, A., & Karasimeonov, G. (Eds.) 1999. Cleavages, Parties, and Voters. Studies from Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?
- Lipset, S. M., & Rokkan, S. 1967. Cleavage Structures, Party Systems, and Voter Alignments: An Introduction. In S. M. Lipset & S. Rokkan (Eds.), Party Systems and Voter Alignments (pp. 1-64). New York-London: The Free Press-Collier-Macmillan.
- Lipset, S. M., & Rokkan, S. 1990 [1967]. Cleavage Structures, Party Systems, and Voter Alignments. In P. Mair (Ed.), The West European Party System (pp. 91-138). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Luna, J. P., & Zechmeister, E. J. 2005. Political Representation in Latin America. A Study of Elite-Mass Congruence in Nine Countries. Comparative Political Studies, 38(4), 388-416, doi:10.1177/0010414004273205
- Mainwaring, S., & Scully, T. R. 1995. Introduction: Party Systems in Latin America. In S. Mainwaring & T. R. Scully (Eds.), Building Democratic Institutions. Party Systems in Latin America (pp. 1-34) Stanford: Stanford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=yoQm7VRTmLsC
- Mainwaring, S., & Zoco, E. 2007. Political Sequences and the Stabilization of Interparty Competition. Electoral Volatility in Old and New Democracies. Party Politics, 13(2), 155-178, doi:10.1177/1354068807073852
- Mair, P. 1997. Party System Change. Approaches and Interpretations. Oxford: Clarendon Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=NPFie2ZsiAkC
- Mair, P. 2001. The freezing hypothesis. An evaluation. In L. Karvonen & S. Kuhnle (Eds.), Party Systems and Voter Alignments Revisited (pp. 27-44). London and New York: Routledge. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=Bp9x_NdBcfgC
- Mair, P., Lipset, S. M., Hout, M., & Goldthorpe, J. H. 1999. Critical Commentary: Four Perspectives on The Ende of Class Politics? In G. Evans (Ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context (pp. 308-322). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=AK6ju-DrEPcC
- Manza, J., & Brooks, C. 1999. Social Cleavages and Political Change: Voter Alignments and US Party Coalitions. Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=9UBCLiSItUgC
- Marks, G., Hooghe, L., Nelson, M., & Edwards, E. 2006. Party Competition and European Integration in the East and West. Different Structure, Same Causality. Comparative Political Studies, 39, 155-175, doi:10.1177/0010414005281932
- Martin, P. 2000. Comprendre les évolutions électorales. La théorie des réalignements revisitée. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Mayhew, D. 2000. Electoral Realignments. Annual Review of Political Science, 3, 449-474.
- Moreno, A. 1999. Political Cleavages. Issues, Parties, and the Consolidation of Democracy. Boulder, CO: Westview Press
- Müller, W. 1999. Class Cleavages in Party Preferences in Germany Old and New. In G. Evans (Ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context (pp. 137-180). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=AK6ju-DrEPcC
- Nieuwbeerta, P., & Graaf, N. D. d. 1999. Traditional Class Voting in Twenty Postwar Societies. In G. Evans (Ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context (pp. 23-56). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=AK6ju-DrEPcC

Oesch, D. 2006. Redrawing the Class Map. Stratification and Institutions in Britain, Germany, Sweden and Switzerland. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Oesch, D. 2008. Explaining Workers' Support for Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe: Evidence from Austria, Belgium, France, Norway, and Switzerland. International Political Science Review, 29(3), 349-373, doi:10.1177/0192512107088390

Oskarson, M. 2005. Social Structure and Party Choice. In J. Thomassen (Ed.), The European Voter. A Comparative Study of Modern Democracies (pp. 84-105). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=sgtoei5d-ssC

Pierson, P. 2000. Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics. American Political Science Review, 94(2), 251-267. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/2586011

Randall, V. 2001. Party systems and voter alignments in the new democracies of the Third World. In L. Karvonen & S. Kuhnle (Eds.), Party Systems and Voter Alignments Revisited (pp. 238-260). London and New York: Routledge. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=Bp9x_NdBcfgC

Rokkan, S. 1970. Citizens, Elections, Parties. Approaches to the Comparative Study of the Processes of Development. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget

Rokkan, S. 1999. State Formation, Nation-Building, and Mass Politics in Europe: The Theory of Stein Rokkan, Based on His Collected Works, edited by Peter Flora with Stein Kuhnle and Derek Urwin Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=-W-SY76jLCYC

Rokkan, S. 2000. Staat, Nation und Demokratie in Europa. Die Theorie Stein Rokkans aus seinen gesammelten Werken rekonstruiert und eingeleitet von Peter Flora. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp (German edition of Rokkan 1999).

Rose, R., & McAllister, I. 1986. Voters Begin to Choose: From Closed-Class to Open Elections in Britain. London: Sage.

Samuels, D. 2006. Sources of Mass Partisanship in Brazil. Latin American Politics and Society, 48(2), 1-27. URL (cited on 30 September

http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/latin_american_politics_and_society/v048/4 8.2samuels.html

Sartori, G. 1968. The Sociology of Parties. A Critical Review. In O. Stammer (Ed.), Party Systems, Party Organizations, and the Politics of New Masses, Beiträge zur 3. Internationalen Konferenz über Vergleichende Politische Soziologie, Berlin, 15.- 20. Januar 1968 (pp. 1-25). Berlin: Institut für politische Wissenschaft an der Freien Universität Berlin. Reprinted in P. Mair (Ed., 1990). The West European Party System (pp. 150-182). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sartori, G. 1976. Parties and party systems. A framework for analysis. Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=ywr0CcGDNHwC

Sartori, G. 1994. Comparative Constitutional Engineering. An Inquiry into Structures, Incentives and Outcomes. New York: New York University Press.

Schattschneider, E. E. 1975 [1960]. The Semisovereign People. A Realist's View of Democracy in America. London: Wadsworth.

Shefter, M. 1977. Party and Patronage: Germany, England, and Italy. Politics & Society, 7, 403-451, doi:10.1177/003232927700700402

Shefter, M. 1993. Political Parties and the State. The American Historical Experience. Princeton: Princeton University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books? id=g6a7LI-1nAoC

Stubager, R. 2009. Education-based group identity and conciousness in the authoritarian-libertarian value conflict. European Journal of Political Research, 48(2), 204-233, doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2008.00834.x

Tajfel, H. 1981. Human Groups and Social Categories. Studies in Social Psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tarrow, S. 1992. Mentalities, Political Cultures, and Collective Action Frames. Constructing Meanings through Action. In A. D. Morris & C. McClurg Mueller (Eds.), Frontiers in Social Movement Theory (pp. 174-202). New Haven: Yale University Press.

Tóka, G. 1998. Party Appeals and Voter Loyalty in New Democracies. Political Studies, XLVI, 589-610, doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00156

Torcal, M., & Mainwaring, S. 2003. The Political Recrafting of Social Bases of Party Competition: Chile, 1973-95. British Journal of Political Science, 33, 55-84, doi:10.1017/S0007123403000036

van Biezen, I., & Caramani, D. 2007. Cleavage Structuring in Western vs Central and Eastern Europe: State Formation, Nation-Building and Economic Modernization, Paper presented at the ECPR Joint Sessions, Helsinki.

Weakliem, D. L. 1993. Class Conciousness and Political Change: Voting and Political Attitudes in the British Working Class, 1964 to 1970. American Sociological Review, 58(3), 382-397. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/2095907

Weakliem, D. L., & Heath, A. F. 1999. The Secret Life of Class Voting: Britain, France, and the United States since the 1930s. In G. Evans (Ed.), The End of Class Politics? Class Voting in Comparative Context (pp. 97-136). Oxford: Oxford University Press. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://books.google.com/books?id=AK6ju-DrEPcC

Whitefield, S. 2002. Political Cleavages and Post-Communist Politics. Annual Review of Political Science, 5, 181-200, doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.112601.144242

Zielinski, J. 2002. Translating Social Cleavages Into Party Systems: The Significance of New Democracies. World Politics, 54, 184-211. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054182

Zuckerman, A. 1975. Political Cleavage: A Conceptual and Theoretical Analysis. British Journal of Political Science, 5(2), 231-248. URL (cited on 30 September 2009): http://www.jstor.org/stable/193399